

Patent Working Requirements Historical and Comparative Perspectives

Marketa Trimble

Professor of Law William S. Boyd School of Law

"Patent Sovereignty and International Law"

UC Irvine School of Law

October 16, 2015

- Definition of Working Requirements
- > History of Working Requirements
- Functions of Working Requirements
- Relationship of Working Requirements and Other Components of National Patent Systems
- Conclusions



Definition of Working Requirements

- An owner of a patent must practice his or her patented invention (meaning manufacture or import the invention) within the country that granted the patent.
- The remedy (vis-à-vis society) and the sanction (vis-à-vis the patent owner) for non-working is either forfeiture or a compulsory license.
- National working requirements have differed throughout history.
- The United States had a working requirement only between 1832 and 1836, and only for foreigners.
- However, features in U.S. patent law exist that encourage patent working.



History of Working Requirements I

Early predecessors

Old English patents

Protectionist period

- Benefit to local industry
- In some countries patents were denied to foreigners
- In some countries importation was insufficient to satisfy the requirement
- In the United States in 1832 1836 there was a working requirement, but only for foreign patent owners



History of Working Requirements II

International negotiations

- 1883 Paris Convention
 - Working requirements were a matter of national law
 - No forfeiture for importation from one of the Paris Union countries into another
- Revision Conferences
- U.K. statutes from 1902 and 1907 were in reaction to the expansion of the German chemical industry
- Germany concluded bilateral treaties with other nations, including with the United States
- In the late 1970s and into the 1980s there was a proposal discussed that was submitted by the developing countries



History of Working Requirements III

- Developments after the Convention Paris Revisions
 - European Commission challenged the Italian (1989) and U.K. (1990) requirements – the 1992 ECJ decision
 - TRIPS Agreement
 - U.S.-Brazil WTO dispute



Functions of Working Requirements

Disclosure

- "Teaching" an invention v. a field of technology
- Informational function

Protection of domestic industry

- Local production, building of infrastructure
- Employment

Access

- Access to an invention
- Protection against blocking monopolies

Strategic/national security considerations

 Preserving and/or developing a field of science or technology



Relationship of Working Requirements and Other Components of National Patent Systems I

Patentability

- Chemical inventions
- Pharmaceutical inventions

Disclosure requirements

- Informational function through patent applications
- Remnants of the requirements in disclosure requirements

Exhaustion doctrine

Principle of national v. international exhaustion



Relationship of Working Requirements and Other Components of National Patent Systems II

Injunctive relief

 Court discretion to grant or deny injunctive relief v. the lack of discretion

Competition law

- Standard essential patents
- "License of right"



Conclusions

- Greater importance of the working requirement in some legal systems than in others
- Comparisons need to be performed at the functional level rather than word by word
- Problems that arise when international harmonization mandates specific provisions (rather than a general framework)
- To the extent that international law still permits working requirements, some countries may use working requirements to address issues that other countries may solve through other mechanisms





Patent Working Requirements Historical and Comparative Perspectives

Marketa Trimble

Professor of Law William S. Boyd School of Law

"Patent Sovereignty and International Law"

UC Irvine School of Law

October 16, 2015