

Scholarly Commons @ UNLV Boyd Law

Statements

Society of American Law Teachers Archive

1978

Statement on Constitutional Conventions

Society of American Law Teachers

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholars.law.unlv.edu/saltarchive_statements



Part of the Constitutional Law Commons

Recommended Citation

Society of American Law Teachers, "Statement on Constitutional Conventions" (1978). Statements. 4. https://scholars.law.unlv.edu/saltarchive_statements/4

This Statement is brought to you by the Scholarly Commons @ UNLV Boyd Law, an institutional repository administered by the Wiener-Rogers Law Library at the William S. Boyd School of Law. For more information, please contact youngwoo.ban@unlv.edu.

Society of American Law Teachers

630 FIFTH AVENUE . NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10020 (212) 586-1710

PRESIDENT DAVID L. CHAMBERS UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN LAW SCHOOL ANN ARBOR, MICH. 48104

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EDWARD J. SWAN

May 21, 1979

PAST PRESIDENTS NORMAN DORSEN HOWARD LESNICK

(313) 763-2211

VICE-PRESIDENTS ANTHONY G. AMSTERDAM DERRICK A. BELL, JR. GARY BELLOW

RUTH BADER GINSBURG BOARD OF GOVERNORS GEORGE J. ALEXANDER JUDITH C. AREEN FRANK ASKIN ELIZABETH BARTHOLET ROBERT W. BENNETT HARLAN M. BLAKE VINCENT A. BLASI PAUL A. BREST RALPH S. BROWN, JR. THOMAS I. EMERSON STEPHEN GILLERS CAROLE E. GOLDBERG CHARLES R. HALPERN ISAAC C. HUNT, JR. RONALD E. KENNEDY MICHAEL MELTSNER ARVAL A. MORRIS BEATRICE A. MOULTON LOUIS H. POLLAK RHONDA A. RIVERA

MARK G. YUDOF TREASURER STUART J. F!LLER

RAND E. ROSENBLATT ROBERT A. SEDLER HERBERT SEMMEL JON R. WALTZ WENDY W. WILLIAMS JUDITH T. YOUNGER

TO:

Professors Baker, Brest, Dore, Dorsen, Emerson,

Levin, and Rivera

FROM: David Chambers

We have, at last, mailed a membership plea to constitutional law teachers. Here's a copy of the letter that went out.

Thanks again.

DLC:tak

Enclosure

YALE UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL

NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT

THOMAS I. EMERSON

May 11, 1979

Dear Colleague:

We who write you this letter are teachers of constitutional The AALS directory lists you as a fellow teacher. We write to urge you to join us in the Society of American Law Teachers. SALT is a small organization but more of its members -- over 100 -teach constitutional law than any other single course.

We need your support and believe that you can benefit from our services. Here are a few examples of what we are doing:

Recently we issued a book on the first year of law school for persons considering or starting law school. Called Looking at Law School, it includes a chapter by Paul Bender of the University of Pennsylvania on constitutional law in the lawschool curriculum. Upon joining, we would be glad to send you a copy.

We have held conferences on various aspects of legal education and plan to hold another before the end of the year, this one with special emphasis on the problem of persons in their first several years of law teaching.

We have started an exam exchange service for constitutional law teachers. Join us and send a couple of your recent exams. We will then provide you at cost a multilithed set of the exams we collect. We have informed our current constitutional law teachers of the service and many have already indicated a desire to participate.

We are in the process of producing a volume of essays on the first decade of the Burger Court.

We also try to follow congressional legislation bearing on constitutional issues and constitutional litigation -- legislation such as that bearing on citizen access to the federal courts to protect constitutional rights. We have also on occasion participated in litigation.

SALT needs your support now more than ever. We have about 350 members and operate almost entirely on our membership dues of \$25 per year. Dues thus produce less than \$10,000 each year, out of which we try to maintain the services of a parttime executive director and secretary and a large number of projects. Without the support of more teachers, we will have to cut back drastically on our activities.

Let us hear from you soon.

Constitutional Law Section

I am very much interested in joining the Constitutional Law Section of The Society of American Law Teachers. Please find my check for \$25 enclosed to cover the first year's membership dues.

Name _	•
Title _	
Address _	
_	
School	
Number of Teaching _	Years

Send to: Society of American Law Teachers

Box 7906

Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107

June 2, 1978 Ms. Pat Deyea American Civil Liberties Union 22 hast 40th Street New York, NY 10016 Dear Pat: Here is the resolution passed by SALT's board. Make whatever use of it that you would like. We too may release it on our own. Let me know if you need further help in particular states or if you have ideas of uses we can make of the statement. Warm regards. Sincerely, David L. Chambers President

> DLC: mwh Enclosure

cc: Stephen Gillers Robert Sedler

Stat fle-SACT-Constitutional Consention NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW 357 EAST CHICAGO AVENUE CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60611 May 9, 1978 Professor Robert A. Sedler Wayne State University Law School

Detroit, Michigan 48202

Dear Bob:

Enclosed is a still shorter version of the statement you prepared.

Yours truly,

Robert W. Bennett Professor of Law and Director of Research

RWB:ds Encl.

cc: David Chambers

STATEMENT

The Board of Governors of the Society of American Law

Teachers views with great concern proposals calling for a constitutional convention to amend the Constitution. Our concern is with the convention method of amending the Constitution. Under Article V of the Constitution, amendments may be submitted to the states for ratification either by a two-thirds vote of both houses of Congress, or by a constitutional convention, which Congress is directed to call upon the application of two-thirds of the states. All of the existing amendments to the Constitution have been proposed under the first method, and, in close to 200 years of constitutional history, the convention method has never been used to try to amend the Constitution.

Article V says nothing about how the convention method is to cperate; as a result the use of that method would raise a host of unanswered questions. Probably the most serious such question is whether a convention may embark upon radical alteration of our Constitution beyond any proposal that motivated the states in calling the convention. The convention, for example, might well have the authority to repeal the Bill of Rights or change substantially the allocation of powers between the federal government and the states. Even a small risk of such a runaway convention is not worth taking.

The Board of Governors of the Society of American Law Teachers thus calls upon the legislatures of the states to adhere to the time-tested method of amending the Constitution and to avoid further action that might invite a constitutional crisis in this Nation.

TO: Elizabeth Bartholet, Bob Bennett, Howard Lesnick, and Steve Gillers

FROM: Bob Sedler

RE: Statement on Constitutional Conventions

DATE: May 24, 1978

Enclosed is the final draft that I sent to Dave Chambers. It is essentially Bob Bennett's revision of my draft with a few additions. Betsy raised the question of whether it was the sense of the Board that references to abortion should be eliminated. That was my understanding of what the Board wanted, and I checked it out with Dave Chambers, whose understanding was the same as mine. For this reason, I did not include any references to abortion in the final draft.

Thanks for your help.

STATEMENT

The Board of Governors of the Society of American Law Teachers views with great concern proposals calling for a constitutional convention to amend the Constitution. Our concern is with the convention method of amending the Constitution. Under Article V of the Constitution, amendments may be submitted to the states for ratification either by a two-thirds vote of both houses of Congress, or by a constitutional convention, which Congress is directed to call upon the application of two-thirds of the states. All of the existing amendments to the Constitution have been proposed under the first method, and, in close to 200 years of constitutional history, the convention method has never been used to try to amend the Constitution.

Article V says nothing about how the convention method is to operate; as a result the use of that method would raise a host of unanswered and greatly troubling questions. Probably the most serious such question is whether a convention may embark upon radical alteration of our Constitution beyond any proposal that was contained in the call for the convention by the states. The convention, for example, might well claim the authority to repeal the Bill of Rights or change substantially the allocation of powers between the federal government and the states. Even a small risk of such a runaway convention is not worth taking.

The Board of Governors of the Society of American Law Teachers thus calls upon the legislatures of the states to adhere to the time-tested method of amending the Constitution and to avoid further action that might precipitate a constitutional crisis in this Nation.

May 11, 1978 Ms. Patricia Beyea Women's Rights Project American Civil Liberties Union 22 East 40th Street New York, NY 10016 Dear Pat: The Board of SALT did pass a resolution on the issue of the constitutional convention. We left some touching up of language to a couple of Board members and I should be in a position to forward a final version to you in another week or so. Unfortunately we will have no further newsletters to our membership until the fall. If you would like to have me include a paragraph or two in a newsletter mailed in September, you could send me some possible copy by August 20. Sincerely yours, David L. Chambers President DLC: mwh