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Good Lawyers Should Be Good Psychologists: Insights for Interviewing and Counseling Clients

JEAN R. STERNLIGHT* & JENNIFER ROBBENNOLT**

I. INTRODUCTION

Practicing law means working with people. To be effective in working with clients, witnesses, judges, mediators, arbitrators, experts, jurors, and other lawyers, attorneys must have a good understanding of how people think and make decisions, and must possess good people skills.¹ Yet, law schools

* Saltman Professor of Law and Director of Saltman Center for Conflict Resolution, UNLV Boyd School of Law.

** Professor of Law and Psychology, University of Illinois. We thank the faculty and students at the Ohio State University for the opportunity to give the Schwartz Lecture and for providing excellent feedback. We are indebted to Matthew Engle, Michael Hammer, Brandon Kemble, Matt Takas, and Hetty Wong for their valuable research assistance, to the library staff of the University of Illinois College of Law and the Boyd School of Law for their very helpful assistance, and to Michael and Sonja Saltman, the Boyd School of Law, and the University of Illinois College of Law for financial support. Our heartfelt thanks go to Richard Birke, Chris Guthrie, Rebecca Scharf, and Nina Tarr for their valuable comments on a previous draft of this article, and to colleagues at the Boyd School of Law for feedback on any early lecture-version of this Article.

¹ An ongoing study by law professor Marjorie Shultz and psychology professor Sheldon Zedeck has identified twenty-six factors that contribute to lawyers' effectiveness. Many of these factors draw from psychological insights. The twenty-six factors are: analysis and reasoning, creativity/innovation, practical judgment, researching the law, passion and engagement, questioning and interviewing, influencing and advocating, writing, speaking, integrity/honesty, ability to see the world through the eyes of others, self-development, organizing and managing others, negotiation skills, networking and business development, building client relationship including advice and counsel, organizing and managing own work, developing relationships, evaluation/development/mentoring, problem solving, stress management, fact finding, diligence, listening, community involvement and service, and strategic planning. University of California, Berkeley School of Law, 26 Effectiveness Factors, http://www.law.berkeley.edu/beyondlsat/effectiveness.pdf (last visited Feb. 8, 2008). The ultimate purpose of this study, supported by the Law School Admission Council, is to
have tended to teach very little, directly, about how to be good with people, and current critiques of legal education do not focus much on the importance of psychological insights to attorneys.²

At the same time, the insight that the practice of law is far more people-oriented than is legal education is not new. In 1955, then Harvard Law School Dean Erwin Griswold gave a speech entitled "Law Schools and Human Relations." He wrote:

There is some tendency, with the case method, for the study of law to be something like the study of chess or the analysis of a bridge hand. When analyzing the law in intricate detail, it may be hard to keep in mind the vital fact that the problems really relate to people, either the people who are parties to the case, or the people who will be affected by the law established once the case is decided.³

Responding in part to the comments of Dean Griswold and others, as well as to documents such as the MacCrate Report,⁴ law schools now offer far more people-oriented courses than they did in the 1950s. Specifically, law schools now often sponsor clinics that involve direct client contact and skills courses such as trial practice, interviewing and counseling, negotiation, and design a law school entry exam that can predict success as a lawyer, and not just as a law student. See University of California, Berkeley School of Law, Law School Admission Project: Looking Beyond the LSAT, http://www.law.berkeley.edu/beyondlsat/ (last visited Feb. 8, 2008) (containing articles discussing study). See also Stephen Feldman & Kent Wilson, The Value of Interpersonal Skills in Lawyering, 5 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 311, 320 (1981) (reporting study showing that clients' perceptions of attorneys are most favorable when the attorneys have both technical competence and good people skills).²

See, e.g., ROY STUCKEY ET AL., BEST PRACTICES FOR LEGAL EDUCATION passim (2007) (recognizing that lawyers need to possess communication and problem-solving skills but failing to place much emphasis on psychological aspects of lawyering).

³ Erwin N. Griswold, Law Schools and Human Relations, 37 CHI. B. REC. 199, 201 (1956).

⁴ The MacCrate Report urged that law schools place more emphasis on teaching a broad array of skills, and not merely focus on legal analysis. A.B.A., LEGAL EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT—AN EDUCATIONAL CONTINUUM 330 (1992). This Report received substantial attention, and has sparked follow-up symposia. See, e.g., Symposium, The MacCrate Report: 10 Years After, 23 PACE L. REV. 513 (2003). See also WILLIAM M. SULLIVAN ET AL., SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, IN EDUCATING LAWYERS: PREPARATION FOR THE PROFESSION OF LAW 6 (2006), available at http://www.carnegiefoundation.org/files/elibrary/EducatingLawyers_summary.pdf (noting that law schools offer clinics and skills courses but urging that law schools typically "give only casual attention to teaching students how to use legal thinking in the complexity of actual law practice").
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mediation, as well as some doctrinal courses that incorporate various psychological insights.\(^5\)

Nonetheless, lawyers and legal education have still not taken full advantage of the great strides that have been made in the field of scientific psychology in recent decades.\(^6\) Psychologists know much and are learning more about how people think, remember, and decide, but law schools have not broadly integrated these insights into the law school curriculum.\(^7\) Some courses do draw on psychological science but still fail to take note of many of the insights that psychology can offer.\(^8\)

Similarly, psychologists are not doing as much as they might to apply their discipline to all aspects of law. While law and psychology texts and

\(^5\) SULLIVAN ET AL., supra note 4, at 7 (observing that while law schools offer more experiential and contextual courses than they did fifty years ago, such courses are still often placed in a subordinate status). One professor urges that interpersonal skills are so important to lawyering that they should be taught directly. See Joshua D. Rosenberg, Interpersonal Dynamics: Helping Lawyers Learn the Skills, and the Importance, of Human Relationships in the Practice of Law, 58 U. MIAMI L. REV. 1225, 1283 (2004).

\(^6\) E.g., Austin Sarat, Lawyers and Clients: Putting Professional Service on the Agenda of Legal Education, 41 J. LEGAL EDUC. 43, 43 (1991) (noting that law students learn little about encountering people in stressful situations and fashioning solutions that are responsive to human as well as legal concerns).

\(^7\) Psychology is not the only relevant social science. Lawyers can also learn a lot from the fields of communications, sociology, economics, and many others. But to us, psychology seems particularly relevant and, at a minimum, psychology offers enough insights to fill an article.

\(^8\) For example, a number of excellent books have been written to teach the skills of interviewing and counseling clients. Yet these books do not cover psychology in the detail we think would be useful. See ROBERT M. BASTRESS & JOSEPH D. HARBAUGH, INTERVIEWING, COUNSELING & NEGOTIATING: SKILLS FOR EFFECTIVE REPRESENTATION (1990) (drawing extensively from psychoanalysis and psychotherapy but failing to consider various other psychological insights); DAVID A. BINDER ET AL., LAWYERS AS COUNSELORS: A CLIENT-CENTERED APPROACH (2d ed. 2004) (offering practical suggestions, some based on psychological insights, but failing to provide detail regarding background psychology); ROBERT F. COCHRAN, JR. ET AL., THE COUNSELOR-AT-LAW: A COLLABORATIVE APPROACH TO CLIENT INTERVIEWING AND COUNSELING (1999) (including some psychology, such as a section on information processing flaws); STEFAN H. KRIEGER & RICHARD K. NEUMANN, JR., ESSENTIAL LAWYERING SKILLS: INTERVIEWING, COUNSELING, NEGOTIATION, AND PERSUASIVE FACT ANALYSIS 59–107 (2d ed. 2003) (devoting a relatively small portion of the book to interviewing and counseling, and within those pages focusing only briefly on psychology); THOMAS L. SHAFFER & JAMES R. ELKINS, LEGAL INTERVIEWING AND COUNSELING IN A NUTSHELL (3d ed. 1997) (drawing on literature from counseling, psychoanalysis, and other sources to provide practical suggestions on interviewing and counseling, but failing to cover other links between psychology and interviewing and counseling).
courses are now commonly offered for undergraduate and graduate students in psychology as well as for law students, these almost always focus exclusively on a few limited aspects of law and legal practice. The typical law and psychology course or book tends to cover primarily criminal rather than civil law and practice, and places its emphasis on the psychology of juries, eyewitness testimony, interrogation, and trials.9

This Article begins to fill some of the gaps that exist in the application of psychology to law, focusing on important lessons practicing civil attorneys can learn from psychologists. In particular, this Article will look at psychological insights that are important to the endeavor of interviewing and providing initial counseling10 to clients11 in civil cases.12 Law students

9 E.g., CURT R. BARTOL & ANNE M. BARTOL, PSYCHOLOGY AND LAW: THEORY, RESEARCH, AND APPLICATION (3d ed. 2004) (offering text focused, inter alia, on juries, evidence, eyewitness testimony, and corrections); PSYCHOLOGY AND LAW: AN EMPIRICAL PERSPECTIVE (Neil Brewer & Kipling D. Williams eds., 2005) (offering detailed and technical insights focused on psychology of the criminal justice system); MARK COSTANZO, PSYCHOLOGY APPLIED TO LAW (2004) (discussing psychology as applied to criminal and workplace contexts); ANDREAS KAPARDIS, PSYCHOLOGY AND LAW: A CRITICAL INTRODUCTION (2d ed. 2003) (addressing interface between psychology and criminal law). But cf. JOHN MONAHAN & LAURENS WALKER, SOCIAL SCIENCE IN LAW: CASES AND MATERIALS (6th ed. 2006) (covering a broader range of topics). While it is understandable that such texts focus on the applications of psychology that have been the most thoroughly researched in the legal context—see Richard L. Wiener et al., Evaluating Published Research in Psychology and Law: A Gatekeeper Analysis of Law and Human Behavior, in TAKING PSYCHOLOGY AND LAW INTO THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY 371, 378–81 (James R.P. Ogloff ed., 2002)—we argue both that there is a wide range of psychological theory that remains untapped and that there are a whole host of legal areas for which the insights of psychology have not been carefully explored.

10 Given the large percentage of time attorneys spend interviewing and counseling clients, this is a very important skill for attorneys to possess. E.g., David M. Trubek et al., The Costs of Ordinary Litigation, 31 UCLA L. REV. 72, 91 (1983) (concluding that lawyers spent 16% of their time conferring with clients, and spent significantly less time either conducting research or participating in trials or hearings); Paul Brest, The Responsibility of Law Schools: Educating Lawyers as Counselors and Problem Solvers, 58 LAW & CONTEMP. PROBS., Summer/Autumn 1995, at 5, 8.

11 We focus on individual rather than organizational clients. We believe that our insights are relevant to organizational clients as well, but also recognize that additional group psychology would be useful to assist attorneys in representing organizational clients.

12 Many of the insights that we develop here are also relevant to the handling of criminal cases. We have chosen to focus on civil rather than criminal cases simply based on our collective expertise and because we need to place some limits on this already unwieldy topic. See Ian Weinstein, Don't Believe Everything You Think: Cognitive Bias in Legal Decision Making, 9 CLINICAL L. REV. 783, 785–86 (2003) (applying
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commonly graduate from law school understanding little if anything about perception, memory, communication, cognitive heuristics, or decisionmaking.\(^\text{13}\) While good lawyers ultimately pick up some of this information through experience, there is no reason to leave new lawyers to flounder based on a lack of understanding of these psychological principles.\(^\text{14}\) Further, even experienced lawyers can benefit from more psychological insights to the interview of a criminal defendant).

\(^\text{13}\) There is an empirical question about the extent to which this psychological material can be effectively taught in the classroom, or whether such insights are best learned on the job. Research indicates that relevant strategies are teachable. See, e.g., Geoffrey T. Fong et al., The Effects of Statistical Training on Thinking about Everyday Problems, 18 COGNITIVE PSYCHOL. 253, 280–82 (1986); Richard P. Larrick et al., Teaching the Use of Cost-Benefit Reasoning in Everyday Life, 1 PSYCHOL. SCI. 362, 369 (1990); Darrin R. Lehman & Richard E. Nisbett, A Longitudinal Study of the Effects of Undergraduate Training on Reasoning, 26 DEVELOPMENTAL PSYCHOL. 952, 959 (1990); Richard E. Nisbett et al., Teaching Reasoning, 238 SCI. 625, 631 (1987). Certainly, medical schools have operated under the assumption that communication skills and improved bedside manner can be taught, as the majority of schools are now including such courses in their curriculum. See, e.g., Dennis H. Novack et al., Medical Interviewing and Interpersonal Skills Teaching in US Medical Schools: Progress, Problems, and Promise, 269 JAMA 2101, 2101–02 (1993). An analysis of these medical school training programs has shown them to be effective. Knut Aspegren, BEME Guide No. 2: Teaching and Learning Communication Skills in Medicine—A Review with Quality Grading of Articles, 21 MED. TEACHER 563, 566 (1999). Given that learning on the job will necessarily be inefficient, in that lawyers who seek to learn by doing will inevitably suffer from confirmatory bias, illusory correlations, constructed realities, and other phenomena discussed in this Article, it seems worthwhile to attempt to teach some of the relevant psychology in the classroom. However, as studies in the medical field seem to show that experiential teaching of these matters yields far better results than instructional teaching, thought will need to be given to how to best convey the kinds of material covered in this Article. See id. at 565.

\(^\text{14}\) We recognize that the psychological makeup of many lawyers may present challenges to the mastery of some interviewing and counseling skills. A number of studies and articles emphasize that many lawyers are more comfortable with analysis and rules than they are in confronting emotion. See, e.g., Nancy A. Welsh, Looking Down the Road Less Traveled: Challenges to Persuading the Legal Profession to Define Problems More Humanistically, J. DISP. RESOL. (forthcoming 2008) (urging that we must encourage lawyers and law students to feel more human connections); Marjorie A. Silver, Love, Hate and Other Emotional Interference in the Lawyer/Client Relationship, 6 CLINICAL L. REV. 259 (1999) (urging that lawyers improve their emotional intelligence); Susan Daicoff, Lawyer, Know Thyself: A Review of Empirical Research on Attorneys' Core Values and Professionalism, 46 AM. U. L. REV. 1337 (1997) (examining why lawyers so persistently define problems in narrow analytical fashion); Tamara Relis, "It's Not About the Money!": A Theory on Misconceptions of Plaintiffs' Litigation Aims, 68 U. PITT. L. REV. 341, 380 (2006) ("[I]t is more difficult for attorneys—who tend to be
explicit study of psychology. While the best lawyers may have intuited some of what will be discussed here, some of the findings are counterintuitive, and even experienced lawyers can improve their approach to interviewing and counseling by drawing on relevant psychology.\textsuperscript{15}

In general, interviewing and counseling sessions have three main components. First, the attorney uses an interview to obtain information from the client. Second, the attorney uses the counseling portion of the initial session to provide information to the client. Third, throughout the session the attorney is concerned with establishing rapport between attorney and client. Success or failure in building rapport with the client will inevitably affect the attorney's ability to obtain and provide information, and to ethically and effectively counsel the client in this and future sessions.

Knowledge of the psychology of both client and attorney is highly relevant to all three facets of interviewing and counseling and is critically important as attorneys seek to build good rapport, obtain relevant information from clients, retain that information, and guide clients regarding their options. Thus, attorneys who read this Article should gain insights about themselves, as well as about their clients. Interestingly, the former may prove more challenging to apply than the latter. Studies have shown that people display an asymmetry in their responses to learning about some of the psychological phenomena described in this Article—demonstrating a tendency to believe that such phenomena occur in others, but not in themselves.\textsuperscript{16}

\textsuperscript{15}Our goal is to provide lawyers, law students, and law professors with enough information about relevant psychology that they can go beyond our suggestions in determining how to apply the psychology to interviewing and counseling. That is, rather than provide an interviewing and counseling checklist or cookbook, we believe it is important to provide our readers with enough raw ingredients that they can make their own stew. The insights that can be drawn from the psychology we present here are infinite, and the proper approach will vary substantially with context-specific differences in clients and circumstances. Thus, whereas any checklist or set of instructions would inevitably be deficient, we hope that psychologically-educated attorneys can find additional applications. Moreover, we recognize that attorneys must balance many factors in designing their interviews. Even an attorney who is knowledgeable about psychology might find that financial or other constraints preclude her from following all of our suggestions, which is another reason we opt to provide background rather than checklists.

\textsuperscript{16}See, e.g., Joyce Ehrlinger et al., \textit{Peering Into the Bias Blind Spot}: People's
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The remainder of this Article will be organized as follows. First, in Part II, we will introduce a lawyer, Jack, whom we think would benefit from reading this Article. We will describe how Jack thinks about interviewing and counseling and provide a few examples of interviewing and counseling sessions he will soon conduct. Although Jack is not based on any single person, we suspect that many readers will recognize aspects of Jack in themselves or in students or lawyers whom they know. Part III will provide a review of some relevant psychology. Of course we will not cover all aspects of psychology or even all the nuances of the areas we review, but instead will focus on research we see as most pertinent to interviewing and counseling clients. As we discuss each topic we will provide a few applications, making suggestions for how Jack might interview and counsel his clients. Finally, in Part IV, we will offer a summary of some of the key insights psychology provides with respect to legal interviewing and counseling sessions.¹⁷

II. A TRADITIONAL APPROACH TO INTERVIEWING AND COUNSELING

Jack is a lawyer whom we think might benefit from reading this Article. Jack is very bright. He got high scores on his LSAT, and he did well in law school. Not surprisingly, therefore, Jack is a whiz when it comes to legal research and analysis. Give him the facts and the legal question and he can recite or find the relevant statutes and cases. He writes well and also speaks very clearly. He can assess the legal risks of situations well. Jack is also a nice guy, truly interested in doing the best work he can on behalf of his clients. While it may be easiest to envision Jack as a recent law school graduate, no doubt more than a few Jacks have practiced law for many years


¹⁷As we begin this foray into psychology, one ethical caution is in order. Psychology, like most things, can be used for good or evil. While there is a possibility that lawyers could use the tools here to deceive or gain unfair advantage over other people, it is hoped that the tools discussed here will only be used for just purposes.
and may even be nearing retirement.18

Let us now follow Jack, as he commences to interview and counsel a client.19 In terms of logistics, Jack has never given any particular thought to the location of an interview, to the way the room is set up, to whether food or other amenities are provided, or to what he wears. Jack's sense is that all of this is irrelevant to the task at hand: information extraction. He thinks he could get the same information interviewing a client in a McDonald's, a bleak conference room, or a luxury suite.

Jack always asks his secretary or paralegal to get at least a bit of information on the potential case before he meets with the client. When possible he uses intake forms, filled out prior to the interview. Then, if the issue is unfamiliar, Jack does at least some advance research so that he can be prepared to ask clients the most relevant questions and avoid going down blind alleys.

Jack believes that it is best to be somewhat informal with clients. He always shakes his client's hand at the beginning and end of an interview, and he always addresses clients by their first names. He looks them straight in the eye to show that he is sincere. Beyond this, Jack has not given much thought to the idea of developing rapport with his clients. He believes that if he is competent, and provides good legal advice, his clients by and large will like him and bring him new business or refer additional clients. Once Jack is beyond the inevitable preliminaries of the attorney-client privilege and conflicts checks, he gets to the point as quickly as possible.

Jack's overall philosophy is that clients are an important, yet very inefficiently organized, source of information. Jack often envisions himself

---

18 Jack is an amalgam, and certainly not based on any particular individual. Yet, lest any readers doubt that Jacks really exist, see, for example, BASTRESS & HARBAUGH, supra note 8, at 61–62 (quoting THE NAT'L L.J. 13, 44–45, 47 (Dec. 1, 1980)) (describing a lawyer somewhat like Jack).

19 We do not assert that Jack's approach is typical. Indeed, few studies have been done regarding how lawyers interview and counsel clients. See Brenda Danet et al., Obstacles to the Study of Lawyer-Client Interaction: The Biography of a Failure, 14 LAW & SOC'Y REV. 905, 917–21 (1980) (discussing why researchers had great difficulty attempting to study a set of civil cases from start to finish). Those few studies that have been done seem to indicate, as one might expect, that lawyers' approaches vary according to the type of practice and type of client. See Gary Neustadter, When Lawyer and Client Meet: Observations of Interviewing and Counseling Behavior in the Consumer Bankruptcy Law Office, 35 BUFF. L. REV. 177, 194–98 (1986) (summarizing studies); Stewart Macaulay, Lawyers and Consumer Protection Laws, 14 LAW & SOC'Y REV. 115 (1979) (discussing many roles played by Wisconsin consumer lawyers). See also HERBERT M. KRITZER, THE JUSTICE BROKER: LAWYERS AND ORDINARY LITIGATION (1990).
as a detective or law professor, seeking to extract the relevant facts from his client. Jack's frustration is that clients are even worse than the typical law student in stating the relevant facts and he can't understand why so few clients can present information in a coherent fashion. His clients, even those clients with high-powered jobs and advanced degrees, often tell their stories in a jumbled fashion. They fail to effectively organize the information they present either in terms of the relevant law (somewhat understandable) or even chronologically. In addition, a frustrating percentage of clients seem unable to present the right amount of information. They either ramble incessantly or try to keep their information tighter than a high security operation. Don't they understand that their lawyer needs all the relevant information in order to be helpful, and that drowning out the relevant information with irrelevant meanderings only increases the cost of their own representation?

Jack also wonders what is going on with people's memories. Jack's own memory is not perfect when it comes to trivia questions or what he ate for breakfast last Monday, but he certainly thinks he has good recall for the kinds of important events at stake for his clients. Why do so many of his clients say that they can't remember key facts? And, why do they so frequently tell internally inconsistent stories or provide factual information clearly controverted by key documents? Jack doesn't think most of his clients are liars, so is it really that most people are just not very bright? Finally, why are so many of his clients so emotional? Admittedly they are sometimes talking about situations that are personally important and even hurtful, but why can't more of them set aside their emotions long enough to provide the facts in a coherent fashion? Jack knows that his time is money, and to aid himself, his firm, and his clients he prides himself on his ability to extract the relevant facts quickly and efficiently. If Jack could simply attach electrodes to the client's brain, in order to obtain the important information, he would. Unfortunately, as that technology does not yet exist, Jack does the best he

In terms of questioning technique, Jack does not hesitate to cut clients off when necessary or to use cross examination-style questions. He finds that these methods limit clients' rambling. Once Jack sees the key legal issues he probes his clients' knowledge of the facts that are relevant to support claims or defenses on particular causes of action. He often intersperses feedback on the law with the extraction of information from the client both so that he can impress the client with his knowledge and also so that he can guide the discussion along appropriate lines.

As a counselor, Jack believes in "straight talk." If the client has a loser of a claim or defense, Jack will tell him that. To the extent Jack's clients have multiple options, Jack will give them his opinion regarding which choice is best. In Jack's experience, most clients care most about money, so he will typically help them find the option that is best from the perspective of gaining or saving the most money. Jack does not believe in wasting time trying to sugarcoat his advice: "lay it out quick and dirty" is his philosophy. He expects his clients to be smart enough to understand what he is saying.

When offering clients advice, Jack is careful to stick to the law. While he recognizes that some clients have emotional or psychological issues, he believes that lawyers should stay as far away from those issues as possible. If a client asks him for a referral to a "shrink," a chiropractor, or a masseuse for that matter, he will provide it if he can. But, Jack certainly does not volunteer such information unsolicited or attempt to counsel clients as to non-legal aspects of their lives or problems. In his view that might almost amount to malpractice, in that he might be seen to be offering suggestions outside his expertise.

Jack is generally quite pleased with his own interview and counseling style. He prides himself on the fact that many of his interviews only last a half hour or so, even in complex cases. Jack believes that he excels in obtaining information quickly from clients, just as he excelled in spotting issues quickly on law school exams. He also believes that he excels in providing guidance that is unbiased. Whether clients' claims are strong or weak, he lays out their chances in an accurate fashion.

Jack works at a medium-size general practice law firm. To give you the sense of his work, we have looked into a crystal ball to determine a few of the interviews Jack will soon conduct:

Edna Employee will come to see Jack because she believes she may have

---

21 Jack's approach is consistent with what some have called a directive style. See, e.g., COCHRAN, JR. ET AL., supra note 8, at 111–12.
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been treated illegally at work. Edna is a forty-five-year-old white woman who worked at a major pharmaceutical company for eighteen years. Recently the company conducted a major layoff, and Edna was one of the victims. She believes her termination (with severance benefits) was very unfair, and possibly illegal. The company retained some younger men in her department whom she believes are less qualified and experienced. Indeed, other than a secretary, Edna was the only woman in a ten-person department. Edna believes that her supervisor, Sam Super, was responsible for choosing to terminate her rather than other male employees. Jack will consider a lawsuit for age or gender discrimination.

Carl Cementa owns a company called Carl's Creative Concrete. This company, which has been in existence for twelve years, lays standard concrete for driveways, sidewalks, and foundations. The company also does colorful and creative concrete for backyard patios. The company generally has a very good reputation. Carl will come to see Jack because a former client, Henrietta Homeowner, is threatening a lawsuit. Carl installed a backyard patio for Henrietta that he thought turned out quite well. Now, however, three years later, Henrietta claims that the concrete is cracking and that an improper slope has permitted water to enter her home and cause a mold problem. She has made a nasty phone call to Carl, but has apparently not yet retained an attorney.

Agnes Ageout is seventy-two-year-old widow with three children, three grandchildren, and a fifty-two-year-old boyfriend. Her estate is currently worth approximately $200,000. She is considering leaving everything to her boyfriend, Lenny Lounger, whom she met about a year ago at a casino in Las Vegas, and she will consult Jack for assistance with estate planning.

Kyle Kiddo is a six-year-old boy who will come to the office with his parents. Approximately one year ago Kyle suffered very serious abdominal injuries after accidentally swallowing several magnetic balls. These magnetic balls were part of a play set to be used for constructing interesting shapes. The balls needed to be surgically removed, and surgery

---

22 Psychologists have done research that would be helpful to Jack in interviewing children. See, e.g., Maggie Bruck & Stephen J. Ceci, The Suggestibility of Children's Memory, 50 ANN. REV. PSYCHOL. 419 (1999); Stephen J. Ceci & Maggie Bruck, Jeopardy in the Courtroom: A Scientific Analysis of Children's Testimony (1995); Gail S. Goodman & Annika Melinder, Child Witness Research and Forensic Interviews of Young Children: A Review, 12 LEGAL & CRIMINOLOGICAL PSYCHOL. 1 (2007). Similarly, relevant work has been done with regard to interviewing older adults such as Agnes. See, e.g., Allison M. Wright & Robyn E. Holliday, Interviewing Cognitively Impaired Older Adults: How Useful is a Cognitive Interview?, 15 MEMORY 17 (2007). However, this work is outside the scope of this Article.
also had to be done to correct harm caused to some of Kyle's internal organs when the magnetic balls brought them closer together than they should have been. Kyle and his parents are African-American. Jack will consider a products liability suit for defective design and failure to warn against the manufacturer of the magnetic balls.

III. PSYCHOLOGICAL INSIGHTS RELEVANT TO EFFECTIVE INTERVIEWING AND COUNSELING

Jack analogizes his clients to computers or information repositories, and wishes he could simply attach electrodes to elicit the relevant information. However, most readers will not be shocked to learn that people are rather different from computers with respect to how we take in information, how we process that information, how we remember information that we have previously perceived, how we access and convey information that is stored in our brains, and how we think about desired courses of action.

Psychological scientists have studied many aspects of human cognition and behavior that are potentially relevant to interviewing and counseling clients. We focus here on empirical research—primarily from social and cognitive psychology—that has explored how people perceive, interpret, and make judgments about the world around them, how people remember and talk about such information, the influence of culture and notions of justice, and how people use that information to make decisions. Thus, we begin our review by considering the ways in which attention and construal operate to influence our perceptions and the ways in which cognitive heuristics influence judgments about the world. Second, we consider the processes by which people remember and communicate about their experiences, exploring what the science of memory suggests about how to interview clients, whether liars can be reliably identified, and the role of several different aspects of communication in the effective transmission of information between attorney and client. Third, we consider psychological research that finds broad differences among cultures in how people think, resolve disputes, and interact and research on the psychology of justice, both of which have additional implications for lawyer-client interaction. Finally, we explore a range of research findings related to understanding the ways in which people grapple with and make decisions.23

23 We do not attempt to review all possible aspects of psychological science that could have implications for client interviewing and counseling. Nor does our focus extend beyond the initial interview and counseling session to include subsequent counseling and negotiation. Additional psychology would be relevant to those aspects of
A. Perception and Construal

Jack assumes that people observe the world and what happens in it as it is, and can't understand why people have so much trouble describing the facts. However, it is clear from decades of psychological research that "[t]he perceiver . . . is not simply a dutiful clerk who passively registers information. Rather, the perceiver is an active interpreter, one who resolves ambiguities, makes educated guesses about events that cannot be observed directly, and forms inferences about associations and causal relations."24

1. Attention and Interpretation

Our senses are constantly bombarded with an amazing array of information—sounds, sights, physical sensations, odors, and tastes. It would be virtually impossible to pay attention, let alone close attention, to all of the stimuli to which we are exposed. Accordingly, we attend to only a fraction of the possible features of the environment at any given moment.25 Features of both the stimuli themselves (e.g., intensity, suddenness, unexpectedness, or movement) and the perceiver (e.g., expectations) can influence what it is that gets noticed.26

There are a variety of ways in which a person's ability to attend to and practice. In addition to the categories we have identified above, emotion is an important aspect of human psychology that has implications for interviewing and counseling. We have chosen to weave material on emotion throughout our discussion, incorporating aspects of emotion where they intersect with other aspects of psychology, rather than to include a separate section on emotion. See infra Part III.A.4 (discussing anger and causal attribution), Part III.B.5 (describing the affect heuristic), Part III.F.3 (discussing retributive justice), Part III.G.5 (describing affective forecasting), Part III.G.6 (discussing regret). See generally Terry A. Maroney, Law and Emotion: A Proposed Taxonomy of an Emerging Field, 30 Law & Hum. Behav. 119 (2006).


25 HAROLD E. PASHLER, THE PSYCHOLOGY OF ATTENTION 2 (1998) ("[T]he mind is continually assigning priority to some sensory information over others, and this selection process makes a profound difference for both conscious experience and behavior.").

26 Steven B. Most et al., What You See is What You Set: Sustained Inattentional Blindness and the Capture of Awareness, 112 Psychol. Rev. 217 (2005). "[I]nstances in which stimuli draw a person's attention without that person's volition" are referred to as "attention capture." Id. at 218. See also Jochen Triesch et al., What You See is What You Need, 3 J. Vision 86 (2003); PASHLER, supra note 25, at 242 (describing "involuntary shift of attention").
become aware of stimuli is limited. For instance, psychological research also shows it is difficult to attend to and take in information from multiple sources simultaneously—a problem of divided attention. When people attempt to pay attention to multiple things or to engage in multiple tasks, performance suffers.

Relatedly, people fail to notice even substantial changes in objects (change blindness) or objects themselves (inattentional blindness) when their attention is otherwise engaged. In one series of studies, participants were asked to watch two teams of three basketball players and keep track of the players' passes. When participants' attention was focused in this way, nearly half failed to notice a person in a gorilla costume or a person with an open umbrella walk through the game. In another study, people engaged in an actual conversation (asking for directions) that was interrupted (as two people carrying a door passed between them) failed to notice that they were talking to a different person when the conversation resumed. Interestingly, people incorrectly predict that they will be able to detect such changes—a phenomenon known as change blindness blindness. 

---

28 Id.
31 Id. at 1068. Objects can be in the visual field and "still not be 'seen' if they are not specifically being attended." Id. at 1070. In other studies, people watching filmed conversations failed to detect changes in the color of the speakers' plates, changes in whether one speaker was wearing a colorful scarf or not, and changes in the actors playing the speakers. Daniel T. Levin & Daniel J. Simons, Failure to Detect Changes to Attended Objects in Motion Pictures, 4 Psychonomic Bull. & Rev. 501, 502–03 (1997).
33 Daniel T. Levin et al., Change Blindness Blindness: The Metacognitive Error of Overestimating Change-Detection Ability, 7 Visual Cognition 397, 401 (2000) (finding that 83% of participants predicted they would detect the changes, compared to the 11%, on average, of participants in previous studies who actually did detect the changes). See also Jeffrey J. Rachlin, Misunderstanding Ability, Misallocating Responsibility, 68 Brook. L. Rev. 1055, 1072 (2003) (discussing how change blindness may cause a driver not to notice a change in his visual field, such as the sudden appearance of a child in the middle of the road and how change blindness blindness may influence a factfinder's
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Thus, to the extent Jack is simultaneously listening to a client's answer to one question, mentally formulating a different question, thinking about the client he saw just before this one, and worrying about what needs to be picked up at the grocery store, he is unlikely to be able perceive all of the relevant information in the client's answer. Moreover, understanding these limits on human attention can help Jack to understand why there are sometime gaps in his clients' knowledge of the relevant facts.

Even once we have attended to and perceived the world around us, our minds interpret the information gathered by our senses. In one famous example, students from rival schools watched a tape of a football game between the schools' teams. Students' assessments of the rule violations committed by each team and their overall assessments of the play were influenced by which school they attended. Rather than simply recording the violations and the play, observers interpreted the action as they watched it. In a recent study that seems to reflect the same phenomenon, researchers found that NBA referees' foul calls were influenced by the race of the player and the race of the referee. In the case of both African-American and Caucasian players, more fouls were called against players by an opposite-race officiating crew.

Our construal or understanding of events and situations is guided by basic knowledge structures—known as schemas—that define expectations (evaluation of the driver).

34 We also create some of what we see. Mark Snyder, When Belief Creates Reality, in 18 ADVANCES EXPERIMENTAL SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY 247 (Leonard Berkowitz ed., 1984) (reviewing studies). See generally ROBERT ROSENTHAL & LENORE JACOBSON, PYGMALION IN THE CLASSROOM: TEACHER EXPECTATION AND PUPILS' INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT (1968) (finding that teachers who expect high performance elicit high performance); Mark Snyder et al., Social Perception and Interpersonal Behavior: On the Self-Fulfilling Nature of Social Stereotypes, 35 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 656 (1977) (finding that college students who believe they are talking to an attractive person elicit more social responsiveness from that person); Saul M. Kassin et al., Behavioral Confirmation in the Interrogation Room: On the Dangers of Presuming Guilt, 27 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 187, 199 (2003) (finding that interrogators who believed a suspect was guilty elicited more nervous and guilty behavior).


37 Id. at 25.
about how the world operates, fill in gaps in information, and facilitate an
ability to "make inferences and judgments with heightened ease, speed, and
subjective confidence." Thus, people have a schema for "baby" or for "lawyer" that provides them with certain expectations and assumptions about
how such a creature should look and behave. Or Carl Cementa might have a
schema or script for how a typical job is conducted and, therefore, a tendency
to assume that Henrietta's patio was similar, that he staffed the job in a
typical way, and that he conversed with Henrietta in the way he normally
converses with his clients. Such schemas serve us well most of the time,
allowing us to process vast amounts of information quickly. In particular,
experts, like Jack, may have well-developed schemas that allow them to see
patterns that others might not and to use their expertise to select and organize
relevant information. However, because schemas may not always
accurately represent the state of the world in a particular instance, they can
sometimes lead us astray.

Social psychologists have shown that "preconceptions can be important
to interpreting data and therefore can strongly influence all other tasks that
depend on this most basic inferential undertaking." Specifically,
preconceptions and expectations can influence how information is labeled
and understood, how ambiguous information is interpreted, and the degree to
which information is scrutinized. For example, when told that someone is
"intelligent," one might understand the person to be "sensible, wise,
insightful, and stimulating" if their impression of the person is otherwise
positive. On the other hand, the same description ("intelligent") might be
understood as describing one who is "cunning and scheming" and "detached"

38 Lee Ross & Richard E. Nisbett, The Person and the Situation:
Perspectives of Social Psychology 76 (1991). Similarly, people have "scripts" that
are schemas for events and that allow an understanding of the typical course of an
Psychologist 715, 715 (1981). For example, if we hear that a person went to a
restaurant, sat down, then realized he had forgotten his reading glasses, we infer that
the person had difficulty reading the menu, even though this detail was not explicitly
recounted. Id.

39 Micheline T.H. Chi, Two Approaches to the Study of Experts' Characteristics, in
The Cambridge Handbook of Expertise and Expert Performance 21, 23 (K.
Anders Ericsson et al. eds., 2006).

40 Nisbett & Ross, supra note 24, at 7 ("[Such] knowledge structures themselves
are not infallible guides to the nature of physical or social reality. Some beliefs, theories,
and schemas are relatively poor and inaccurate representations of the external world.
More dangerous, objects and events are not always labeled accurately and sometimes are
processed through entirely inappropriate knowledge structures.").

41 Id. at 67.
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if the person is otherwise perceived negatively.42

Lawyers' schemas may lead them to believe that clients are or should be
focused primarily on monetary recovery and on litigation success.43 Yet, if
Jack can get beyond these schemas he may realize that for many clients non-
monetary issues are highly significant, and that non-legal courses of action
can often offer benefits that the courtroom cannot.44 Far from constituting
malpractice, as Jack tends to believe, considering non-legal issues is part of
the ethical practice of law.45 But, considering non-legal issues may require
Jack to move beyond his initial schemas.

Similarly, when Jack interviews Carl about the problems with Henrietta
Homeowner's patio and Edna regarding her job situation he should be careful
to probe their answers, to ensure that they are describing things that they
know, and not just what they assumes based on their schemas. For example,
who made the decision that Edna should be part of the layoff? What criteria
were used to determine who should be included in the layoff? What kinds of
evaluations did the employees who were not laid off receive and how did
those compare to Edna's evaluations? Does Edna know as much as she thinks

42 See generally Solomon E. Asch, Forming Impressions of Personality, 41 J.
ABNORMAL & SOC. PSYCHOL. 258 (1946). Preconceptions can also influence behavior.
For example, the name of a prisoner's dilemma game can influence people's decisions
about whether to cooperate or defect. When the game is called the "Wall Street Game"
players are more likely to defect than when the game is the "Community Game." Varda
Liberman et al., The Name of the Game: Predictive Power of Reputations Versus
Situational Labels in Determining Prisoner's Dilemma Game Moves, 30 PERSONALITY &

43 Relis, supra note 14, at 362–63, 382–83 (describing empirical research on
medical malpractice attorneys and plaintiffs indicating that whereas the attorneys assume
plaintiffs are primarily or exclusively interested in money, plaintiffs are far more
interested in principles, and in obtaining relief such as admissions of fault or
responsibility, ensuring the bad acts did not happen again, receiving answers to their
questions, or securing apologies). See also Leonard L. Riskin, Mediation and Lawyers,
43 OHIO ST. L.J. 29, 44 (1982) (noting lawyers' tendency to reduce nonmonetary goals to
money).

44 Relis, supra note 14, at 348–49. The extensive literature on client-centered
counseling is geared to help lawyers escape their own schemas and focus more on what
clients actually want. See generally COCHRAN, JR. ET AL., supra note 8; BINDER ET AL.,
supra note 8.

45 MODEL RULES OF PROF'L CONDUCT R. 2.1 (2007) (allowing lawyers to counsel on
non-legal issues and also to refer clients to experts in other fields). However, it is true that
it is possible for an attorney to focus so extensively on non-legal matters, that the attorney
might expose herself to malpractice liability. See Larry O. Natt Gantt II, More than
Lawyers: The Legal and Ethical Implications of Counseling Clients on Nonlegal
she knows? In addition, lawyers always need to be careful that their own analyses are not inappropriately affected by their own preconceptions. Although it will inevitably be difficult for lawyers to be sufficiently self-aware such that they are conscious of their own preconceptions, they ought to strive to rein in such biases to the extent possible.

Relatedly, psychology also teaches that individuals tend to exhibit a confirmatory bias in the ways in which they seek out and evaluate information. As a general matter, people unconsciously tend to seek out additional information that confirms their already existing views and disregard conflicting information, rather than attempting to systematically gather accurate information.46 Moreover, when evaluating information once it is obtained, there is a tendency for assessments of the information to be influenced by the extent to which the information is consistent with the attitudes or expectations of the person doing the evaluation—a tendency known as biased assimilation.47 Information that is inconsistent with expectations or beliefs is discounted and scrutinized more carefully than is expectation-congruent data. For example, one study asked participants to review a police file, evaluate the evidence, and make decisions about further investigation. Participants who were asked to name a suspect after reviewing the file demonstrated confirmatory bias in seeking out and interpreting additional investigatory information. Specifically, they were more likely than participants who did not name a suspect to remember evidence consistent with the named suspect's guilt, to discount evidence inconsistent with the named suspect's guilt, to interpret ambiguous evidence in ways consistent with the named suspect's guilt, and to choose to pursue lines of investigation.

46 Raymond S. Nickerson, Confirmation Bias: A Ubiquitous Phenomenon in Many Guises, 2 REV. GEN. PSYCHOL. 175, 175 (1998).
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that focused on the named suspect.48

Knowledge of this bias may aid Jack when he interviews Carl Cementa about the alleged defects in Henrietta's patio. It may turn out that from Carl's perspective, Henrietta was a pain-in-the-neck client from the outset. Perhaps she was very picky about the work Carl did—frequently asking Carl do redo his plans even though the job was quite minor by his standards—and was rude in her conversations with Carl. If Jack realizes that Carl comes into this dispute with the predisposition that Henrietta's complaints are unfounded, Jack may be able to help Carl take a step back and consider whether there might be some actual problems that need to be addressed.

Interviewers have been shown to be subject to the confirmation bias as well. For example, a study by Saul Kassin and his colleagues found that interviewers who were led to believe that an interviewee was likely guilty of a theft asked a higher proportion of questions that were consistent with the interviewee's guilt ("guilt-presumptive"), used more interrogation techniques early in the interview, and were more likely to judge the interviewee guilty than were interviewers who were led to believe that the interviewee was likely innocent.49


49 Kassin et al., supra note 34, at 187. See also Mark Snyder & William B. Swann, Hypothesis-Testing Processes in Social Interaction, 36 J. Personality & Soc. Psychol. 1202, 1205 (1978) (finding that interviewers' choice of questions in a "get-acquainted" interview were consistent with pre-existing beliefs about the interviewee). Observers who were not privy to the interviewers' prior beliefs also judged interviewers with a guilt-presumption as having a higher belief in the interviewee's guilt and as exerting more pressure on the interviewee. Kassin et al., supra note 34, at 197. These observers also judged interviewees who were interviewed by interviewers with a guilt-presumption as behaving more defensively. Id. at 198. See also Ellen J. Langer & Robert P. Abelson, A Patient By Any Other Name: Clinician Group Difference in Labeling Bias, 42 J. Consulting & Clinical Psychol. 4 (1974) (finding that observers of a clinical interview described the interviewee as more disturbed and less well adjusted when they thought he was a "patient" than when they thought he was a "job applicant").
Confirmatory bias and biased assimilation may have particular importance for lawyers—whose training and experience might lead them to pigeonhole clients and cases into readily accessible categories.\textsuperscript{50} While lawyers' expertise allows them to quickly integrate large amounts of information about the facts of their clients' case and the law, such expertise may also lead them to make assumptions, to ask questions designed to confirm those assumptions rather than to disconfirm them, and even to erroneously remember some individuating details.\textsuperscript{51} However, it is also possible that lawyers could use their experience to construct and pursue alternative hypotheses that broaden the inquiry.\textsuperscript{52}

Thus, Jack needs to be wary of his own potential biases when he interviews his clients. For example, in chatting with Agnes about her will, Jack may be likely to jump to a conclusion that boyfriend Lenny Louner is a fortune hunter as to whom Agnes should be wary.\textsuperscript{53} Yet, it could turn out that Lenny himself is independently wealthy and that Agnes was previously estranged from her own family. By jumping to conclusions too quickly, Jack may ask questions designed to confirm that assumption, may miss out on the

\textsuperscript{50} Cochran et al., supra note 8, at 39 (quoting Melvin S. Heller et al., An Introduction to Legal Interviewing and Counseling 11 (1960) ("The chief danger that confronts a professional, in law or in any other field, is that he will tend to fill the gap with related informational material from other similar situations in his experience. Or, he may leap ahead and begin to anticipate information that may or may not actually be involved in the situation that now confronts him."). See also Derek J. Koehler et al., The Calibration of Expert Judgment, in Heuristics and Biases: The Psychology of Intuitive Judgment 686, 692–93 (Thomas Gilovich et al. eds., 2002) ("[T]he broader knowledge base of experts might lead them to more readily generate a biased set of reasons favoring the focal hypothesis."); Carrie Menkel-Meadow, The Transformation of Disputes by Lawyers: What the Dispute Paradigm Does and Does Not Tell Us, 1985 Mo. J. Disp. Resol. 25, 31 (discussing narrowing of disputes due to attorney's categorization of problem from an early point in time).


\textsuperscript{52} Koehler et al., supra note 50, at 692. (stating that experts may be able to "spontaneously generate alternatives to the focal hypothesis").

\textsuperscript{53} The fact that Lenny is twenty years younger than Agnes, and that she met him in Las Vegas, might cause Jack to jump to conclusions that could be incorrect.
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opportunity to gather important information, and may also damage his rapport with his clients.

One strategy that has proven helpful in countering the effects of confirmatory bias and biased assimilation is to explicitly "consider the opposite."54 That is, when seeking out and evaluating information, one ought to consciously and explicitly reflect on the possibility that one's preconceptions are erroneous and to seek out disconfirming evidence. Psychological research has found that such overt consideration of alternatives is more effective in reducing reliance on preconceptions than is simply making a good-faith effort to be unbiased.55 Thus, as Jack chats with Edna Employee, who is convinced that her termination was based on her age and gender, Jack may ask Edna to try to consider whether it is possible that the supervisor who decided to terminate her made the decision based on other legitimate criteria. Or, as Jack discusses the Kiddos' claim that their son's internal injuries due to swallowing the magnets are permanent, Jack may ask them to consider what the perspective of a doctor testifying on behalf of the company is likely to be.

2. Stereotypes

Stereotypes are a category of schemas that categorize people and consist of "beliefs about the characteristics, attributes, and behaviors of members of certain groups."56 Thus, people may hold stereotypes based on a person's race or ethnicity,57 gender,58 age,59 weight,60 attractiveness,61 dress,62

---


55 Lord et al., supra note 54, at 1239–41 (obtaining results consistent with biased assimilation for participants who were instructed to "be unbiased," but not for participants who were instructed to "consider the opposite" and suggesting that what is needed is a "change in strategy rather than in motivation"). See also Laura J. Kray & Adam D. Galinsky, The Debiasing Effect of Counterfactual Mind-sets: Increasing the Search for Disconfirmatory Information in Group Decisions, 91 ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAV. & HUM. DECISION PROCESSES 69, 76 (2003).
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whether or not they smoke, or other categories to which people can be assigned.

Most of us don't like to think of ourselves as making decisions that are grounded in stereotypes or prejudice. Yet, research has shown that we all operate on the basis of stereotypes—racial, ethnic, and otherwise—though we are often not aware of such influence. To some extent, reliance on stereotypes is not necessarily bad. As with other schemas, stereotypes can facilitate the rapid categorization of people and allow us to "save cognitive resources." Of course, some stereotypes may have no basis in fact whatsoever, such as the idea that members of a certain race or ethnicity are less intelligent than members of another race or ethnicity. Moreover, even those stereotypes that have a basis in truth are not true in every case. Men in general are stronger than women in general but there are plenty of women


60 Richard M. Ryckman et al., Male and Female Raters' Stereotyping of Male and Female Physiques, 15 PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. BULL. 244 (1989).


62 Fabio Sani & Louise Thompson, We Are What We Wear: The Emergence of Consensus in Stereotypes of Students' and Managers' Dressing Styles, 29 SOC. BEHAV. & PERSONALITY 695 (2001).


64 Susan Fiske distinguishes the cognitive (stereotyping), affective (prejudice), and behavioral (discrimination) aspects of the way people respond to people from other groups. Susan T. Fiske, Stereotyping, Prejudice, and Discrimination, in 2 THE HANDBOOK OF SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY 357 (Daniel T. Gilbert et al. eds., 4th ed. 1998).


66 Fiske, supra note 64, at 367. See also C. Neil Macrae & Galen V. Bodenhausen, Social Cognition: Thinking Categorically About Others, 51 ANN. REV. PSYCHOL. 93, 96 (2000) ("In attempting to make sense of other people, we regularly construct and use categorical representations to simplify and streamline the person perception process.").
who are stronger than many men. In short, we are all influenced by stereotypes, and we must be on guard to recognize when stereotypes are either completely or sometimes false.

As with other schemas, stereotypes can shape perceptions of and responses to people from "the earliest moments of encoding." Accordingly, stereotypes have been shown to influence information processing. For example, one study demonstrated that people asked to distinguish between tools and weapons were able to identify tools more quickly when they were primed with white faces and to identify weapons more quickly when they were primed with black faces.

Stereotyped beliefs are also subject to the same types of confirmatory biases as are other preconceptions. There is evidence that people pay more attention to information that is consistent with a stereotype and less attention to stereotype-inconsistent information, that people seek out information that is consistent with the stereotype, and that people are better able to remember information that is consistent with the stereotype. Such preferences for confirmation of stereotypes can make them resistant to change.

The stereotyping literature is relevant to client interviewing in several ways. First, attorneys need to bear in mind that they may unconsciously be biased by the appearance of their client, in ways that ultimately may prove not to be accurate. Jack may, for example, make incorrect assumptions about Kyle and his parents because they are African-American. Perhaps he will have a tendency to assume they are poor or that they are not particularly good parents? Similarly, Jack may incorrectly assume that Edna, a middle-aged woman, would not be good at the computer-related side of her job. Jack needs to recognize the likelihood that he is influenced by stereotypes so that he can make efforts to ask questions that will take him beyond these stereotypes. Otherwise, he may have difficulty establishing rapport with his

---

67 Id. at 368.
68 Payne, supra note 57, at 185. Moreover, participants were more likely to misidentify tools as weapons when the tool was primed with a black face than they were when the tool was primed with a white face. Id. at 188.
69 Fiske, supra note 64, at 368. See also Snyder and Swann, supra note 49.
70 Fiske, supra note 64, at 371.
71 See id. at 368 ("Stereotypes allow people to assimilate a person who fits within the generally accepted boundaries of the outgroup, making people seem more similar to their stereotype than they actually are.").
72 See Macrae & Bodenhausen, supra note 66, at 109 ("[F]or perceivers to avoid or make adjustments for the possible influence of unwanted mental contents, such as stereotypic thoughts, they must first be aware that such influences are a possibility in the
clients and may fail to learn all the information that might have been helpful to his clients' causes.73

Second, attorneys need to be aware that clients' stereotypes may influence their own perceptions of events. Carl Cementa's views of Henrietta, for example, may be influenced by his negative views towards members of a group to which Henrietta belongs—by Henrietta's race, religion, or age—and these views likely influence his interpretation of his dispute with Henrietta.

Third, attorneys also need to remember that their own appearance and presentation inevitably creates an impression on clients, whether positive or negative. We have learned that Jack's philosophy is that clients should appreciate him for his brain, and that appearance matters very little. For this first place.73). There is evidence that the monitoring processes that are used to suppress stereotypes can prime the stereotype and, therefore, result in better memory for stereotypic characteristics. See, e.g., Adam D. Galinsky & Gordon B. Moskowitz, Further Ironies of Suppression: Stereotype and Counterstereotype Accessibility, 43 J. EXPERIMENTAL SOC. PSYCHOL. 833, 839 (2007); C. Neil Macrae et al., Out of Mind But Back in Sight: Stereotypes on the Rebound, 67 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 808, 814 (1994); Daniel M. Wegner, Ironic Processes of Mental Control, 101 PSYCHOL. REV. 34, 47 (1994). However, other research has shown that under some circumstances people can inhibit stereotype activation or avoid using stereotypes in decisionmaking. See, e.g., Patricia G. Devine, Stereotypes and Prejudice: Their Automatic and Controlled Components, 56 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 5, 15 (1989); Kerry Kawakami et al., Just Say No (to Stereotyping): Effects of Training in the Negation of Stereotypic Associations on Stereotype Activation, 78 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 871 (2000); Margo J. Monteith, Self-Regulation of Prejudiced Responses: Implications for Progress in Prejudice-Reduction Efforts, 65 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 469, 483 (1993); Gordon B. Moskowitz et al., Preconscious Control of Stereotype Activation Through Chronic Egalitarian Goals, 77 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 167, 182 (1999).

73 As attorneys assess clients' likelihood of success in a dispute they must, unfortunately, factor in the extent to which a client's characteristics might positively or negatively influence a jury or judge. Some clients may have great cases, but if their appearance or manner will cause a judge or jury to disbelieve them or cast their claims in a negative light, this is an unpleasant reality both lawyer and client may need to confront. See, e.g., Ronald Mazzella & Alan Feingold, The Effects of Physical Attractiveness, Race, Socioeconomic Status, and Gender of Defendants and Victims on Judgments of Mock Jurors: A Meta-Analysis, 24 J. APPLIED SOC. PSYCHOL. 1315, 1315 (1994). While Jack may not, in an initial interview, want to be so blunt as to say that "judges and juries in our jurisdiction don't tend to like persons" in a particular category, Jack may want to address this issue with his clients in a more subtle way. For example, in talking to the Kiddo family Jack could let them know that if the case goes to trial the strengths and weaknesses of their claim to product liability will not be determined by Jack, the Kiddos, or the kinds of people with whom the Kiddos tend to socialize. Instead, the judge or jury may include some people who do not necessarily think highly of African-Americans' parenting skills, and thus blame the parents for the injuries suffered by Kyle.
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reason he often dresses very casually and behaves quite informally. Yet, at least some clients may assume that an attorney who dresses quite casually and behaves informally is not well-established or good at his job.74

3. Causal Attribution and Judgments of Responsibility

Another way in which people make sense of the world is by making causal judgments—including making inferences of responsibility, weighing the relative contributions of potential causes, and making predictions about future behavior.75 Such causal judgments are often central to legal disputes. Attribution theory in psychology is the study of the ways in which people make attributions of causality or responsibility.76 In particular, research shows that people tend to prefer simple causal explanations (monocausality) to more complex theories of causation,77 and are more likely to assign responsibility to another person (rather than to another source) when they judge the cause to be internal to and controllable by that person.78 Attributing cause to another person can lead to feelings of anger,79 and to judgments of

75 ROSS & NISBETT, supra note 38, at 77.
78 WEINER, supra note 76, at 6–12 (comparing internal and controllable causes to causes judged to be external or situational, that vary over time, or that are uncontrollable). How one attributes causation may also depend in part on one's explanatory style or habitual way of explaining things. See generally EXPLANATORY STYLE (Gregory McClellan Buchanan & Martin E.P. Seligman eds., 1995); Christopher Peterson, The Meaning and Measurement of Explanatory Style, 2 PSYCHOL. INQUIRY 1 (1991).
79 See Laurie J. Barclay et al., Exploring the Role of Emotions in Injustice Perceptions and Retaliaton, 90 J. APPLIED PSYCHOL. 629, 630 (2005); Dacher Keltner et al., Beyond Simple Pessimism: Effects of Sadness and Anger on Social Perception, 64 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 740 (1993); Brian M. Quigley & James T. Tedeschi, Mediating Effects of Blame Attributions on Feelings of Anger, 22 PERSONALITY & SOC.
responsibility, blame, and (sometimes) retaliation.  

One aspect of the psychology of attribution with particular relevance for interviewing and counseling clients is known as the fundamental attribution error (or correspondence bias)—the tendency of observers to attribute another person's behavior to dispositional factors (those internal to the person) rather than to situational (external) factors.  

Despite the fact that much research has demonstrated the power of situational factors on behavior, people are inclined to attribute a person's behavior to internal personal characteristics rather than to situational constraints. Thus, people attribute the views contained in a speech (say, for example, defending or attacking the notion that marijuana should be legal) to the speaker, even when they know that the person was assigned to speak on that side of the issue. Thus, even when people grasp the nature of the situation, they may discount the influence of those situational factors on another's behavior.

Research has also demonstrated an "actor-observer effect," such that people view causation differently when evaluating their own acts, rather than when evaluating others' acts. Specifically, while observers are particularly likely to attribute others' behavior to dispositional factors, they are more likely to attribute their own behavior to situational factors.

PSYCHOL. BULL. 1280 (1996); Paul Rozin et al., The CAD Triad Hypothesis: A Mapping Between Three Moral Emotions (Contempt, Anger, Disgust) and Three Moral Codes (Community, Autonomy, Divinity), 76 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 574, 585 (1999) (finding connections between violations of autonomy and anger); WEINER, supra note 76, at 17 ("Anger is an accusation, or a value judgment, that follows from the belief that another person 'could and should have done otherwise.").

WEINER, supra note 76, at 5.

81 JONES, supra note 76; NISBETT & ROSS, supra note 24; ROSS & NISBETT, supra note 38, at 4; Lee Ross, The Intuitive Psychologist and His Shortcomings: Distortions in the Attribution Process, in 10 ADVANCES IN EXPERIMENTAL SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY 173 (Leonard Berkowitz ed., 1977) ("People's inflated belief in the importance of personality traits and dispositions, together with their failure to recognize the importance of situational factors in affecting behavior, has been termed the 'fundamental attribution error.'").

82 ROSS & NISBETT, supra note 38, at 27-58 (reviewing studies).

83 See Edward E. Jones & Victor A. Harris, The Attribution of Attitudes, 3 J. EXPERIMENTAL SOC. PSYCHOL. 1, 7, 10 (1967). See also Michael W. Morris et al., Misperceiving Negotiation Counterparts: When Situationally Determined Bargaining Behaviors Are Attributed to Personality Traits, 77 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 52, 53 (1999) (examining attributions in negotiation and finding that negotiators made attributions about their counterparts even though they were aware of the counterparts' situational constraints).

84 Edward E. Jones & Richard E. Nisbett, The Actor and the Observer: Divergent
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Knowledge regarding how people think of causation can potentially be very useful to Jack as he conducts his interviews. Edna, for example, may come into the office very angry, and very sure that her supervisor, Sam Super, terminated her because he is sexist and does not like her. She may give short-shrift to situational factors that may have led to the layoffs—such as budget problems—or that may have determined who was laid off—such as who had skills in particular areas. Jack's awareness of persons' tendencies to blame internal rather than external causes may help him see that Edna may be attributing negative internal motives to Sam for actions that were largely driven by circumstances and may help him to ask questions better aimed at accurately assessing the strength of her case.\(^{85}\)

Knowledge of how causal attributions are made could also help Jack counsel Carl Cementa. Carl may have a tendency to absolve himself and his company of any responsibility for the damage to Harriet's house. He may instead blame Harriet or external factors such as the weather for the problems. And Carl may have a tendency to find a single cause to blame, such as bad weather, rather than a confluence of multiple causes. Jack's knowledge of these tendencies may help Jack ask more pointed questions that may ultimately help Carl see his own role in the problem.

4. \textit{Naïve Realism and False Consensus}

As discussed above, people construct their reality based on what they pay attention to and as a function of how they construe data via their schemas and expectations. However, people often fail "to recognize the degree to which one's own understanding of the world is the result of an active, constructive process, rather than a passive reception and registering of some external reality"\(^{86}\) and, therefore, "fail to recognize or fail to make adequate inferential allowance for the fact that their peers may construe the 'same' situation quite differently."\(^{87}\) This "naïve realism" results in the "feeling that [one's] own take on the world enjoys particular authenticity, and that other

\begin{flushright}
\textit{Perceptions of the Causes of Behavior, in Attribution: Perceiving the Causes of Behavior} 82 (Edward E. Jones et al. eds., 1972). \textit{See also} Michael D. Storms, \textit{Videotape and the Attribution Process: Reversing Actors' and Observers' Points of View}, 27 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 165, 171–72 (1973). For the observer, it is the actor that is salient, but for the actor it is the situation that is salient. \textit{See} NISBETT & ROSS, supra note 24, at 123; Jones & Nisbett, supra.
\end{flushright}

\(^{85}\) Jack should also be aware that a judge or jury may fall prey to the fundamental attribution error as well.

\(^{86}\) ROSS & NISBETT, supra note 38, at 12.

\(^{87}\) \textit{Id.} at 85.
actors will, or at least should, share that take, if they are attentive, rational, and objective perceivers of reality and open-minded seekers of truth.\textsuperscript{88} Those affected by naïve realism assume that others who see the world differently do so because they lack sufficient information, are not reasonable, or are otherwise biased in some way.\textsuperscript{89}

Naïve realism has a number of implications that are relevant to interviewing and counseling. First, people tend to overestimate the degree to which others share their perspective.\textsuperscript{90} This false consensus effect "involves an overestimation of the commonness of one's own responses and reactions."\textsuperscript{91} Thus, people believe that their behavior, choices, and beliefs are typical and, therefore, are "less revealing of personal attributes" than are others' contrary behaviors, choices, and beliefs.\textsuperscript{92} In addition, naïve realism has implications for the ways in which people convey information. In particular, people have great difficulty conveying information with which they are familiar to someone who lacks their mental representation of the material. For example, in one telling study, one group of people was asked to tap the rhythms of familiar songs for the second group to identify. The "tappers" (who had mental representations of the songs that included the melody and words) overestimated the likelihood that the "listeners" (who heard only taps) would correctly recognize the songs.\textsuperscript{93}

Finally, secure in the belief that they see the world accurately, people tend to be confident in their abilities to persuade those on the other side and


\textsuperscript{92} Pronin et al., supra note 88, at 642.

\textsuperscript{93} See id. at 643–44. See also Justin Kruger et al., Egocentrism Over E-Mail: Can We Communicate as Well as We Think?, 89 J. Personality & Soc. Psychol. 925, 926 (2005) (finding that senders of e-mail overestimate the degree to which they are able to communicate tone, humor, and emotion to e-mail recipients).
neutral third-parties of the merits of their position. However, when efforts to "enlighten" the other side are unsuccessful, people are likely to conclude that such others are unreasonable or biased. Indeed, the more the other disagrees, the more they are thought to be displaying bias.

Jack could benefit from knowledge of naïve realism in chatting with the Kiddo parents. Perhaps the Kiddos will assume that a wealthy manufacturer of the magnetic balls that caused their son severe injury must have a duty to compensate them and their child for the harm that occurred. As the Kiddos see the world, any belief to the contrary on the part of the company simply demonstrates the company's lack of good faith. Yet, Jack may realize that others, and even the law, might construe this situation differently and assess liability to the company only if the product is found to have been defective in its design or if the company was somehow negligent in the way it manufactured or distributed the product.

Jack must also recognize that his own view of the world may be quite different from the Kiddos' view of the world. Perhaps Jack tends to assume that the only reason one would bring a lawsuit is to obtain monetary benefit. Yet, the Kiddos may see the bringing of a lawsuit as a moral statement—a way to ensure than an evil company is called to account for its bad action. Jack needs to be aware of and probe for the many ways in which his clients' world view may differ from his own in order to effectively interview and counsel his clients.

B. Cognitive Heuristics

Cognitive heuristics are ways in which people simplify or take shortcuts in making judgments. Much of the time these shortcuts are efficient routes to accurate judgments. However, on occasion, reliance on these heuristics can produce systematic errors in judgment. An understanding of how these cognitive heuristics influence judgment can aid Jack as he interviews and

---

94 Ross & Shestowsky, supra note 90, at 1091. See also Lee Ross & Andrew Ward, Psychological Barriers to Conflict Resolution, in 27 ADVANCES IN EXPERIMENTAL SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY 255, 265 (Leonard Berkowitz ed., 1995); Leigh Thompson & George Loewenstein, Egocentric Interpretations of Fairness and Interpersonal Conflict, 51 ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAV. & HUM. DECISION PROCESSES 176, 193 (1992) (finding that disputants are overly optimistic about third-party decisions).

95 Ross & Ward, supra note 88.

96 Pronin et al., supra note 16, at 781.

97 See Relis, supra note 14.

98 Id. at 341.
counsels his clients.99

1. Availability

People tend to judge the likelihood of an event by the ease with which they can recall examples of similar events.100 Therefore, events that are more available in memory—because they are more vivid, have been repeated more often, are concrete (as opposed to abstract), are more recent, hold emotional interest, or otherwise come to mind more easily—are judged to be more common.101 In contrast, less memorable but more probative information, such as statistical summaries, tend to hold less interest.102 Availability also influences judgments of causality—the more common an event is judged to be, the more likely it is thought to have a causal influence.103 Thus, people tend to estimate that motor vehicle accidents (highly available in memory) cause more deaths per year than stomach cancer, even though the reverse is true.104

Knowledge of the availability heuristic may help Jack understand why Edna feels so wronged by her termination, and feels her chances of success are high, even though her claim may not in the end be particularly strong. Instances of gender and age discrimination may be available in Edna's mind as she has read about successful lawsuits in the newspaper or has heard about


101 NISBETT & ROSS, supra note 24, at 8; Paul Slovic et al., Facts Versus Fears: Understanding Perceived Risk, in JUDGMENT UNDER UNCERTAINTY: HEURISTICS AND BIASES 463 (Daniel Kahneman et al. eds., 1982).

102 See Ruth Hamill et al., Insensitivity to Sample Bias: Generalizing from Atypical Cases, 39 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 578, 586–88 (1980); Amos Tversky & Daniel Kahneman, Evidential Impact of Base Rates, in JUDGMENT UNDER UNCERTAINTY: HEURISTICS AND BIASES 153, 153 (Daniel Kahneman et al. eds., 1982).

103 NISBETT & ROSS, supra note 24, at 22.

104 See J. EDWARD RUSSO & PAUL J.H. SCHOEMAKER, DECISION TRAPS: TEN BARRIERS TO BRILLIANT DECISION-MAKING AND HOW TO OVERCOME THEM 82–83 (1989) (reviewing 2 newspapers over a 1-year period and finding 137 articles about deaths in motor vehicle accidents and only 1 story about a stomach cancer death). Id. at 83.
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them from friends.\textsuperscript{105} Such familiarity may lead her to judge the likelihood of discrimination as high or to predict that she is likely to win her case. Yet, newspapers report plaintiff victories more often than defeats,\textsuperscript{106} and Jack may find that statistical information regarding the company's approach to the layoff paints a different portrait of the layoffs. However, such aggregate information is likely to be less compelling to Edna than more colorful anecdotes involving purported discrimination. Jack may, therefore, find it useful to use concrete, vivid, examples in trying to communicate this information to his client—such communication may have a stronger impact than summary statistics.\textsuperscript{107}

Further, Jack needs to guard against his own tendencies to fall prey to the availability heuristic. As he helps his clients assess their likelihood of success he should try to look to statistical information, rather than be guided by his gut feeling as to the likely success of a particular claim or defense.

2. Anchoring

Anchoring and adjustment is a phenomenon by which available values—even irrelevant values—provide a starting point (or "anchor") for a judgment; adjustments are then made away from the anchor, but are often insufficient.\textsuperscript{108} For example, in one classic study, participants' estimates of the number of African countries in the U.N. were influenced by their prior assessments of whether the correct percentage was higher or lower than an arbitrary number (determined by spinning a "wheel of fortune").\textsuperscript{109} In the legal context, juror judgments and settlement decisions have been shown to be influenced by anchors provided by ad damnum requests\textsuperscript{110} and damage


\textsuperscript{107} See NISBETT & ROSS, supra note 24, at 281–82 (advocating "Teaching by Concrete Illustrations and Vivid Anecdotes").

\textsuperscript{108} Tversky & Kahneman, supra note 99, at 14–18.

\textsuperscript{109} Id. at 14. See also SCOTT PLOUS, THE PSYCHOLOGY OF JUDGMENT AND DECISION MAKING 146 (1993) (describing unpublished study in which estimates of average temperature in San Francisco were influenced by answering previous question about whether such average was higher or lower than 58\degree).  

\textsuperscript{110} See Gretchen B. Chapman & Brian H. Bornstein, The More You Ask For, the More You Get: Anchoring in Personal Injury Verdicts, 10 APPLIED COGNITIVE PSYCHOL.
caps, and the media. Similarly, first offers in negotiation have been shown to anchor final negotiated outcomes.

Jack needs to know about anchoring so as to avoid inadvertently anchoring his clients to a particular valuation during the initial interview and to address other anchors that his clients might encounter. For example, were Jack to say to the Kiddos, "I think we have a million dollar claim here," it might prove difficult for the Kiddos to accept a settlement for less than a million dollars down the road, even if the Kiddos had been subsequently informed of weaknesses in their case. Or, if Jack were to say to Carl Cementa, "I think you have a good shot at getting rid of this claim for nuisance value," Jack might later come to regret this comment if he and Carl learned that Harriet's case were actually quite strong.

3. Positive Illusions

Psychological research has found that people perceive the world in more positive terms than they might if they were being completely objective. In


See Robennolt & Studebaker, supra note 111, at 367–70 (reviewing studies).


See also Herbert M. Kritzer, Contingent-Fee Lawyers and Their Clients: Settlement Expectations, Settlement Realities, and Issues of Control in the Lawyer-Client Relationship, 23 LAW & SOC. INQUIRY 795, 802 (1998) (discussing management of client expectations by "avoiding reference to specific figures for case outcomes, deflating expectations, and emphasizing uncertainty"); Ian Weinstein, Don't Believe Everything You Think: Cognitive Bias in Legal Decision Making, 9 CLINICAL L. REV. 783, 802 (2003) (arguing in the criminal law context that lawyers should "resist the temptation to make early diagnoses and predictions").

See generally SHELLEY E. TAYLOR, POSITIVE ILLUSIONS: CREATIVE SELF-
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particular, people have a tendency to be overconfident in the forecasts that they make—failing to sufficiently allow for uncertainty in their judgments.\(^{116}\) Therefore, for example, people routinely underestimate the time they will need to complete a task (the "planning fallacy"),\(^{117}\) anticipate that they will enjoy their jobs\(^{118}\) and their vacations\(^{119}\) more than they actually do, underestimate the likelihood that they will suffer from ill-health,\(^{120}\) and predict that they will not divorce.\(^{121}\)

Experts, too, demonstrate

---


\(^{116}\) See Sarah Lichtenstein et al., Calibration of Probabilities: The State of the Art to 1980, in JUDGMENT UNDER UNCERTAINTY: HEURISTICS AND BIASES 306, 314 (Daniel Kahneman et al. eds., 1982); David A. Armor & Shelley E. Taylor, When Predictions Fail: The Dilemma of Unrealistic Optimism, in HEURISTICS AND BIASES: THE PSYCHOLOGY OF INTUITIVE JUDGMENT 334, 334 (Thomas Gilovich et al. eds., 2002) ("One of the most robust findings in the psychology of prediction is that people’s predictions tend to be optimistically biased. By a number of metrics and across a variety of domains, people have been found to assign higher probabilities to their attainment of desirable outcomes than either objective criteria or logical analysis warrants."). Such overconfident predictions are more likely the more uncertainty is involved in the prediction. Id. at 338. Interestingly, "research has found that optimistic biases are attenuated when people are choosing between goals, but exaggerated once a particular goal is selected and the individual begins thinking about implementing particular plans for action." Id. at 340. Another aspect of over-optimism is that people tend to overestimate their ability to control events and outcomes that are not within their control. Ellen J. Langer, The Illusion of Control, 32 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 311, 323–27 (1975); Taylor & Brown, supra note 115, at 196. See also Paul K. Presson & Victor A. Benassi, Illusion of Control: A Meta-Analytic Review, 11 J. SOC. BEHAV. & PERSONALITY 493, 502–06 (1996).


\(^{118}\) Neil D. Weinstein, Unrealistic Optimism About Future Life Events, 39 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 806, 812 (1980).


\(^{120}\) Linda S. Perloff & Barbara K. Fetzer, Self-Other Judgments and Perceived Vulnerability of Victimization, 50 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 502, 502 (1986); Neil D. Weinstein, Unrealistic Optimism About Susceptibility to Health Problems: Conclusions from a Community-Wide Sample, 10 J. BEHAV. MED. 481 (1987).

overconfidence—for example, financial analysts tend to overestimate earnings.\textsuperscript{122} Similarly, people tend to have unrealistically positive views of themselves—perceiving themselves to be "better than average" on a variety of dimensions and perceiving themselves in more positive terms than do observers.\textsuperscript{123} Research has shown, for instance, that the majority of people estimate that they are above average drivers,\textsuperscript{124} and that most negotiators think that they are above average negotiators.\textsuperscript{125}

Manifesting a related bias—the self-serving (or egocentric) bias, people often make judgments about events in ways that are consistent with their own interests.\textsuperscript{126} Thus, for example, spouses' estimates of their contributions to the work of the household add up to more than 100%,\textsuperscript{127} as do authors'
estimates of their contributions to a joint work.\textsuperscript{128} Similarly, sports fans from opposing teams make self-serving judgments about the fairness and conduct of the teams in the game.\textsuperscript{129} Self-serving bias no doubt affects lawyers as well as their clients. For example, Chris Guthrie and his colleagues showed that a large majority of the magistrate judges in their study estimated that at least half of their peers had higher reversal rates on appeal than they did.\textsuperscript{130} In addition, several studies have shown that litigants and their representatives make biased estimates of the fair settlement value of civil cases and predictions about what a neutral decisionmaker will award—those on the plaintiff side make higher estimates than do those on the defense side.\textsuperscript{131}

Given this psychology, it would not be surprising if Agnes Ageout were quite optimistic about her longevity, the nature and future of her relationship with Lenny Lounger, and the likelihood that her own children and grandchildren would fail to contest her will if she chooses to leave all her assets to Lenny. Jack may need to gently warn Agnes that some of her assumptions may be overly optimistic. Similarly, it would not be surprising if Edna Employee represented her contributions to the company in a very positive manner, or if Carl Cementa was very positive on the quality of work done by his company. Jack needs to be prepared for the fact that further investigation and discovery may show that their perspectives may be subject to challenge. It is not necessarily that Edna or Carl would lie, but just that they would naturally present their contributions in a very positive light.

At the same time, Jack needs to be aware of his own tendencies towards over-optimism. It is natural that a lawyer like Jack would be quite confident in his own abilities to win a case at trial or to procure a good settlement for his client. Jack needs to guard against these tendencies in attempting to help his client decide whether to pursue a claim at all, and how to pursue that claim. Jack can take some comfort, at this initial stage of client

---

\textsuperscript{128} Taylor, supra note 115, at 18.

\textsuperscript{129} Hastorf & Cantril, supra note 35.

\textsuperscript{130} Guthrie et al., supra note 110, at 802–03. See also Theodore Eisenberg, Differing Perceptions of Attorney Fees in Bankruptcy Cases, 72 Wash. U. L. Q. 979 (1994) (reporting study of bankruptcy judges' and lawyers' perceptions of lawyers' fees).

representation, from the fact that research has shown less of a tendency toward over-optimism when choosing between options, such as doing nothing, attempting a quick settlement, or commencing litigation. Later, once Jack and his client have potentially commenced litigation, they will need to be even more wary of the dangers of over-optimism. Similarly, Jack must be attentive to the effects of self-serving bias. Jack's awareness of the tendency to make self-serving evaluations of his clients' cases can help him and his clients see that their assessments and predictions may not be shared by others.

4. Hindsight Bias

A related bias—hindsight bias—is the tendency to unconsciously overestimate the likelihood one would have assigned to an event once the outcome is known. Thus, people predicting the outcome of an event after the fact are more certain that they would have predicted the actual outcome than are those who attempt to predict in foresight—resulting in a feeling that they "knew it all along." Following the injury to their son, the Kiddos may feel that it should have been obvious to the magnetic ball manufacturer, from the outset, that a defect in their product would cause an injury to a child. Yet, while this may be a manifestation of hindsight bias on the part of the Kiddos, Jack can also take some comfort from the idea that a judge or jury could eventually suffer from that same bias.

5. Affect Heuristic

Judgment is also affected by a phenomenon psychologists call the "affect heuristic." People tend to make judgments based on an "overall, readily

---

132 See supra note 116 and accompanying text.
134 See supra note 133 and accompanying text.
136 See generally Melissa L. Finucane et al., The Affect Heuristic in Judgments of Risks and Benefits, 13 J. BEHAV. DECISION MAKING 1 (2000); Paul Slovic et al., The
available affective impression"—using their feelings toward the object of judgment as a guide. Thus, for example, people may rely on their general feelings toward activities such as nuclear power or cellular phones to make judgments about the activities' risks and benefits. Similarly, we might expect people to judge others (clients, lawyers, opponents) or courses of action (do nothing, file a lawsuit) with regard to their general affect toward those people or actions. If the company that manufactured the magnetic balls that injured Kyle Kiddo were Chinese, during a period when Chinese companies are being widely reported to have manufactured shoddy or dangerous merchandise, that might cause Jack, the Kiddos, or a judge or jury to more readily find the company culpable. Or, if Jack's mother were an administrator for a company similar to the pharmacy company at which Edna worked, Jack's assessment of Edna's company might be colored, for better or for worse, by his feelings toward his mother's company. Similarly, Edna might discount the benefits and emphasize the costs of filing a lawsuit due to her negative emotional reaction to the concept of litigation. Jack needs to guard against such errors, whether his own or his clients'.

C. Memory

Jack, like many law students and particularly new attorneys, believes human memories are better than they really are. Psychologists have demonstrated that both short-term and long-term memories are extremely frail. As in the movie Rashomon, different people's descriptions of the


137 Slovic et al., supra note 136, at 400.

138 Rashomon (Daiei Motion Picture Co. Ltd. 1950). Directed by Akira Kurosawa, the film describes a rape and murder. But, the various eyewitness accounts of the crime, including by the perpetrator and the victim (speaking through a medium) are inconsistent, and viewers never learn a "truth" of what actually transpired. See Wikipedia, Rashomon, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rashomon_(film) (last visited Nov. 1, 2007). See also Atul Gawande, _Investigations Under Suspicion: The Fugitive Science of Criminal Justice_, THE
same event often vary significantly. It is not merely that people misremember whether someone was wearing a red or green shirt. We also do a poor job of remembering very significant events, their timing, the order in which events took place, or who was present:

Our minds are not capable of making complete records of events; rather we encode features selectively. Even when we do remember events reasonably well, we do not come close to remembering all the fine details that are part of the event. We are not like video recorders, faithfully taking in and storing all the details in a scene.  

Interviewers should be concerned with clients' ability to remember relevant information (i.e., quantity), with the degree to which the information reported by clients is accurate (i.e., quality), and with the interviewer's own ability to recall the content of the interview itself. However, both clients and attorneys may be unable to provide relevant and accurate details because they did not attend to or encode such details accurately at the time the event occurred, because they have forgotten, or because their memories have become distorted since the event occurred.

1. How Memory Works

We have seen that not all details of an event attract our attention and that significant interpretation takes place as events occur. But even details that were noticed and could have been accurately reported immediately after the event may be forgotten. Working memory "holds on to small amounts of information for short periods of time—usually a few seconds—while people engage in such ongoing cognitive activities as reading, listening, problem solving, reasoning, or thinking." However, to be retained, this information must be transferred from short-term working memory to long-term memory:

NEW YORKER, Jan. 8, 2001, at 50.


140 See Asher Korniat & Morris Goldsmith, Memory in Naturalistic and Laboratory Contexts: Distinguishing the Accuracy-Oriented and Quantity-Oriented Approaches to Memory Assessment, 123 J. EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOL.: GENERAL 297 (1994) (distinguishing the storehouse conception of memory which focuses on quantity and the correspondence metaphor for memory which focuses on accuracy).

141 See supra Part III.A.1.

142 See supra Part III.A.
"the system must constantly discard what is no longer needed at the moment, and devote its resources to the temporary storage of incoming information. Unless special effort is made—such as repeating a sentence over and over again—information is lost from the system almost immediately after it enters."

Moreover, even memories that are stored in long-term memory tend to deteriorate as time passes:

At relatively early points on the forgetting curve—minutes, hours, and days, sometimes more—memory preserves a relatively detailed record, allowing us to reproduce the past with reasonable if not perfect accuracy. But with the passing of time, the particulars fade and opportunities multiply for interference . . . to blur our recollections.  

Test yourself, and you will likely find that you no longer remember things that were once deeply embedded in your memory, such as an old home phone number, how to drive to a particular location, or the name of grade school teachers or old friends.

In addition, there are a variety of "ways in which what is remembered can depart from what actually occurred." For example, people sometimes remember events in ways that are distorted from what actually happened, combine aspects of multiple events into a single memory, or remember events that did not happen.

One factor that leads to many of these errors is that people have difficulty with what is called "source monitoring." When people try to remember something they do not call up a perfectly accurate real-time recording of the event. Instead, they access a range of sources of information including their own "internal mental representation of the event," other basic information they have about how the world works, their schemas for how events such as this one typically happen, what they have been told by others about what happened, their experiences in other similar situations, stereotypes, what they imagined doing, and so on. A large body of

143 SCHACTER, supra note 56, at 27–28.
144 Id. at 15–16. See also HERMANN EBBINGHAUS, MEMORY: A CONTRIBUTION TO EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY (Dover 1964).
145 Asher Koriat et al., Toward a Psychology of Memory Accuracy, 51 ANN. REV. PSYCHOL. 481, 517 (2000).
147 Ronald P. Fisher, Interviewing Victims and Witnesses of Crime, 1 PSYCHOL.,
psychological research has demonstrated that people have difficulty discriminating between these different sources of information.\(^{148}\) Thus, when someone tries to remember whether he turned off the stove before he left the house and seems to remember doing it, he may have difficulty determining whether he actually did it, or just thought about doing it, or remembered doing it on a different occasion.

One consequence of these difficulties in source monitoring is that people can be suggestible—exposure to information from sources other than what was actually observed can influence memories of what happened, altering details of memory or introducing new elements.\(^{149}\) For example, in one study participants viewed a videotape of an automobile accident. Next, they were asked a series of questions about the accident—including a question that asked about the speed of the car as it passed a barn. When asked questions about the accident one week later, 17% of participants reported having seen a barn, despite the fact that there had not been a barn in the video.\(^{150}\)

Finally, in a phenomenon with particular relevance to legal disputes, memories can be distorted when people make judgments that attribute blame. For example, in one study memory of an event—a customer leaving a

\(^{148}\) See generally Johnson et al., supra note 146; Mara Mather et al., Stereotype Reliance in Source Monitoring: Age Differences and Neuropsychological Test Correlates, 16 COGNITIVE NEUROPSYCHOLOGY 437 (1999). Thus, people tend to identify things that are familiar or predictable as being remembered. See Bruce W.A. Whittlesea, Illusions of Familiarity, 19 J. EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOL.: LEARNING, MEMORY, & COGNITION 1235, 1239 (1993).

\(^{149}\) See Schacter, supra note 56, at 113 ("Suggestibility in memory refers to an individual's tendency to incorporate misleading information from external sources—other people, written materials or pictures, even the media—into personal recollections."). There is evidence that longer delays between the event to be remembered and the interview are associated with greater suggestibility. See, e.g., Jodi A. Quas et al., Developmental Differences in the Effects of Repeated Interviews and Interviewer Bias on Young Children's Event Memory and False Reports, 43 DEVELOPMENTAL PSYCHOL. 823 (2007).

\(^{150}\) Elizabth F. Loftus, Leading Questions and the Eyewitness Report, 7 COGNITIVE PSYCHOL. 560, 566 (1975). In a similar study, participants were asked about an accident they had viewed, including either a question about how fast the car was going "when it ran the stop sign" or "when it turned right." Id. at 563–65. When later asked if they had seen a stop sign in the video, 53% of those who had been asked the question referencing a stop sign indicated that they had seen a stop sign compared to only 35% of those who had been asked the question referencing a right turn. Id. at 564. See also ELIZABETH F. LOFTUS, EYEWITNESS TESTIMONY (1979); Elizabeth F. Loftus et al., Semantic Integration of Verbal Information into a Visual Memory, 4 J. EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOL.: HUM. LEARNING & MEMORY 19 (1978).
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restaurant without paying—was assessed one week after the event was described. As compared to participants who had been provided with a justification for the customer's behavior that tended to attenuate blame, participants who had been led to accentuate blame (i.e., were provided with negative information about the customer) remembered the price of the meal as being higher, were more likely to overestimate the price of the meal, and were more likely to incorrectly report that the customer had also failed to pay for a pre-dinner drink.

2. Eliciting Memories

Given the frailty of human memory, attorneys need to know how to help clients access their memories successfully. Anyone who has experienced the "tip of the tongue" phenomenon knows that having information available in memory is not the same as being able to access it on demand. Psychologists have explored a number of aspects of how people retrieve and report memories that have implications for interviewing clients. Importantly, since the interviewee is the one who possesses the information, the interviewee ought to be the focus of the interview. In particular, interviewers can help interviewees access their memories successfully by asking open-ended questions, avoiding leading questions, asking the

---

152 Id. at 553. See also Mark D. Alicke, Culpable Control and the Psychology of Blame, 126 PSYCHOL. BULL. 556 (2000).
153 The ease with which memory can be manipulated may, unfortunately, also tempt some attorneys to try to help their clients "remember" a version of the facts that is most helpful to their side of the case. For purposes of this Article we assume and hope that attorneys are honestly trying to probe their clients' accurate memories, rather than to reshape or change those memories. For discussions of the tension between adequately interviewing and preparing witnesses versus improperly coaching those witnesses' trial testimony see Joseph D. Piorkowski, Jr., Professional Conduct and the Preparation of Witnesses for Trial: Defining the Acceptable Limitations of "Coaching," 1 GEO. J. LEG. ETHICS 389 (1987) and Richard C. Wydick, The Ethics of Witness Coaching, 17 CARDOZO L. REV. 1 (1995). A scene from Anatomy of a Murder is often used in professional responsibility classes to help students see how a client interview can result in improper coaching of a witness. ROBERT TRAVER, ANATOMY OF A MURDER 44-49 (1958) (written under a pseudonym by Judge John D. Voelker).
155 See Fisher, supra note 147, at 747.
interviewee to include details that may not seem important, and adopting interviewee-centered sequencing of questions.\textsuperscript{156}

First, psychological research suggests that interviewers ought to use open-ended questions when possible, following up with focused questions in areas in which more detail is needed. Asking open-ended questions "allows the interviewee time to collect his or her thoughts and consequently promotes more elaborate memory retrieval."\textsuperscript{157} Interviewees are able to focus their attention on remembering the event rather than being distracted from this task by a series of interviewer questions.\textsuperscript{158} Accordingly, open-ended questions can elicit more accurate information than do more focused questions.\textsuperscript{159}

In particular, open-ended questions also allow interviewees to control the level of detail they report in their initial responses. For example, a witness could choose to report that a particular event occurred "back in the spring" or that it happened "in April" or that it occurred "on April 10 during the morning break." Psychological research has shown that people intuitively adjust the level of detail that they report so as to choose a level of detail that favors accuracy (even at the expense of some precision) and that they therefore provide a higher proportion of accurate information.\textsuperscript{160} This finding

\textsuperscript{156} Id. at 747–48.


\textsuperscript{158} Id. at 19–20 ("Given that witnesses have only limited mental resources with which to process information, any distraction or deflection of these mental resources may impair the ability to remember the critical event. Excessive questioning—as opposed to asking fewer, but open-ended questions—is therefore distracting for witnesses, because the questions redirect their attention from searching internally through memory to focusing externally on the interviewer’s next question.").\textsuperscript{159} See supra Part III.A.1.

\textsuperscript{159} See Fisher, supra note 147, at 749–53 (reviewing studies); Jack P. Lipton, On the Psychology of Eyewitness Testimony, 62 J. Applied Psychol. 90, 94 (1977) (finding that responses to open-ended questions were more accurate than responses to multiple-choice questions or leading questions); Koriat et al., supra note 145, at 508 (reviewing studies). See also Debra L. Roter & Judith A. Hall, Physicians’ Interviewing Styles and Medical Information Obtained From Patients, 2 J. General Intern. Med. 325 (1987) (finding that open-ended questions were related to more patient disclosure of relevant information). Responses to open-ended questions may be less detailed and, therefore, may require follow-up questions. See infra note 160 and accompanying text.

\textsuperscript{160} See Morris Goldsmith et al., Strategic Regulation of Grain Size in Memory Reporting, 131 J. Experimental Psychol.: General 73, 88 (2002) (finding that people are "at least moderately successful in choosing a grain size that [will] enhance their accuracy with minimal loss of informativeness."); Asher Koriat & Morris Goldsmith, Monitoring and Control Processes in the Strategic Regulation of Memory Accuracy, 103
is consistent with other research that finds that although people may not be able to completely recreate an event from memory, the information in people's unassisted reports does tend to be quite accurate.\textsuperscript{161} Thus, interviewees ought not to be initially forced to give specific answers to questions and, instead, should be given the opportunity to control the level of detail in their initial responses, and to indicate that they do not know the answer, are not sure, or cannot remember. A greater degree of confidence can be placed in the accuracy of such unaided, unpressed reports.

It may be inevitable, however, that a lawyer will ultimately have to press the client for further and more precise responses. Clients will likely be able to comply with such requests—people are able to adopt different accuracy and precision thresholds for their reports—although increasing the precision of the information provided can come at a cost to accuracy.\textsuperscript{162} One recent study found that when witnesses were forced to guess, more information was provided, but this information included both more correct information \textit{and} more incorrect information.\textsuperscript{163} In addition, there is evidence that "guessing" can result in the same types of source misattribution errors described above, with the witness' conjectures later being remembered as having actually happened.\textsuperscript{164} The client, therefore, should be allowed to indicate where she is less sure of such details and both lawyer and client should keep in mind the

\textsuperscript{161} See Fisher, supra note 147, at 741 (reviewing studies).

\textsuperscript{162} Asher Koriat & Morris Goldsmith, \textit{Memory in Naturalistic and Laboratory Contexts: Distinguishing the Accuracy-Oriented and Quantity-Oriented Approaches to Memory Assessment}, 123 J. EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOL.: GENERAL 297, 307 (1994) (finding that incentivising accuracy resulted in a greater proportion of accurate answers, but a decrease in the quantity of answers provided). See also Koriat & Goldsmith, supra note 160, at 499; Goldsmith et al., supra note 160, at 82–86.

\textsuperscript{163} Kathy Pezdek et al., \textit{Interviewing Witnesses: The Effect of Forced Confabulation on Event Memory}, 31 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 463 (2007).

\textsuperscript{164} Id. See also Jennifer K. Ackil & Maria S. Zaragoza, \textit{Memorial Consequences of Forced Confabulation: Age Differences in Susceptibility to False Memories}, 34 DEVELOPMENTAL PSYCHOL. 1358 (1998); Reid Hastie et al., \textit{Eyewitness Testimony: The Dangers of Guessing}, 19 JURIMETRICS J. 1 (1978); Maria S. Zaragoza et al., \textit{Interviewing Witnesses: Forced Confabulation and Confirmatory Feedback Increase False Memories}, 12 PSYCHOL. SCI. 473 (2001).
potential for decreased accuracy.

By using open-ended questions and encouraging the interviewee to relay
even unrequested information, the interviewer may also be able to minimize
retrieval-induced forgetting. Retrieval induced forgetting occurs when
"memory quantity for the reviewed items is enhanced, [but] memory quantity
for related, non-reviewed items is reduced."[165] For example, in one study,
participants were asked to view pictures of two categories of items that had
been stolen from two houses. Participants then answered questions about
some of the items from one of the categories. Subsequently, participants were
better able to recall the items about which they had been questioned than the
other items.[166] Thus, to the extent that focused, detailed questions are limited
to specific aspects of the event or situation, they may inhibit memory for
other related aspects of the dispute.

In contrast to open-ended questions, asking specific questions early in
the interview—such as multiple choice questions or questions asking the
interviewee to confirm or disconfirm a statement—can limit the range of
information obtained, decrease accuracy, and increase the possibility that
information contained in the question will be subsequently "remembered."[167]
Leading questions—questions that suggest or presuppose their answers—
pose particular problems for memory. In one study, participants were shown
a videotape of a car accident and asked to describe what they had seen.[168]
When asked about the speed of one of the cars, one group of participants was
asked, "About how fast were the cars going when they hit each other?" Other
groups of participants were asked the same question, but the word "hit" was

[165] Ainat Pansky et al., Eyewitness Recall and Testimony, in PSYCHOLOGY AND
LAW: AN EMPIRICAL PERSPECTIVE 93, 108 (Neil Brewer & Kipling D. Williams eds.,
2005). See also SCHACTER, supra note 56, at 81–82; Elizabeth W. Dunn & Barbara A.
Spellman, Forgetting by Remembering: Stereotype Inhibition Through Rehearsal of
Alternative Aspects of Identity, 39 J. EXPERIMENTAL SOC. PSYCHOL. 420 (2003); Fisher,
supra note 147, at 748.

[166] Malcolm MacLeod, Retrieval-Induced Forgetting in Eyewitness Memory:
Forgetting as a Consequence of Remembering, 16 APPLIED COGNITIVE PSYCHOL. 135,
139–41 (2002). The items that were in the same category as the items they were
questioned about were the least well-remembered. Id. See also John S. Shaw III et al.,
Retrieval-Induced Forgetting in an Eyewitness-Memory Paradigm, 2 PSYCHONOMIC
BULL. & REV. 249 (1995) (finding similar effects of questioning on memory of photos of
the scene where a wallet was lost); MacLeod, supra, at 142–45 (finding similar effects
for memories for people).


[168] Elizabeth F. Loftus & John C. Palmer, Reconstruction of Automobile
Destruction: An Example of the Interaction Between Language and Memory, 13 J.
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replaced by one of the following: smashed, collided, bumped, or contacted.169 Estimates of speed varied depending on the descriptor used.170 In a follow-up experiment, participants who were asked about the speed of the cars when they "smashed into" each other were more likely to incorrectly remember that they saw broken glass than were participants who were asked about the speed of the cars when they "hit" each other.171 Thus, Jack's use of a cross examination-style in initial interviews seems unlikely to yield the disclosure of full memories and may create mistaken memories, and Jack should certainly avoid asking questions based on faulty or unknown premises.

It is also important for Jack to consider the relationships among and the ordering of the questions he asks. Interviewers often ask questions according to a standard interview protocol or in the order that the questions occur to the interviewer.172 Instead, the ordering of questions ought to be tied to the interviewee's conception of events. That is, Jack should let Edna and his other clients tell their stories in the way that makes sense to them. Thus, if it makes sense to Edna to tell her story in a non-linear or non-chronological fashion, Jack may have to defer some questions that occur to him until an appropriate point in her narrative. While this approach may result in interviews that last longer than Jack's standard half-hour, the reward will be that Edna and his other clients will be able to provide more detail and potential evidence than Jack otherwise would have obtained. For example, in recounting her history at the company over a number of years, Edna may provide facts that could help Jack prove that her termination was part of a larger discriminatory scheme affecting both Edna and other employees. Or, Edna may reveal ethical improprieties that could lead to a successful separate whistle-blower action against the employer.

169 Id. at 585–86.
170 Id. at 586 (smashed (40.8 mph), collided (39.3 mph), bumped (38.1 mph), hit (34.0 mph), or contacted (31.8 mph)).
171 Id. at 587. In another study, participants who viewed a video of a car accident were more likely to report seeing a broken headlight when they were asked whether they saw "the" broken headlight (i.e., implying that there was one) than when they were asked whether they saw "a" broken headlight. Elizabeth F. Loftus & Guido Zanni, Eyewitness Testimony: The Influence of the Wording of a Question, 5 BULL. PSYCHONOMIC SOC'y 86, 87–88 (1975). Importantly, it is not simply reference to a potential detail that results in these effects. While observers who are asked leading questions about an incorrect detail (e.g., Did you see the children getting on the school bus?) are more likely than others to remember the detail (i.e., the school bus), observers who are asked direct questions about the same detail (e.g., Did you see a school bus?) are not. Loftus, supra note 150, at 569.
172 Fisher, supra note 147, at 734.
What else should Jack do to help his clients remember all that they can? As a general matter, simple encouragement to the interviewee to provide more information does not tend to be helpful and can even impede accuracy.173 Thus, rather than just asking Carl towards the end of the interview, "Is there anything else you can recall about your conversations with Henrietta?," there are a number of other useful strategies that Jack might employ to help Carl access his memories. First, the interviewee can be encouraged to focus on the facts. There is evidence that a factual focus results in fewer memory errors and less frequent personal commentary.174 That is, Jack could move Carl away from his potential emotions such as anger, guilt, or embarrassment, and ask him to recall all that he can of the details of the contract, the job, and any subsequent discussions. Second, interviewees can be encouraged to base their memory decisions on specific recollections, rather than relying on overall familiarity.175 That is, if Carl simply says, "Henrietta never said anything to me about water problems," Jack could ask Carl to try to remember how many times he spoke with Henrietta, when and where each conversation took place, and what specifically was discussed.

Third, "countless studies have . . . shown that seemingly lost information can be recovered by cues or hints that remind us of how we initially encoded an experience."176 Thus, attorneys might suggest that the interviewee attempt to "recreate the environmental, cognitive, physiological, and affective states that existed at the time of the original event."177 Specifically, Jack could ask Carl to think about the time of year and the weather when he did the work for Henrietta Homeowner, where he physically negotiated the contract with Henrietta, and how his business was doing otherwise at the time he negotiated with Henrietta Homeowner. Although these facts would not seem

173 Id. at 742.
174 Elizabeth J. Marsh et al., How Eyewitnesses Talk about Events: Implications for Memory, 19 APPLIED COGNITIVE PSYCHOL. 531, 541 (2005) (comparing a factual focus to an emotional focus). Though this does not mean that Jack should ignore his clients' emotions.
175 SCHACTER, supra note 56, at 102.
176 Id. at 33. Roediger III & Gallo, supra note 139, at 20 ("[T]he encoding specificity principle states that the more a retrieval cue matches the way an experience was initially encoded, the more effective it is in provoking a memory for the experience.").
177 Fisher, supra note 147, at 745–46 (reporting study of scuba divers who learned word lists under water or on land; participants performed better when tested in the same environment). When the experience was traumatic, however, one danger of invoking the original condition is too much heightened arousal. Id. at 746.
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to be directly relevant to the situation with Henrietta, they may help trigger relevant memories. Finally, the interviewer can ask the interviewee to approach the memory search in different ways—for example, chronological order and reverse chronological order.178

Jack should be careful regarding the extent to which he uses documents to potentially aid clients' memories. Certainly documents can be helpful in refreshing memory, but documents can also be incomplete or erroneous. If documents are used too early in the interview, they may actually cause clients to forget or neglect matters they otherwise would have reported. Thus, we suggest that attorneys first question clients without documents, and then go back and question them again using seemingly relevant documents. While this approach may be more time-consuming, it is more likely to produce a more complete set of memories.

Finally, clients can and often should be interviewed on multiple occasions.179 There are several reasons why multiple interviews might be helpful. First, people sometimes experience "reminiscence"—the ability to remember information in later interviews that they were unable to recall initially.180 Second, there is evidence that retrieval-induced forgetting181 is transient.182 Thus, temporarily forgotten information might be recalled in a subsequent interview.183 On the other hand, repeating errors (just like rehearsing any information) tends to reinforce them.184 Thus, because

---

178 Id. The Cognitive Interview also recommends asking the interviewee to imagine different perspectives on the incident. However, while this approach may result in greater recall, it may also increase inaccuracy and suggestibility. See, e.g., Ayanna K. Thomas & Elizabeth F. Loftus, Creating Bizarre False Memories Through Imagination, 30 MEMORY & COGNITION 423, 429 (2002).

179 Repeated interviews may also be useful because clients may change their minds or have new information.


181 See supra note 165 and accompanying text.


183 In addition, witnesses who have been pushed to guess in previous interviews will sometimes re-indicate that they do not know a particular detail. See Pezdek et al., supra note 163.

repeated interviewing increases the opportunities for suggestion, using high
quality interview techniques is paramount.\textsuperscript{185}

To sum up, if Jack were to apply these insights to his interview with
Edna Employee, he might start the interview by asking Edna to discuss the
nature of her former job from the time when she first started working for the
company, to describe her job responsibilities and how they changed over
time, and to describe her supervisors and co-workers and the nature of her
relationship with each. While Jack would eventually get to the layoff—and
would likely at some point need to ask some pointed questions regarding
why Edna felt her selection for the layoff was inappropriate—he would have
first allowed Edna to give substantial open-ended detail regarding her
perspective on her former position. If Edna prefers to tell her story in a non-
linear fashion, Jack should let her take that approach. When asking Edna
about the layoff itself, Jack might ask Edna to describe her experience of the
layoff, eventually asking for detailed descriptions of any meetings she had
regarding the layoff. He can prompt her memories using questions regarding
who was present at the meetings and where they took place. After soliciting
these memories, Jack might move to more specific questions, minimizing the
risk that important details will be neglected and then forgotten. Jack should
use documents, such as performance reviews or personnel files, to question
Edna only after having permitted her to tell her story.

3. Distinguishing Accurate and Inaccurate Memories

As described above, some of our memories are erroneous. It turns out
that people have an impressive ability to remember things incorrectly, and
then to be certain that the incorrect memory is accurate. They are not lying,
but rather just misremembering. What can attorneys do to distinguish
accurate and inaccurate memories? Probably the best solution is to look for
confirmation, particularly in contemporaneously prepared written materials
or photos. While documents sometimes contain inaccuracies too,\textsuperscript{186}
confirmation of human recollection by a document may at least decrease the

\textsuperscript{185} These suggestions apply to interviews of non-client witnesses as well, though of
course the attorney must balance the time that would be needed to conduct multiple
interviews versus the likely payoff of an additional interview. While it will virtually
always be worthwhile to conduct multiple interviews of a critical potential witness like
one's own client, it may not always be necessary to conduct multiple interviews of less
critical potential witnesses.

\textsuperscript{186} See Malcolm Gladwell, The Picture Problem: Mammography, Air Power, and
the Limits of Looking, THE NEW YORKER, Dec. 13, 2004, at 74 (considering whether we
"place too much faith in pictures").
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likelihood of error. Thus, rather than to depend on Edna to provide the date on which she was hired or fired, it is better to look also at the documents which should have been prepared in connection with those events. Similarly, Jack should try to procure plans or photos pertinent to Carl's patio project.

It is tempting and likely common to use a client's degree of confidence in his or her own memories as a guide to whether such memories should be trusted. However, attorneys ought to be cautious about assuming that the confident witness is more reliable than the less-confident witness.\(^ {187}\) Psychological research has demonstrated that confident witnesses are not necessarily more accurate than non-confident witnesses.\(^ {188}\) On the other hand, the relative confidence of a particular interviewee for different pieces of information can be a useful guide. As noted earlier, interviewees are reasonably good judges of the level of detail which they can accurately report.\(^ {189}\) Accordingly, details for which the interviewee is confident do tend to be more accurate than details for which the same interviewee is less confident.\(^ {190}\)


\(^{188}\) Research has demonstrated a generally weak correlation between confidence and accuracy, although the relationship can be stronger under some circumstances. See Penrod & Cutler, supra note 187; Siegfried Ludwig Sporer et al., *Choosing, Confidence, and Accuracy: A Meta-Analysis of the Confidence-Accuracy Relation in Eyewitness Identification Studies*, 118 PSYCHOL. BULL. 315 (1995); Gary L. Wells et al., *Eyewitness Evidence: Improving Its Probative Value*, 7 PSYCHOL. SCI. PUB. INT. 45 (2006).

\(^{189}\) See supra notes 160–61 and accompanying text.

\(^{190}\) Fisher, supra note 147, at 744 (reviewing studies). Brian H. Bornstein & Douglas J. Zickafoose, "I Know I Know It, I Know I Saw It": The Stability of the Confidence-Accuracy Relationship Across Domains, 5 J. EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOL.: APPLIED 76, 181 (1999); Colleen M. Kelley & Lili Sahakyan, Memory, Monitoring, and Control in the Attainment of Memory Accuracy, 48 J. MEMORY & LANGUAGE 704 (2003); Koriat & Goldsmith, supra note 160; Wayne T. Roberts & Philip A. Higham, Selecting Accurate Statements from the Cognitive Interview Using Confidence Ratings, 8 J. EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOL.: APPLIED 33 (2002). Similar results have been found for witness consistency. That is, across witnesses, witness consistency may be only weakly related to witness accuracy. See Neil Brewer et al., *Beliefs and Data on the Relationship Between Consistency and Accuracy of Eyewitness Testimony*, 13 APPLIED COGNITIVE PSYCHOL. 297 (1999); Ronald P. Fisher & Brian L. Cutler, The Relation Between Consistency and Accuracy of Eyewitness Testimony, in *PSYCHOLOGY, LAW, AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE: INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENTS IN RESEARCH AND PRACTICE* 21 (Graham Davies et al. eds., 1995). However, for a particular witness, information that is provided consistently may be more accurate than information for which that witness is
4. Jack's Own Memory

Finally, attorneys themselves are not immune from memory lapses. While some of us have better memories than others, none of us should feel comfortable relying entirely on memory. Thus, we need to be careful in the way we record information obtained from clients, and we also need to make good records of "to do" lists that arise out of client interviews. Jack may tend to rely too heavily on his own memory for client information. Yet, like the rest of us, Jack needs to devise a good system for remembering important things in his work, including in his client interviews. Some attorneys choose to make video or audio tapes of interviews. Such recordings can be quite helpful, but could be undesirable if they diminish client rapport.\textsuperscript{191} Similarly, careful notetaking can also interrupt the flow of a conversation and make it harder to build rapport. Perhaps Jack will want to ask a paralegal to take notes on his interviews. Or, Jack may want to take some notes and then dictate a detailed file memo right after the interview ends. Jack will need to explore which of these or other options works best.

D. Identifying Liars and Truth Tellers

While there is a lot of folklore regarding how to distinguish liars from truth tellers,\textsuperscript{192} it turns out that identifying liars is extremely difficult, even for experts. Although Jack feels comfortable in his own lie-detection abilities, there is no particular cue or set of cues that can reliably be used to identify a lie.\textsuperscript{193} Many of the cues that could be associated with deception can also be associated with other states likely common to legal clients, such as stress. Indeed, across a variety of studies, psychological research has found that people are not adept at distinguishing those who are lying from those who are telling the truth—performing at levels that are little or no

\textsuperscript{191} See infra Part III.E.4.

\textsuperscript{192} See generally Craig C. Reilly, \textit{The Truth About Lying}, 29 (#4) \textit{Litig.} 40 (2003).

\textsuperscript{193} See \textsc{Paul Ekman}, \textsc{Telling Lies: Clues to Deceit in the Market Place} 80 (2001).
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better than chance. Moreover, there is little correlation between our degree of certainty that we have identified a liar and our accuracy. Apparent experts do not tend to perform any better than lay people, though they do tend to be more confident in their determinations. Thus, whereas Jack may believe that he is quite good at figuring out whether clients are lying based on whether they blink, look away, or tap their fingers, he is probably wrong as often as he is right.

A primary reason why people are unable to reliably distinguish lies and truths is that we pay attention to the wrong things. Psychological research has identified a number of cues that people believe are related to deception. In particular, people strongly believe that liars avert their gaze, engage in lots of movement (e.g., shifting position, hand movements, foot movements, gestures of illustration), smile, and have more disturbed speech (e.g., hesitation, pauses, slower speech). Many of these anticipated cues are

---

194 See generally Charles F. Bond, Jr. & Bella M. DePaulo, Accuracy of Deception Judgments, 10 PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. REV. 214 (2006) (reporting a meta-analysis of such studies); Bella M. DePaulo et al., Attentional Determinants of Success at Detecting Deception and Truth, 8 PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. BULL. 273 (1982); Robin S. Edelstein et al., Detecting Lies in Children and Adults, 30 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 1 (2006); Saul M. Kassin et al., "I'd Know a False Confession if I Saw One": A Comparative Study of College Students and Police Investigators, 29 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 211 (2005); Saul M. Kassin & Christina T. Fong, "I'm Innocent!": Effects of Training on Judgments of Truth and Deception in the Interrogation Room, 23 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 499 (1999); Aldert Vrij, Detecting Lies and Deceit: The Psychology of Lying and the Implications for Professional Practice (2000).


196 Bella M. DePaulo & Roger L. Pfeifer, On-the-Job Experience and Skill at Detecting Deception, 16 J. APPLIED SOC. PSYCHOL. 249, 249 (1986); Kassin et al., supra note 194, at 213.

197 Lay people and practitioners (e.g., police officers, customs officers) have similar beliefs. See Leif A. Strömbäck et al., Practitioners' Beliefs about Deception, in THE DETECTION OF DECEPTION IN FORENSIC CONTEXTS 229, 233–35 (Pär Anders Granhag & Leif A. Strömbäck eds., 2004) (reviewing studies examining beliefs about deception). Criminals actually have less stereotypical beliefs about cues to deception—and are better at detecting lies. Id. at 243 (same).

198 Id. at 230–31 (reviewing studies). See also Lucy Akehurst et al., Lay Persons' and Police Officers' Beliefs Regarding Deceptive Behaviour, 10 APPLIED COGNITIVE PSYCHOL. 461, 462 (1996); D. Eric Anderson et al., Beliefs About Cues to Deception: Mindless Stereotypes or Untapped Wisdom?, 23 J. NONVERBAL BEHAV. 67, 80 (1999); Aldert Vrij & Gün R. Semin, Lie Experts' Beliefs About Nonverbal Indicators of
thought to be associated with nervousness. However, many of these believed indicators do not in fact prove reliable in distinguishing liars and truth tellers.\textsuperscript{199} Accordingly, it is important that Jack not rely on stereotypes about lying that do not discriminate between liars and truth tellers.

On the other hand, Jack can learn even more from psychology regarding the detection of liars than simply to be careful about his intuitions. There are some dimensions on which liars do tend to differ from those who are telling the truth. Liars tend to offer fewer details,\textsuperscript{200} give accounts that are less plausible and coherent,\textsuperscript{201} and speak with more vocal tension and higher pitch\textsuperscript{202}—though the size of these effects can be quite small.\textsuperscript{203} Unfortunately, these cues, too, can also be present for other reasons—for example, a high pitch could be a sign of lying or a sign that the person is upset or nervous for some other reason (such as the stress of being questioned or talking about personal information).\textsuperscript{204} Similarly, lack of detail or coherency can reflect poor memory rather than lying.\textsuperscript{205} Thus, cues such as these ought to be seen as signals that are worth pursuing further in order to get more information.

In addition, particular attention can be paid to changes in the behavior of the particular speaker.\textsuperscript{206} While one individual's speech and behavior may simply differ from another person's even when they are telling the truth,


\textsuperscript{199} For instance, the cue more commonly believed to indicate deception—averted gaze—has not been shown to distinguish liars and truth-tellers. Bella M. DePaulo et al., \textit{Cues to Deception}, 129 Psychol. Bull. 74, 92 (2003) (reporting a meta-analysis of such studies); Strömwall et al., supra note 197, at 232 (reviewing studies). Similarly, while people anticipate that liars will display more movement, studies have shown either no differences or that liars made fewer movements. DePaulo et al., supra, at 92 (discussing meta-analysis). A decrease in movement may result from attempts to compensate for the expectation that they will show an increase in motion or from cognitive effort to carry out the lie. Strömwall et al., supra note 197, at 232. In the same way, higher rates of blinking and speech disturbances do not appear to distinguish liars and truth-tellers. DePaulo et al., supra, at 93–94 (describing meta-analysis). People also tend to incorrectly associate trustworthiness with attractiveness. See Leslie A. Zebrowitz et al., "Wide-Eyed" and "Crooked-Faced": Determinants of Perceived and Real Honesty Across the Life Span, 22 Personality & Soc. Psychol. Bull. 1258 (1996).

\textsuperscript{200} DePaulo et al., supra note 199, at 91.

\textsuperscript{201} Id. at 92.

\textsuperscript{202} Id. at 93–94.

\textsuperscript{203} Id. at 104.

\textsuperscript{204} Ekman, supra note 193, at 51, 94, 113.

\textsuperscript{205} See supra Part III.C.

\textsuperscript{206} Ekman, supra note 193, at 96, 109, 167.
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making distinctions difficult to draw, changes in an individual's behavior may be more predictive and worth following up.\textsuperscript{207} Thus, just as within-person confidence is more useful in assessing memory than are cross-person comparisons,\textsuperscript{208} within-person behavior is more useful in identifying lying.

Additional guidance can be provided by examining the handful of people who tend to be better than most at distinguishing liars and truth tellers.\textsuperscript{209} These lie detection "wizards" have been shown to perform better than others at identifying liars, although they do not perform better than others at identifying truthfulness.\textsuperscript{210} Such wizards' success may be attributable in part to the fact that they are more likely than others to keep an open mind as to whether someone is lying, and avoid committing to a conclusion until they have processed all the information.\textsuperscript{211} In considering all the available information, the wizards have been found to pay closer and more sophisticated attention to nonverbal cues and to focus closely on nuances of language.\textsuperscript{212} Relatedly, good lie-detection has been shown to be related to the ability to identify brief expressions of emotion on people's faces.\textsuperscript{213}

All of this is complicated by the fact that much of the time people are not dealing with others who are blatantly lying but instead who hold varying

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\setcounter{enumi}{206}
\item Id.
\item See supra Part III.C.3.
\item Paul Ekman et al., A Few Can Catch a Liar, 10 PSYCHOL. SCI. 263, 265 (1999); Maureen O'Sullivan, Emotional Intelligence and Deception Detection: Why Most People Can't "Read" Others, But a Few Can, in APPLICATIONS OF NONVERBAL COMMUNICATION 215 (Ronald E. Riggio & Robert S. Feldman eds., 2005). The effects of training on lie detection ability have been inconsistent. See Ray Bull, Training to Detect Deception from Behavioural Cues: Attempts and Problems, in THE DETECTION OF DECEPTION IN FORENSIC CONTEXTS 251 (Pär Anders Granhag & Leif A. Strömwall eds., 2004); Kassin & Fong, supra note 194.
\item EKMAN, supra note 193, at 333.
\item Id. at 245. In contrast, many people begin the analysis with a predisposition that influences judgment—either a truthfulness bias (lay people) or a deception bias (law enforcement officers). See generally Kassin et al., supra note 194; Miron Zuckerman et al., Facial and Vocal Cues of Deception and Honesty, 15 J. EXPERIMENTAL SOC. PSYCHOL. 378 (1979). This is a form of confirmatory bias. See supra notes Part III.A.I. See also Maureen O'Sullivan, The Fundamental Attribution Error in Detecting Deception: The Boy-Who-Cried-Wolf Effect, 29 PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. BULL. 1316, 1317 (2003); Miron Zuckerman et al., Anchoring in the Detection of Deception and Leakage, 47 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 301, 302 (1984).
\item O'Sullivan, supra note 209, at 244.
\item Mark G. Frank & Paul Ekman, The Ability to Detect Deceit Generalizes Across Different Types of High-Stake Lies, 72 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 1429, 1430 (1997).
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
versions of reality.

Telling the whole truth and nothing but the truth is rarely possible or desirable. All self-presentations are edited. The question is one of whether the editing crosses the line from the honest highlighting of aspects of identity that are most relevant in the ongoing situation to a dishonest attempt to mislead.\textsuperscript{214}

Probably the most common way in which clients lie to their attorneys is that they fail to inform the attorney of particular details.\textsuperscript{215} Such omissions may be deliberate. Notwithstanding attorneys' incantation of the attorney-client privilege and urging their clients to be forthright, some clients may believe that their attorneys will represent them more effectively if they are not aware of certain skeletons in the clients' closets.\textsuperscript{216} Clients may also fear that if they disclose damaging information to the attorney, the attorney will feel compelled to disclose it to the opposing side or to a finder of fact.\textsuperscript{217} In other instances, such omissions may simply be a function of misperception or a need to be viewed positively.\textsuperscript{218} If outright lies are difficult to discern, surely it is even more difficult to discern nuances of shading, positive spin, or editing.

Thus, Jack should be aware that clients may lie, recognize his own limitations in detecting lies, keep an open mind, and be prepared to try to check clients' veracity. A few helpful measures can include using documents to confirm or contradict clients' accounts, interviewing multiple or married

\textsuperscript{214} DePaulo et al., supra note 199, at 105.

\textsuperscript{215} Krieger & Neuman, supra note 8, at 102–04 (discussing semiconscious fudging and conscious lying).

\textsuperscript{216} See generally Binder et al., supra note 8, at 19–21 (noting that clients tend to withhold information they deem threatening either to their self-esteem or to their likelihood of success in the case).

\textsuperscript{217} This fear is not irrational. Despite the protections of the attorney-client privilege, attorneys may often be compelled by rules of procedure and professional responsibility to provide damaging information to opposing counsel. Model Rules of Prof'l Conduct R. 1.6 (2002) (providing, in text and comments that the lawyer may disclose information relating to the representation of client where the disclosure "is impliedly authorized in order to carry out the representation" or for other reasons such as "to prevent reasonably certain death or substantial bodily harm," or "to comply with other law or a court order").
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clients—such as the Kiddos—separately, and interviewing other witnesses who might be in a position to serve as a check on the clients' stories.

E. Communication

If Jack can communicate well with his clients, he will build better rapport with those clients and will be better able to both obtain information from and provide information and advice to those clients. When we first met Jack, we learned that he wished he could communicate with his clients directly, as if he and they were both computers that could be networked. Given that Jack's wish for networking is not going to be granted in the foreseeable future, Jack will need to think beyond verbal exchanges. Whereas computers communicate exclusively using strings of numbers, humans rely on body language and environmental settings to assist their verbal expressions. Jack will need to understand that both good communication and the perception of good communication are critically important to being an effective interviewer and counselor.

Ideally, Jack should master quite a few aspects of communication. To obtain good information from his clients, Jack will need to be a good listener and to understand conversational norms. Jack will also need to understand the role that perspective taking and the development of empathy can play in facilitating communication. Whereas Jack has never seen a need to be particularly empathetic, the relevant psychology suggests that the empathetic lawyer can both learn more from and provide more information to his client, as well as build better rapport and trust. Improved rapport, in turn, is beneficial not only for retaining client relationships and bringing in more business, but also because it will aid Jack in obtaining information from and providing information to his clients. In communicating with his clients, Jack should also pay attention to what psychologists know about how people learn. Providing clients information in ways that are consistent with the ways in which they learn can improve Jack's ability to counsel. Finally, Jack ought to pay attention to the physical setting in which client communication occurs, using the environment to promote communication.

---

1. Listening

It is important, psychologically, for attorneys to listen carefully and also to demonstrate to their clients that they are listening carefully. At a basic level, if the attorney does not pay attention to the information that the client is providing, she is likely to miss important data. Moreover, clients, like all other people, like to feel that someone is listening when they speak.

In order to both listen effectively and appear to clients to be listening effectively, lawyers should typically let their clients tell their initial stories without interruptions, follow up their accounts with clarifying questions, and then provide feedback and legal information that reflects that the attorney was listening carefully. Studies of interviewers, including lawyers, police interviewers, and physicians, have found that interviewers are prone to interrupt early and often. Research shows that interjecting a

220 An attorney who listens well but appears not to be listening will not be as effective as the attorney who is, more obviously, paying close attention to her client. The appearance of good listening is also important to the building of rapport and trust with the client. See infra notes 263–80 and accompanying text.

221 See supra Part III.A.1.

222 Lawrence J. Vilardo, Communicating with Clients, 27 (#3) Litigation 45, 45 (2001) ("Clients come to lawyers to be heard. In fact, when you deal with your clients, listening is the more important half of the communication equation."); Jenny B. Davis, What I Like About my Lawyer, 89 A.B.A. J. 33, 36 (2003) (noting that being an excellent listener is one of various qualities clients appreciate in their lawyers).

223 Of course, some clients may ramble so extensively that there is no choice but to interrupt.

224 See, e.g., BINDER ET AL., supra note 8, at 91–93 (noting that the initial stage of the interview, designated by the authors as "preliminary problem identification," should typically begin with open-end questions); KRIEGER & NEUMANN, JR., supra note 8, at 87 (explaining that clients should be given chance to provide their initial story before attorney jumps in with numerous questions).

225 Vilardo, supra note 222, at 46.

226 One study showed that lawyers interrupt their clients more often than people interrupt one another in the course of normal conversation. Bryna Bogoch & Brenda Danet, Challenge and Control in Lawyer-Client Interaction: A Case Study in an Israeli Legal Aid Office, 4 Text 249, 254 (1984). Another study, of legal services lawyers, showed they interrupted clients ten times during the course of the interview, on average once every three minutes. Carl J. Hosticka, We Don't Care About What Happened, We Only Care About What is Going to Happen: Lawyer-Client Negotiations of Reality, 26 Soc. Probs. 599, 605 (1979).

227 See Fisher, supra note 147, at 734–36 (describing research on police interviews); Howard B. Beckman & Richard M. Frankel, The Effect of Physician Behavior on the
large number of specific questions can make it difficult for the interviewer to listen to the answers provided by the interviewee. "Directing those limited mental resources to formulating many questions—rather than listening intently to the witness's narrative response to open-ended questions—ought to increase the difficulty of understanding or noting the witness's responses." In addition, as we have already discussed, psychological research has documented the benefits to memory that come from listening to interviewees and not interrupting their answers to questions.

In addition, attorneys need to be conscious of messages they may inadvertently convey to their clients that signal a lack of attention to clients' answers. Studies have shown that people have a tendency to convey more detail in their answers when the listener is attentive and not distracted. Thus, if Jack allows himself to tap his pen or feet nervously, frustrated that his client seems to be rambling, the client may well pick up on a sense of boredom or frustration and this may cause the client to stop communicating thoroughly. Instead, Jack should use his face, words, and body to show that he is listening carefully, for example by leaning forward and using supporting phrases (e.g., "uh huh").

Thus, when Jack begins to interview Agnes Ageout about her estate planning, he needs to be cognizant that Agnes may well not be comfortable speaking directly about her needs and wishes. Agnes may, for example, be embarrassed by the fact that she wants to leave all her assets to Lenny, rather than to her children and grandchildren. Agnes may want to speak explicitly

Collection of Data, 101 ANNALS INTERNAL MED. 692, 694 (1984) (describing research on physicians); M. Kim Marvel et al., Soliciting the Patient's Agenda: Have We Improved?, 281 JAMA 283, 285 (1999) (describing research on physicians). See generally DEBRA L. ROTER & JUDITH A. HALL, DOCTORS TALKING WITH PATIENTS/PATIENTS TALKING WITH DOCTORS: IMPROVING COMMUNICATION IN MEDICAL VISITS (2d ed. 2006). One study found that physicians interrupted patients, on average, only 18 seconds after they began their answer. Beckman & Frankel, supra, at 693–94 (also finding that in only 23% of visits did patients complete their statement of complaint and that only 1 out of 52 interrupted statements was subsequently completed by the patient).

228 Powell et al., supra note 157, at 20. See supra Part III.A.1.

229 See supra Part III.C.2. See also Monisha Passapathi et al., How What We Tell Becomes What We Know: Listener Effects on Speakers' Long-Term Memory for Events, 26 DISCOURSE PROCESSES 1, 10–13 (1998).

230 Id.

231 We recognize that good listening is not many attorneys' forte. One old saw states: "You can always tell a barber by the way he parts his hair; You can always tell a dentist when you're in the dentists' chair; And even a musician—you can tell him by his touch; You can always tell a lawyer, but you cannot tell him much." Anonymous, LIFETIME SPEAKER'S ENCYCLOPEDIA (Jacob M. Braude ed., 1962).
about some of her fears, such as that Lenny might decide to break up with her, that her health might decline in unpleasant ways, or that her children or grandchildren might try to challenge her will. To listen well in such a context, Jack will need to focus all his attention on Agnes. He will certainly not want to be multitasking or permitting unnecessary interruptions relating to other cases. In addition, Jack will need to focus on all the verbal and visual cues that Agnes may provide. Jack needs to hear changes or hesitations in Agnes’s voice so that he can follow up with additional questions or raise additional issues. If Agnes’s facial expression or posture reveals that she is distressed or concerned about a particular subject, Jack needs to be able to perceive and understand those forms of communication so that he can respond appropriately. If Jack senses that Agnes is hesitant, confused, or embarrassed, he needs to try to address her concerns. Rather than leave important issues unaddressed, Jack ought to raise them, at least to ask Agnes whether she wishes to discuss them further.

2. Conversational Norms

Interviews deviate from typical conversations in ways that have implications for interviewers’ ability to elicit information from interviewees. First, in the context of an interview, a client will usually be expected to report information at a higher level of detail than would be expected in a typical conversation. Whereas Edna might simply tell her friends, "I am sure I was discriminated against," Jack will need her to provide details as to when, where, and how she believes she was mistreated. If Edna does not go into this level of detail voluntarily—and most clients do not—Jack will eventually need to ask specific and detailed follow-up questions.

Second, the norms of everyday conversation posit that information provided by a speaker should contribute to the ongoing conversation—that it should not be information that the questioner already has. Accordingly, "[u]nless the person asked has reason to believe that the questioner did not understand the answer given in response to the first question, he or she is likely to interpret the second question as a request for new information" and will provide an answer that differs in some way from the answer to the

---


233 Powell et al., supra note 157, at 16. See supra Part III.C.

234 Schwartz, supra note 232, at 63. While some persons do, of course, violate this norm, society tends to look upon such persons as blabbermouths.
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previous iteration of the question. Thus, because attorneys often need their clients to violate this conversational norm, by either repeating themselves—so the attorney can verify her understanding—or providing additional detail, attorneys need to explain clearly what they need from their client.

Specifically, at the beginning of an interview Jack ought to inform his clients that he will often need very detailed responses, and to encourage his clients not to edit their responses, but rather to provide complete answers:

Mrs. Kiddo, I know it will be very painful for you to discuss your son's injuries. But I want to warn you up front that I will need to ask you a lot of questions and sometimes even seemingly ask you to repeat yourself. In order for me to fully understand what happened, and in order for me to be fully prepared for everything the other side may throw at us, I will need to ask you to go into lots of detail and sometimes will have you tell me the same thing over again from a different angle. As you are telling me the story, try not to leave anything out, as I would like to hear about everything that is possibly related to this incident.

Similarly, when Jack sees the need as the interview progresses to repeat questions or revisit topics, he should clearly communicate his purposes and clarify that the repetition should not signal the need to change an answer or to speculate. In other words, Jack needs to be very transparent in the way that he conducts the interview:

Mr. Kiddo, I know that you have already told me the gist of what happened to your son, Kyle. But now I would like for you to go back and tell me again everything you can remember of the day when Kyle swallowed those magnetic balls. This time try to describe the events in chronological order. This will help me to make sure I understand everything that happened and that I don't miss anything important.

3. Perspective Taking and Empathy

Perspective taking—the ability to take the perspective of other people and imagine their experiences, perceptions, and feelings—may be particularly important to the lawyer-client relationship. Importantly, people who take the perspective of another person have been shown to experience

235 Id. at 64.

236 The interviewer should then give the interviewee sufficient time to do so. See also Powell et al., supra note 157, at 17 (noting the importance of conveying to interviewees the need to report all information).
greater empathy towards that other—perceiving and sharing in the other's emotions. In addition, those who take the perspective of others engage in less stereotyping, make attributions about the other that are closer to the types of attributions they would make about themselves (i.e., giving more consideration to situational factors), perceive the interests and intentions of the other more accurately, and make less self-serving judgments of fairness. Thus, striving to take the perspective of another

237 See Mark H. Davis, Empathy: A Social Psychological Approach 17 (1996). See also C. Daniel Batson, The Altruism Question: Toward a Social-Psychological Answer 83–86 (1991). Empathy, broadly conceived, can include a variety of "constructs having to do with the responses of one individual to the experiences of another," including their affective, cognitive, and behavioral responses. Davis, supra, at 12. Professor Robert Mnookin and his co-authors emphasize the behavioral aspect of empathy: "For purposes of negotiation we define empathy as the process of demonstrating an accurate, nonjudgmental understanding of the other side's needs, interests, and perspective." Robert H. Mnookin et al., Beyond Winning: Negotiating to Create Value in Deals and Disputes 46 (2000). According to Mnookin, a good negotiator manages the tension between empathy and assertiveness, listening well and speaking persuasively. Id. at 68. "Sympathy," in contrast, includes "heightened awareness of the suffering of another person as something to be alleviated." Lauren Wispé, The Distinction Between Sympathy and Empathy: To Call Forth a Concept, a Word is Needed, 50 J. Personality & Soc. Psychol. 314, 318 (1986).


240 Nancy Eisenberg et al., The Development of Empathic Accuracy, in Empathic Accuracy 73, 97–99 (William Ickes ed., 1997).
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person may be important for lawyers. If Jack can learn to empathize with his clients, he will be better able to understand how their desires and concerns differ from his own. For example, whereas Jack is a logical person who believes that his primary goal in most disputes would be to maximize his monetary well-being, many of his clients may well approach disputes differently.244 They may feel a wide variety of emotions relating to their situation—including anger, guilt, embarrassment, or fear—and may be interested in pursuing a wide variety of goals. For example, as Agnes approaches her estate-planning issues, she may be more interested in her relationships with Lenny and her children and in her own emotions about her impending death than she is on maximizing her economic well-being. The Kiddos may want sufficient money to be able to care for Kyle adequately, but beyond that, they may be more interested in obtaining a public statement that the magnetic ball manufacturer was at fault, clearing their own names, and absolving their own guilt than in obtaining additional money. If Jack can come to understand and empathize with these inclinations, he will be able to communicate with his clients more successfully, establish better rapport, and represent them more effectively in future settlement negotiations.245

People in more powerful roles, however, tend to be less likely to take another's perspective. Recent research has found that people with power are less likely to "adopt another person's visual perspective," are less likely to "take into account that other people [do] not possess their privileged knowledge," and are less accurate in identifying emotions in others.246 Thus,

perspective taking when relationship between negotiators was positive, but not when it was negative). See supra Part III.B.3.

244 See Relis, supra note 14, at 382–83 (emphasizing differences between plaintiffs' actual goals and the goals that are imputed to them by attorneys); Carrie Menkel-Meadow, Whose Dispute is it Anyway?: A Philosophical and Democratic Defense of Settlement (In Some Cases), 83 GEO. L.J. 2663, 2677 (1995) ("[P]eople and entities in disputes may have a wide variety of interests (of which legal principles may be one class) and may decide that, in any given case, social, psychological, economic, political, moral, or religious principles should govern the resolution of their dispute."); Jean R. Sternlight, Lawyers' Representation of Clients in Mediation: Using Economics and Psychology to Structure Advocacy in a Nonadversarial Setting, 14 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 269, 302–06 (1999) (detailing a number of nonmonetary goals that parties may have that "may reflect a rationality that is broader than the mere maximization of wealth").

245 Empathy in physicians is associated with trust in them by their patients, treatment compliance, and overall satisfaction. See, e.g., Jo Silvester et al., "Trust Me...": Psychological and Behavioral Predictors of Perceived Physician Empathy, 92 J. APP. PSYCHOL. 519, 519 (2007) (reviewing studies of empathy and trust in physicians).

246 Adam Galinsky et al., Power and Perspectives Not Taken, 17 PSYCHOL. SCI. 1068, 1072 (2006).
attorneys—who occupy a position of power relative to their clients—may need to pay particular attention to the perspective of their clients.247

Assuming that Jack wants to do a better job in taking his clients' perspectives and to be more empathetic, how could he achieve that result? Research has shown that when people value the welfare of another person, they are more likely to take that person's perspective and to experience empathic concern for that person's situation.248 In addition, Jack may want to talk less and listen more.249 Studies in the medical context have shown "empathy ratings were positively associated with physicians' use of open and reassuring communication styles, and negatively associated with a controlling communication style."250 Jack can also pay attention to his clients' nonverbal messages—watching for cues to their discomfort and emotions.251 While lawyers may not want to try to become close friends with their clients,252 or to allow lawyering to drift into therapy,253 they do need to

---


249 See supra Part III.E.1. See, e.g., Cathy Gillotti et al., Communicative Competence in the Delivery of Bad News, 54 SOC. SCI. & MED. 1011, 1018 (2002) (finding that physicians were rated as being more empathetic if they asked fewer closed-ended questions). See also Klea D. Bertakis et al., The Relationship of Physician Medical Interview Style to Patient Satisfaction, 32 J. FAMILY PRACTICE 175, 175 (1991); Mary Klein Buller & David B. Buller, Physicians' Communication Style and Patient Satisfaction, 28 J. HEALTH & SOC. BEHAV. 375, 384 (1987) (finding that an affiliative communication style was associated with greater patient satisfaction); Silvester et al., supra note 245, at 522 (finding that empathy was associated with "discussion of personal topics, fewer missed patient cues, more personal responsibility, and more personal action statements" by the physician as well as with "more positive statements and [discussion of] the medical procedure in more detail").

250 Silvester et al., supra note 245, at 523. Open and reassuring communication styles included the use of open-ended questions, positive statements, and statements about procedure; a controlling communication style consisted of behaviors such as interrupting, making summary statements, and closed questions. Id.

251 See generally Ronald E. Riggio, Nonverbal Skills and Abilities, in THE SAGE HANDBOOK OF NONVERBAL COMMUNICATION 79, 81 (Valerie Manusov & Miles L. Patterson eds., 2006) (discussing the importance of skill in nonverbal decoding).

252 The Model Rules explicitly proscribe attorneys from engaging in sexual relationships with their clients and also limit attorneys' opportunities to do unrelated business with their clients. MODEL RULES OF PROF'L CONDUCT R. 1.8(a) & (j). See also
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try to use their ears and eyes to better understand not only what their clients are saying but also what they mean and, sometimes, what they are not saying.

It may also be useful for lawyers to try to teach the importance of perspective taking and empathy to their clients. To the extent that clients can come to better understand a seeming adversary's perspective, clients may be able to better understand their likelihood of prevailing in litigation, to devise a mutually acceptable settlement, and to make an informed decision regarding whether it even makes sense to try to litigate a particular dispute. While attorneys cannot, of course, teach an actual class on empathy to their clients, they may want to help their clients imagine how the world might look through the eyes of the seeming adversary. In practice, it may be difficult for attorneys to engage in such discussions with clients. Clients often treasure the idea that their attorney is their advocate who would never see the world through anyone but the clients' eyes. However, to be truly effective in representing their clients' interests, attorneys must at times try to impart empathy.

4. Trust and Rapport

Trust is central to the attorney-client relationship. To trust is to "accept vulnerability based on positive expectations of the intentions or behavior of another." Clients, who are often in a vulnerable position, trust their attorney when they rely on the attorney to act in ways that are consistent with the clients' well-being—expecting both that the attorney will act with fidelity

Howard W. Brill, Sex and the Client: Ten Reasons to Say "No!," 33 SANTA CLARA L. REV. 651 (1993); Robert J. Condlin, "What's Love Got to Do With It?" "It's Not Like They're Your Friends for Christ's Sake": The Complicated Relationship Between Lawyer and Client, 82 NEB. L. REV. 211 (2003).

253 See BASTRESS & HARBAUGH, supra note 8, at 20–21 (distinguishing the roles of lawyers and psychotherapists and noting that while some skills may overlap, lawyers should not be in the business of probing their clients' psyche or otherwise providing psychological counseling). See also Gant II, supra note 45, at 386–97 (noting that counseling that too closely resembles therapy can expose lawyers to ethical risks).


to their interests and will act competently in doing so.\textsuperscript{256}

Trust can further the attorney-client relationship by improving communication between attorney and client—promoting disclosure of important information by the client and facilitating the counseling process. Clients want to believe that their lawyer is their ally and advocate—and may hesitate to provide full information if they don't feel supported.\textsuperscript{257} In contrast, people tend to be more willing to share more information (particularly sensitive or personal information) with those whom they trust.\textsuperscript{258} In addition, if clients trust their attorneys, discussions regarding settlement and other options will be far more successful. Clients who trust their attorneys may be more willing to consider and follow the attorneys' advice.\textsuperscript{259} It is natural that when an attorney begins to discuss settlement, the client may feel let down or even abandoned. The lawyer who the client had seen as her knight in shining armor is now urging that the client's position may not be so strong after all. Is the lawyer perhaps looking out for her own interests rather than those of the client?\textsuperscript{260} However, if the client has real trust in her attorney, she is less

\textsuperscript{256} See Mark Hall et al., Trust in Physicians and Medical Institutions: What Is It, Can It Be Measured, and Does It Matter?, 79 MILBANK Q. 613, 620–24 (2001). Distrust, which can exist simultaneously with trust, involves negative expectations about the behavior or intentions of the other. See id. at 618–19. See also Roy J. Lewicki et al., Models of Interpersonal Trust Development: Theoretical Approaches, Empirical Evidence, and Future Directions, 32 J. MGMT. 991, 1002 (2006).

\textsuperscript{257} For example, clients may hesitate to provide personal information regarding finances, relationships, misconduct, and similar matters if they do not trust and have good rapport with their attorney.

\textsuperscript{258} John K. Butler, Jr., Trust Expectations, Information Sharing, Climate of Trust, and Negotiation Effectiveness and Efficiency, 24 GROUP & ORG. MGMT. 217, 227 (1999); See Hall et al., supra note 256, at 614; Lewicki et al., supra note 256, at 1004.

\textsuperscript{259} See Janet A. Sniezek & Lyn M. Van Swol, Trust, Confidence, and Expertise in a Judge-Advisor System, 84 ORG. BEHAV. & HUM. DECISION PROCESSES 288, 297–98 (2001) (finding that the more inexpert decisionmakers trusted their expert advisors, the more likely they were to make decisions consistent with the advisors' advice and the more confident they were in their decisions). See also Marcus T. Boccaccini et al., Development and Effects of Client Trust in Criminal Defense Attorneys: Preliminary Examination of the Congruence Model of Trust Development, 22 BEHAV. SCI. & L. 197, 206–07 (2004) (finding that incarcerated criminal defendants who trusted their attorneys were more satisfied with their attorneys and their sentences); David H. Thom et al., Patient Trust in the Physician: Relationship to Patient Requests, 19 FAM. PRAC. 476, 481 (2002) (finding that trust in physician was associated with intent to follow physician's advice); Felicia Trachtenberg et al., How Patients' Trust Relates to their Involvement in Medical Care, 54 J. FAMILY PRAC. 344 (2005) (finding that trust was associated with following physician recommendations).

\textsuperscript{260} Clients may sometimes suspect that lawyers recommend a particular course of
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likely to feel that the attorney misled her at any point.

Trust can be facilitated in a variety of ways. As an initial matter, existing reputations and institutional structures—such as the requirements and norms of professional responsibility—can lay a foundation for a relationship of trust.261 While lawyers often inform their clients that their communications are covered by the attorney-client privilege, urging clients to be forthcoming on this basis, merely informing clients of the existence of such a privilege may not be enough to create real trust.262 Beyond this, however, trust is influenced by patterns of communication and interpersonal interaction. Moreover, people can build trust through the ways in which they communicate openness and concern for the other's needs.263 Through his words, conduct, eye contact, and body language, Jack needs to try to communicate a genuine concern for his clients. Communicating in such a

action because they are friends with the opposing attorney, afraid to take a case to court, afraid of hurting their own relationship with the opposing client, or seeking to aggrandize their own reputation—perhaps at the expense of the client. For a general discussion of possible attorney-client conflicts of interest and their potential impact on settlement, see Sternlight, supra note 244, at 316–31.


262 We are not aware of any empirical studies on assurances of confidentiality in legal counseling. In the context of survey research, studies have found that assurances of confidentiality can result in more disclosure of sensitive topics (but not of other information). See Eleanor Singer et al., Confidentiality Assurances and Response: A Quantitative Review of the Experimental Literature, 59 PUB. OPINION Q. 66 (1995). However, there is some evidence that strong assurances can actually make confidentiality concerns salient, rather than establish trust. See Eleanor Singer et al., Confidentiality Assurances in Surveys: Reassurance or Threat?, 4 INT'L J. PUB. OPINION RES. 256 (1992).

263 Michael Morris et al., Schmooze or Lose: Social Friction and Lubrication in E-Mail Negotiations, 6 GROUP DYNAMICS: THEORY, RES & PRACT. 89, 90 (2002) (discussing communicating openness (handshakes, open-palmed gesturers, disclosures of personal non-task-relevant information) and interest (smiles, nodding, questions about the other)) (citing Michael Morris & Dacher Keltner, How Emotions Work: An Analysis of the Social Functions of Emotional Expression in Negotiations, 22 REV. ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAV. 1 (2000)); Rousseau et al., supra note 255, at 397 (discussing openness and concern); Whitener et al., supra note 261, at 516–18 (discussing demonstrating concern).
way as to provide information that is accurate and to provide explanations for actions also contributes to a sense of trust. In particular, advisor explanation of and elaboration on the information provided has been shown to be associated with trust. In addition to communication, trust is influenced by the degree to which a person acts consistently and predictably, competently, and with integrity and honesty. Moreover, shared control and participation in decisionmaking tends to foster a sense of trust. In particular, psychological research has found that criminal defendants whose actual participation in their cases was congruent with their level of desired participation were more trusting of their attorneys. In short, Jack can build his clients' trust in him by spending ample time on the initial interview, responding promptly to subsequent client queries, sharing with his clients some of his thinking about the pros and cons of various courses of action, and allowing clients to participate in decisions regarding their case.

Rapport in a relationship or interaction is closely related to trust and has been conceptualized as having three interrelated characteristics: 1) mutual attentiveness—where both participants attend to and are involved with each other; 2) "positivity"—a reciprocal sense of consideration for each other; and 3) "coordination"—a sense of responsiveness to each other or of being "in sync." Some have argued that "[b]uilding rapport is considered so important that it is listed as a discrete training phase in most prominent

264 Whitener et al., supra note 261, at 517.
265 Sniezek & Van Swol, supra note 259, at 297.
266 Rousseau et al., supra note 255, at 397 (including factors like competence and reliability); Whitener et al., supra note 261, at 516–18 (including factors like consistency and integrity).
268 Boccaccini et al., supra note 259, at 210 (finding that those who did not desire to participate and those who both desired to and were allowed to participate had more trust in their attorneys than those who desired to but were not allowed to participate).
269 Rule 1.2 of the ABA Rules of Professional Conduct requires attorneys to abide by a client's decisions concerning the "objectives of representation" and to consult with the client as to "the means by which they are pursued." MODEL RULES OF PROF'L CONDUCT R. 1.2. We suggest that this is wise from a psychological standpoint as well.
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Investigative interview protocols. Indeed, psychological research has found that rapport can result in increased trust and more cooperative interactions. More generally, people tend to remember and disclose greater amounts of information when they feel comfortable and at ease. People may also be more forthcoming as a sense of rapport contributes to feeling "less constrained to present [them]selves continuously in a favorable or pleasant light." Finally, people may be more willing to consider and comply with professional advice when they have a trusting and comfortable relationship with their advisor.

A number of nonverbal behaviors have been found to contribute to a sense of rapport. In particular, a variety of behaviors related to posture and orientation—such as directly facing the other person, leaning forward, and not crossing one's arms—are associated with assessments of rapport in interpersonal interactions. Similarly, other non-verbal behaviors that signal attentiveness and coordination—such as smiling and nodding—are also related to the development of rapport. Studies have also found that feelings of rapport are facilitated when people spend some time getting to know each other—engaging in small talk, "schmoozing," or discussing personal or shared interests can provide the opportunity to develop a connection.

271 Powell et al., supra note 157, at 14.


273 Drolet & Morris, supra note 270, at 42–44 (finding rapport associated with more cooperation overall and more coordination on mutual cooperation in a prisoner's dilemma).

274 Powell et al., supra note 157, at 13–14. As a general matter, stress has been found to inhibit memory. See, e.g., Dominique J.-F. de Quervain et al., Acute Cortisone Administration Impairs Retrieval of Long-Term Declarative Memory in Humans, 3 NATURE NEUROSCIENCE 313, 313 (2000) (finding that stress hormones impede memory).

275 Tickle-Degnen & Rosenthal, supra note 270, at 287.

276 See generally ROTER & HALL, supra note 227 (reviewing studies).

277 Id. at 42–53 (reporting meta-analysis of studies).

278 Id.

279 LEO ROSTEN, THE NEW JOYS OF YIDDISH 366 (1968) ("[B]oth verb and noun, shmooz means a friendly, gossipy, prolonged, heart-to-heart talk—or to have such a talk.").

280 See generally Don A. Moore et al., Long and Short Routes to Success in Electronically-Mediated Negotiations: Group Affiliations and Good Vibrations, 77
When we first met Jack we learned that he typically wanted to get right down to the business at hand, and "waste" as little time as possible. However, Jack needs to recognize that creating rapport and trust are not just niceties, or a waste of time. Instead, creating trust and rapport is necessary in order to have a truly productive relationship with his clients. Engaging in effective schmoozing is itself something of an art form, as it requires good listening skills and good memory, and a standard line may not be sufficient. Agnes may not appreciate a conversation about sports, or then again, she may happen to be an avid Yankees fan. Jack needs to try to become acquainted a bit with his clients at a more personal level.

5. How People Learn

Jack has never worried much about how people learn. He figures that if he says something to his client in an interview, his client will absorb it. Psychologists, on the other hand, have demonstrated a number of ways in which to improve the learning of information. First, psychologists have found that people learn by building on what they already know.281 Thus, taking into account people's existing knowledge and beliefs can improve learning. Second, people have differing preferences about the ways in which information is presented to them. Some people prefer to receive and process information orally, others may prefer written material or do better with visual representations such as pictures or diagrams, and still others may need to be able to take notes.282 Similarly, some people prefer abstract thinking, while others prefer concrete examples.283 Ultimately, people may rely on a mix of

ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAV. & HUM. DECISION PROCESSES 22 (1999) (finding that people who were instructed to share personal information with each other and to ask personal questions reported increased rapport); Morris et al., supra note 263 (finding that "schmoozers" who had a short "get acquainted" call before negotiating via e-mail felt more rapport, had fewer impasses, and had more positive impressions of each other).

281 HOW PEOPLE LEARN: BRIDGING RESEARCH AND PRACTICE 10 (M. Suzanne Donovan et al. eds., 1999).


283 See, e.g., David A. Kolb et al., Experiential Learning Theory: Previous Research
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learning strategies and their preferences may vary with the type of learning task.\textsuperscript{284} Third, we know that people do not always ask questions when they do not understand or want more information. Studies that compare the perspective of patients with that of doctors are particularly illuminating—while doctors report believing that patients will ask follow-up questions if they want more information, patients prefer that doctors provide them with information without expecting them to ask.\textsuperscript{285}

In light of this psychology, we have a few specific suggestions for Jack. First, Jack should try to build on his clients' existing knowledge base. Thus, he will need to present information differently in different contexts. If Carl Cementa has been involved in litigation before, Jack can draw on those experiences in discussing his options for dealing with Henrietta Homeowner. By contrast, if the Kiddo family has never before participated in litigation, Jack will not be able to take any knowledge for granted, and will need to explain things about the nature of discovery and the high emotional and other costs of litigation. If Mrs. Kiddo is a civil engineer, perhaps Jack can find some analogies between building a bridge and preparing a case for trial.\textsuperscript{286} Second, in conveying new information to his clients, Jack should not use a single approach, such as always providing verbal advice. Instead, while continuing to provide verbal advice, Jack should also use other formats. For example, following the initial interview, he may want to write letters to his clients that highlight some of the key issues that he sees and some of the choices that must be made. In some situations it may be appropriate to use graphical presentations. In particular, clients often have a difficult time understanding discussions of probability, and some may find visual representations to be useful.\textsuperscript{287} Along the same lines, some attorneys have found it useful to show clients "decision trees" as a means to demonstrate the probability of interrelated events.\textsuperscript{288} Videos, too, can sometimes be useful to

\textit{and New Directions, in PERSPECTIVES ON THINKING, LEARNING, AND COGNITIVE STYLES} 227, 228 (Robert J. Sternberg & Li-Fang Zhang eds., 2001).

\textsuperscript{284} Krätzig & Arbuthnott, \textit{supra} note 282, at 244.


\textsuperscript{286} See generally Keith J. Holyoak, \textit{Analogy, in THE CAMBRIDGE HANDBOOK OF THINKING AND REASONING} 117 (Keith J. Holyoak & Robert G. Morrison eds., 2005).


\textsuperscript{288} See, e.g., AVINASH K. DIXIT & BARRY J. NALEBUFF, THINKING STRATEGICALLY: THE COMPETITIVE EDGE IN BUSINESS, POLITICS, AND EVERYDAY LIFE 34–44 (1991); David P. Hoffer, \textit{Decision Analysis as a Mediator's Tool}, 1 HARY. NEGOT. L. REV. 113,
provide clients with an understanding of events, such as depositions, that they may not have experienced.289 Third, now aware that confused clients will not necessarily ask clarifying questions, Jack needs to be sure to invite questions and offer additional insights even when no questions are asked.

6. Physical Space

Psychological research has shown that the physical environment can be important in setting the tone for an interview. Certainly, there are obvious physical issues, such as noise, excessive heat, or excessive or deficient lighting, that can be problematic.290 However, the research reveals that even environments that avoid such problems can still differ quite substantially in how conducive they are to effective interviewing.

Importantly, the interview setting must be private. Not surprisingly, research has shown that people are more willing to disclose relevant personal information in surroundings in which their privacy is assured.291 In addition, in setting up his interview space, Jack ought to take into account his clients' probable preferences about their personal space—"the area individuals maintain around themselves into which others cannot intrude without arousing discomfort."292 Intrusion into a person's personal space can cause distress, inhibit disclosure, and result in a negative impression of the intruder.293 While personal space preferences vary by individual and among cultures, a comfortable social distance is usually between four and twelve feet294 and many clients are likely to feel most comfortable with this distance
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between themselves and the interviewer. Because individual clients may differ in terms of the distance at which they are comfortable interacting and because clients may feel more comfortable when they have some control over interaction distance and orientation, it may be advisable to have several seating options or chairs that can be moved so that clients can select their seating. Finally, relatively uncluttered offices have been shown to elicit more favorable reactions as compared to messy offices and there is some evidence that indicia of expertise (e.g., diplomas) increase observers' perceptions of competence. Certainly the McDonald's locale, which Jack thought would be acceptable, is far from ideal in terms of privacy, messiness, and comfort.

F. Cultural Differences

Jack has never paid much attention to cultural differences. He prides himself on being open-minded and not prejudiced. In his view, "people are people" no matter where they come from or how they were raised. Jack assumes that everyone pretty much sees the world as he does other than the fact that many people are not as intelligent as he, often act irrationally, and sometimes do not speak English very well, if at all.

In popular parlance, culture is often thought of as something exotic that

296 In particular, cultural or gender differences may impact the contours of personal space. See generally HALL, supra note 294; Hayduk 1983, supra note 292; Pressly & Heesacker, supra note 295; Nan M. Sussman & Howard M. Rosenfeld, Influence of Culture, Language, and Sex on Conversation Distance, 42 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 66 (1982).
297 See Pressly & Heesacker, supra note 295, at 153 (reviewing studies).
298 Id. at 152 (reviewing literature).
299 See id.
301 See supra Part III.A.4.
other people have (special accents, food, dress), but that we do not. More properly, our culture provides a set of lenses through which we see the world. These lenses do not dictate our behavior, but may shape our perceptions and intuitive responses. While people tend to think of cultural differences as existing between groups who live in different parts of the world, significant cultural differences also exist within the United States. Cultural differences can be based not only on ethnicity, but also on differences in age, gender, world view, economic status, or religion. Thus, everyone operates from one or more different cultural perspectives. While culture affects many aspects of our world view, we will highlight several ways in which cultural differences may be particularly relevant to lawyers who are interviewing and counseling clients.

302 Edward Hall has been one of the most prolific and best writers on culture. See, e.g., EDWARD T. HALL, THE SILENT LANGUAGE 51–53 (1956) ("Culture is ... a form of communication ... Culture is not an exotic notion studied by a select group of anthropologists in the South Seas. It is a mold in which we are all cast, and it controls our daily lives in many unsuspected ways....[T]he real job is not to understand foreign culture but to understand our own."). See also Sue Bryant & Jean Koh Peters, Five Habits for Cross-Cultural Lawyering, in RACE, CULTURE, PSYCHOLOGY, & LAW 47, 48 (Kimberly Holt Barrett & William H. George eds., 2005) ("Culture is the logic through which we give meaning to the world."); JESWALD W. SALACUSE, MAKING GLOBAL DEALS: NEGOTIATING IN THE INTERNATIONAL MARKET PLACE 45 (1991) ("Culture includes the socially transmitted beliefs, behavior patterns, values, and norms of a given community. It consists of rules, concepts, categories, and assumptions which the people of that community use to interpret their surroundings and guide their interactions with other persons within the society."); PAUL B. PEDERSEN AND ALLEN IVEY, CULTURE-CENTERED COUNSELING AND INTERVIEWING SKILLS: A PRACTICAL GUIDE 1 (1993) ("Culture controls our lives. We may attend to our culturally learned assumptions or we may ignore them, but in either case, these assumptions will continue to shape our decisions. Culture is not something outside ourselves, but, rather, an internalized perspective that combines the teachings of every significant person or group we have experienced, read about, or heard about and from whom we have learned something.").

303 See Darrin R. Lehman et al., Psychology and Culture, 55 ANN. REV. PSYCHOL. 689, 701 (2004) ("Culture does not rigidly determine the responses of its group members. Instead, culture provides interpretive perspectives for making sense of reality."). See also NADYA A. FOAUD & PATRICIA ARREDONDO, BECOMING CULTURALLY ORIENTED: PRACTICAL ADVICE FOR PSYCHOLOGISTS AND EDUCATORS 53 (2007) (noting, in the context of psychological counseling, the importance of being "aware of how cultural dimensions may affect a client but also remain[ing] flexible enough to understand when those dimensions conflict or are less salient to the particular issue").

304 See generally Lehman et al., supra note 303; RICHARD E. NISBETT, THE GEOGRAPHY OF THOUGHT: HOW ASIANS AND WESTERNERS THINK DIFFERENTLY ... AND WHY (2003).
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Cultures can differ in terms of their approach to dispute resolution. In particular, psychological research has shown that people from different cultures tend to differ in the importance they ascribe to the possible objectives of dispute resolution processes. Different cultures may place varying degrees of importance on goals such as maintaining relationships, achieving "justice," saving face, achieving economic gains, or laying a foundation for the future. Clients from more individualistic cultures may be primarily concerned with their own personal success. Clients from more collectivist cultures may be more highly attuned to how their behavior has affected or will affect the social standing of the broader group with which they identify, how they are viewed by that group, the joint success of the parties, or how relationships are affected. In addition, a client may be concerned about the "cultural consequences" of her actions—that is, the consequences of "taking steps that are out of her culture's norm."

Not surprisingly then, clients from different cultures also tend to display differing preferences for how to go about resolving disputes. For example, people from more individualistic cultures may prefer resolving disputes through strategies that focus on the immediate conflict, involve direct confrontation, and result in a determination of who is right and who is wrong. In contrast, those from more collectivist cultures tend to prefer


308 Lehman et al., supra note 303, at 699–700.

309 Collectivist cultures tend to privilege the goals of the group and group membership. See NISBETT, supra note 304, at 48–49; Triandis & Gelfand, supra note 307, at 118–19.

310 Triandis & Gelfand, supra note 307, at 118–19.

311 FOAUD & ARREDONDO, supra note 303, at 58.

312 See Lehman et al., supra note 303, at 700 (reviewing studies); NISBETT, supra
more relational strategies that involve the use of intermediaries, mediation, collaboration, accommodation, or avoidance. In addition, cultural difference can influence whether one prefers resolving disputes by looking to universal principles or rules, or whether one prefers a case-by-case analysis of the particular circumstance involved. Culture may also influence the value placed on debate, and the inclination to take other perspectives or to consider counterarguments.

Culture may also be related to differences on a variety of other dimensions that have implications for client interviewing and counseling. For example, cultures differ in terms of the meaning that is attributed to silence in an interaction, the degree of formality that is expected, the appropriateness of interruptions, understandings of the meaning of eye

---


314 NISBETT, supra note 304, at 65, 194 (describing a preference for rules in independent cultures and a preference for case-by-case evaluation in interdependent cultures).

315 Id. at 73 (describing a lack of interest in debate in interdependent cultures).

316 See generally Dov Cohen & Alex Gunz, As Seen By The Other . . . : Perspectives on the Self in the Memories and Emotional Perceptions of Easterners and Westerners, 13 PSYCHOL. SCI. 55 (2002); Shali Wu & Boaz Keysar, The Effect of Culture on Perspective Taking, 18 PSYCHOL. SCI. 600, 605 (2007) (finding that "compared with Americans, Chinese are better at solving perspective-taking problems, make fewer errors in assessing the intentions of another person, and are less distracted by their own private perspective").
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contact, the contours of personal space, conceptions of time, conventions about the display of emotion, the appropriateness of self-disclosure, how agency is viewed, and attitudes toward authority. In addition, one's cultural background is related to the ways in which one perceives associations among people and events in the world. In particular, psychological research has shown cultural variation in people's tendency to make dispositional attributions—people from more interdependent cultures are more likely to pay attention to the whole context and to make attributions that are more strongly influenced by situational factors. Similarly, people from collectivist cultures have been shown to be less susceptible to making self-serving judgments.

In applying these insights the attorney's challenge is to recognize that persons from different cultures see the world differently, while at the same time avoiding the trap of assuming that all persons from a particular culture

321 Id.
322 See supra notes 292–95 and accompanying text.
323 See generally Brislin & Kim, supra note 318 (reviewing studies related to punctuality, clock versus event time, time on task, multitasking, pace of life, time horizons, and work versus leisure tradeoffs, among others).
326 Lehman et al., supra note 303, at 698–99 (describing cultural differences in whether personal or group agency is privileged).
327 See generally GEERT H. HOFSTEDE, CULTURE'S CONSEQUENCES: INTERNATIONAL DIFFERENCES IN WORK-RELATED VALUES (1989) (describing cultural value dimension of "power distance" or the degree to which people prefer autocratic or consultative authority); Tom R. Tyler et al., Cultural Values and Authority Relations: The Psychology of Conflict Resolution Across Cultures, 6 PSYCHOL. PUB. POL'L & L. 1138 (2000).
329 Lehman et al., supra note 303, at 698.
see the world the same way. That is,

[the task here is to appreciate and respect the differences among your clients, but without resorting to stereotypes or stubborn myths about race, sex, ethnicity, and culture. To ignore likely differences in culture is an invitation to malpractice in counseling; to presume you know what those differences will be once you know your client's race or sex or cultural background is an invitation to dehumanize or reify your client, and to assume generalizations that may not apply to him.]

Jack's major task is to realize that things which he takes as a given may not be well accepted by others. For example, Jack has noted that he believes it is best to be somewhat informal with clients, to use first names, to shake hands, and to look his clients directly in the eye. Yet, while these conventions seem "natural" to many Americans, they are not universally accepted. Depending on what culture Agnes Ageout is from, she may be uncomfortable touching the hand of a male who is essentially a stranger or may be insulted by direct eye contact. Carl Cementa may not appreciate the informality of first names, particularly if used by a man younger than he.

Similarly, Jack should not assume, based on his own culture, that Edna Employee is most concerned about obtaining monetary compensation for her termination, or that she views her termination as an individual harm. Perhaps Edna comes from a culture in which her termination is seen as a mark of shame that harms not only her but also others in her family. If so, Edna may care more about cleansing her record and obtaining an apology than about receiving monetary compensation. Also, Edna may need to bring her family or extended family into discussions in order to resolve her dispute through negotiation. Jack may want to discuss with Edna the possibility of trying to mediate her dispute in a setting that would allow not only Edna, but potentially members of her family to participate as well.

Cultural sensitivity may also help Jack realize that clients who, to him, may seem "difficult" are actually just different. If Edna comes from a collectivist culture, she may not feel comfortable with confidentiality rules that lead Jack to try to exclude Edna's family from the interview. Or, Jack

331 See supra Part II.
332 Such mediations are fairly common in Hawaii, where persons often come from a more collectivist orientation than elsewhere in the United States. See generally James A. Wall, Jr. & Ronda Roberts Callister, Ho'oponopono: Some Lessons from Hawaiian Mediation, 11 NEGOT. J. 45 (1995).
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may be angered if Mr. Kiddo nods in a seemingly approving way as Jack describes a possible course of action and then, at the end, disapproves of following that course. Yet, in Mr. Kiddo's culture nodding may signify understanding rather than approval. Agnes Ageout's unwillingness to consider or discuss marrying her boyfriend, Lenny, may also reflect cultural issues such as differences in supposed caste or status or age. Carl Cementa's habitual "lateness" may reflect a different sense of time, rather than sloppiness or a lack of care for his case or his attorney. In addition, clients' own perceptions of their attorney will also be affected by their culture. The steps Jack will want to take to try to establish his competence and to gain his clients' trust may depend in part on his clients' and his own cultural background. For example, depending on his client's cultural background, Jack may want to dress more or less formally, set up the conference room in a particular way, start the conversation with a recitation of his credentials, or ask a member of his firm with a similar background to sit in on the initial interview.

G. The Relevance of Perceived Justice and Injustice

Like many lawyers, Jack has never given a lot of thought to the concept of justice. Indeed, he tends to think that the concept is somewhat bogus. He assumes that his clients will feel treated justly, to the extent that they even care about justice, if they receive a lot of money (as plaintiffs) or avoid having to pay a lot of money (as defendants). One of Jack's heroes is Jerry Facher, one of the "big firm" defense lawyers made famous in the book and

---

333 See Bryant & Peters, supra note 302, at 49.
334 See Brislin & Kim, supra note 318, at 367.
movie *A Civil Action*. Facher famously said after a session of cross examination: "The truth? The truth is at the bottom of a bottomless pit."  

Jack thinks the same could be said of justice.  

Psychologists, in contrast, have learned that people often care a great deal about various types of justice. Individuals are concerned with the distributive fairness of their outcomes, with the procedural and interactional fairness of the process itself, and with achieving an appropriate level of retribution. Lawyers who are aware of these various aspects of justice can do a more effective job of representing their clients than those who are not.

1. Distributive Justice

Models of distributive justice attempt to explain how people determine whether an outcome is substantively fair. The distributive fairness of an outcome can be judged by a number of metrics, including principles of equity (distribution in proportion to relative contribution), equality (distribution by equal shares), or need (distribution in proportion to need).  

Which of these metrics is chosen by an individual depends in part on their goals (e.g., productivity or harmony), the relationships among the parties, and the

---


339 See Edith Barrett-Howard & Tom R. Tyler, *Procedural Justice as a Criterion in Allocation Decisions*, 50 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 296, 300–01 (1986). See generally Tom R. Tyler et al., *Social Justice in a Diverse Society* 56–62 (1997) (discussing the criteria people use for analyzing distributive justice). For example, one of Carl Cementa’s goals is to minimize his payout to unhappy clients so as to maximize his own wealth. However, Carl also hopes to maximize former client happiness, or at least minimize their unhappiness, so as to help his longer term efforts to retain old clients and attract new clients. Thus, even if he could potentially negotiate a solution where he paid nothing to and did nothing for Henrietta, this might not serve his longer term goals.

340 Alan Page Fiske, *The Four Elementary Forms of Sociality: Framework for a Unified Theory of Social Relations*, 99 PSYCHOL. REV. 689, 690–93 (1992) (distinguishing relationships based on communal sharing, authority ranking, equality matching, and market pricing). For example, even though Agnes Aged may, at some level, want to leave all her wealth to Lenny, she may also need to consider the nature of her relationship with her family and the extent to which the family’s challenge of her will, if it were to occur, might bring grief to Lenny.
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type of resource at issue.\textsuperscript{341} Importantly, regardless of which of these metrics is used, people resist agreeing to substantive outcomes that do not comport with their notions of substantive fairness.\textsuperscript{342}

If Jack is knowledgeable about the psychology of distributive justice, he will be able to question clients more effectively about their range of goals. Rather than simply assume that the Kiddos want the most money possible in compensation for the injury suffered by their son, Jack can ask the Kiddos more broadly what kind of relief they are seeking. He can suggest the possibility of internal measures that might be taken by the company (e.g., take the magnetic balls off the market, increase their size, improve warning labels); public or private apologies that might be demanded; or non-monetary compensation that might be sought, such as continued medical care for Kyle.

In addition, Jack's knowledge of the psychology of distributive justice can help him, even in an initial interview, to begin to prepare his clients to think about settlement prospects. As noted, clients often resist outcomes that they do not believe are completely fair.\textsuperscript{343} Yet, the nature of settlement is often that neither side will feel completely vindicated. Jack can begin to prepare his clients to think about settlements by helping them to see that

\textsuperscript{341} Foa and colleagues distinguish six categories of resources: money, goods, services, information, status, and love. See Gregory V. Donnenwerth & Uriel G. Foa, \textit{Effect of Resource Class on Retaliation to Injustice in Interpersonal Exchange}, 29 J. Personality \& Soc. Psychol. 785, 786 (1974); Jim L. Turner et al., \textit{Interpersonal Reinforcers: Classification, Interrelationship, and Some Differential Properties}, 19 J. Personality \& Soc. Psychol. 168, 169 (1971). See also Alan Page Fiske & Philip E. Tetlock, \textit{Taboo Trade-Offs: Reactions to Transactions that Transgress the Spheres of Justice}, 18 Pol. Psychol. 255, 285–86 (1997). Although Jack may assume that Edna cares most about bettering her financial situation, given her termination, she may place as much or more value on clearing her reputation, obtaining a new job, or setting precedent. One of Jean Sternlight's clients once cared a great deal about getting a plaque recognizing his twenty-five years of good service to his former employer, although that employer had ultimately fired him and although the plaque had no monetary value.


\textsuperscript{343} See supra note 342 and accompanying text.
there are a range of metrics for judging distributive justice and that their own view of a fair solution, and that of their adversary, may likely be quite different. For example, Jack might ask Carl to consider what Henrietta might believe would be a fair resolution of her complaints against Carl's company. Similarly, Jack may want to note that while most disputes are ultimately resolved through settlements, neither side necessarily achieves everything it wants in a settlement. While this point will seem entirely obvious, it is nonetheless quite common for clients to reject settlement proposals on the ground that they think they could do better in court. This is another way for the client to say that she believes the proposed settlement is unjust. In the initial interview Jack may find it wise to begin to prepare his clients for the fact that settlements do not typically provide what either side sees as a perfectly just resolution to the dispute.

2. Procedural Justice

Perceptions of procedural justice relate to the fairness of the processes, procedures, and rules by which a decision is reached. People take into account a variety of concerns in making judgments of procedural justice. In particular, when evaluating the features of a decisionmaking procedure, people pay attention to both the quality of decisions and the quality of interpersonal treatment such features produce. Thus, procedures are considered to be more fair to the extent that they incorporate features—the use of objective decision criteria, the opportunity for voice, neutrality, and trustworthiness—that are thought to produce fair outcomes. In addition,

344 See supra note 338 and accompanying text.
345 For a discussion of the reasons cases do not settle, see Samuel R. Gross & Kent D. Syverud, Don't Try: Civil Jury Verdicts in a System Geared to Settlement, 44 UCLA L. REV. 1, 50–59 (1996).
346 Attorneys who, alternatively, lead their clients to be wildly optimistic as to their chances of prevailing in litigation or obtaining a highly desirable settlement often must face the problem of very angry clients when, later in the course of the representation, such assurances cannot be fulfilled. See generally Kritzer, supra note 114.
349 Id. at 748. For a discussion of procedural justice as a fairness heuristic, see E. Allan Lind, Fairness Judgments as Cognitions, in THE JUSTICE MOTIVE IN EVERYDAY LIFE 416, 421 (Michael Ross & Dale T. Miller eds., 2002). E. Allan Lind et al.,
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procedures are considered to be more fair to the extent that they ensure dignified and respectful treatment. In making these evaluations of procedural fairness, people tend to be concerned both with whether the formal "policies, rules, and prevailing norms of the group as a whole" are fair, as well as with whether individuals act fairly in carrying out the formal rules. The manner in which an individual is treated signals information about the degree to which he or she is valued by others in the system. Therefore, "treatment with dignity and respect are important because they tell people that they have status within the group." In contrast, treatment that does not signal dignity and respect conveys a message that indicates a lack of status within the community.

When people believe that a decisionmaking procedure is fair, they are more likely to believe that the outcome produced by that procedure is fair, have more respect for the institution or decisionmaker, are more willing to


351 Blader & Tyler, supra note 348, at 749. Accordingly, people care about both the formal and informal aspects of decisionmaking quality and also about the formal and informal aspects of the quality of their treatment. Id. (describing evidence for this four-factor model).


353 Tyler, supra note 352, at 80. According to social identity theory, individuals construct their social identity using information they acquire as they interact with others. See generally Henri Tajfel, *Social Psychology of Intergroup Relations*, 33 ANN. REV. PSYCHOL. 1 (1982). In the context of procedural justice, Tyler argues that "[p]eople may value this favorable identity-relevant information more than they value receiving fair or favorable outcomes." Tyler, supra note 352, at 80.


comply with the decision reached, and have more positive opinions of the social policies that are formulated under the procedure. Similarly, fair, interpersonal treatment in negotiation has been shown to diminish self-serving bias, reduce the likelihood of impasse, and increase satisfaction with substantive outcomes.

Procedural justice theory is obviously relevant in considering whether a formal courtroom or administrative hearing procedure is fair. However, it also has implications for less formal procedures such as mediation or negotiation. As Jack counsels his clients on the choice of procedures for resolving their disputes, he ought keep in mind the ways in which various approaches to dispute resolution may impact procedural justice perceptions. In addition, procedural justice theory provides a lens through which attorneys can better understand clients' perspectives on the events that have led them to consult a lawyer. One study on layoffs found that employees who did not receive any explanation as to why they were being let go were the most angry about their treatment. If Jack learns that Edna not only received no explanation as to why she was terminated, but was also escorted out of the building immediately by security, the procedural justice literature will help Jack understand Edna's sense of violation and loss of respect.

The procedural justice literature can also be applied to the interview


357 See Tyler & Degoe, supra note 355, at 482.


360 See Barclay et al., supra note 79, at 630.
process itself. When attorneys conduct interviews they are providing their clients with an exposure to our system of justice, in its broad sense. Author Jean Sternlight, in her prior incarnation as a plaintiff-side employment attorney,\textsuperscript{361} conducted many interviews with persons such as Edna, who believed they had been treated unfairly in the course of their employment. On countless occasions Sternlight found herself having to provide such clients with the bad news that their claim would likely fail on the merits or that, while theoretically meritorious, their claim was not economically viable. \textit{Nonetheless}, many of these clients, having received such bad news, still told Sternlight that they felt much better for having had the opportunity to at least tell their story to a neutral person who could give them some feedback on whether they had been treated illegally. Often such clients, while told they "did not have a case," left the office seemingly happier than when they had entered. Accordingly, Jack can make sure he gives his clients a full opportunity to tell their story and explain to his clients how their treatment likely compares to that of other similarly situated persons. He should be careful not to interrupt his clients or otherwise treat them rudely, as this would not be consistent with the dignified treatment associated with procedural justice.

There are several reasons why Jack might want to interview his clients in a way that would leave them feeling that they had experienced procedural justice. First, as an attorney who cares about his clients' welfare, he should want them to have a rewarding interview experience. Second, providing procedural justice in the interview may increase his clients' satisfaction with proposed settlements. Clients who have not had the opportunity to present their grievances may resist settling and look to a trial to obtain procedural justice. Third, providing greater satisfaction to his clients by giving them a procedurally just experience may ultimately lead to more client referrals.

\textit{3. Retributive Justice}

Finally, retributive impulses can be triggered by the outrage that is experienced when an offender violates a community norm and causes

\textsuperscript{361} Sternlight worked for a small firm in Philadelphia, Samuel & Ballard P.C., from 1983–92. Professor Ann McGinley, also a former plaintiff-side employment attorney, reports a similar experience: "I found that clients needed to talk about their experience at work. Often, even if they did not have a legal case, they were comfortable and relieved to have told the story to me. I gave them sympathy and expressed regret that the law did not recognize their loss. I explained that I understood that what they had experienced was real and painful. They often went away happy that they had been heard, at least by me." E-mail from Ann C. McGinley to Jean Sternlight (July 11, 2007) (on file with authors).
harm. Retribution is concerned primarily with the elimination of a sense of injustice that results from the offense and implicates issues of whether, how, and how much punishment is warranted. Retributive justice, then, is aimed at reasserting the value of the violated norm, providing the offender with his or her just deserts, and alleviating the harm that resulted from the violation.

Understanding the human craving for retribution or vengeance may help Jack better understand the desires of his clients. Whereas Jack tends to try to solve all legal problems monetarily in order to compensate for harm that was done, at least some of his clients may place a higher premium on retributive concerns than on compensation. Thus, in questioning Edna, Jack should be aware that Edna may be very interested in punishing the supervisor who targeted her for termination, or in requiring the company to make a public or at least private apology. Learning about these desires may eventually help Jack settle the case.

H. How People Make Decisions

The counseling portion of an interview is designed to help clients make or at least start to make decisions. In the litigation context, potential plaintiffs like Edna and the Kiddos must, for example, decide whether to initiate settlement discussions, whether to invite the opposition to participate in mediation or arbitration, whether to commence litigation, or whether to take no legal steps at all. Clients who are defendants or potential defendants in a lawsuit, such as Carl, must similarly decide whether to try to settle the claim expeditiously, and if so how. If they choose not to settle or cannot settle early, they must explore other procedural options including, if they are sued, how to defend the lawsuit. Transactional clients like Agnes must begin to decide how to structure the transaction, and how to communicate the terms of the transaction to affected persons, if at all. While philosophies differ regarding how directive lawyers should be in helping clients make these sorts


364 We focus here on the initial counseling session. Subsequent counseling, such as counseling about settlement will involve other aspects of psychology not covered here.
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of decisions, both directive and non-directive lawyers need to understand how clients structure and make decisions in order to be effective as counselors.

1. "Rational" Decisionmaking

In making decisions we might strive to have the time and resources to be able to obtain all the information needed to make an informed decision, fully analyze all the relevant options, and make explicit trade-offs among all the relevant dimensions of the decision problem. Indeed, in some abstract models:

[D]ecisionmakers are assumed to (1) perfectly define the problem, (2) identify all criteria, (3) accurately weigh all of the criteria according to their preferences, (4) know all relevant alternatives, (5) accurately assess each alternative based on each criterion, and (6) accurately calculate and choose the alternative with the highest perceived value.

Yet, in the real world, there are a variety of reasons that perfectly rational decisionmaking based on full information does not take place. First, human beings often use cognitive strategies that result in analysis that can depart in predictable ways from perfect rationality. Second, we do not and probably

---

365 See Shaffer & Elkins, supra note 8 at 10–16 (contrasting "instrumental" view of lawyering, in which client is viewed as a "necessary nuisance," with more client-centered approach advocated by the authors). See generally Katherine R. Kruse, Fortress in the Sand: The Plural Values of Client-Centered Representation, 12 CLINICAL L. REV. 369 (2006) (showing that exponents of client-centered representation have differing views regarding the meaning of that often-praised phrase).

366 See Kathleen M. Galotti, Decision Structuring in Important Real-Life Choices, 18 PSYCHOL. SCI. 320, 320 (2007) (using the phrase "decision structuring" to describe "the phase in which the individual considers options and decides on criteria to be used in choosing among those options"); John M.A. DiPippa, How Prospect Theory Can Improve Legal Counseling, 24 U. ARK. LITTLE ROCK L. REV. 81 (2002) (discussing how psychology of non-rational choice can be used to improve counseling of legal clients).


cannot make decisions based on full information. Gathering information is costly, time consuming, and sometimes impossible. For example, when clients such as Edna and the Kiddos decide whether to try to negotiate a resolution to their situation prior to commencing litigation, they and their lawyers must make an assessment as to how the opposing party might respond to settlement proposals both prior and subsequent to the commencement of a lawsuit. They must also consider what the likely costs (financial and otherwise) of a lawsuit would be, and what the likely results of a lawsuit might be. Absent a crystal ball, none of this information is completely knowable.369

Furthermore, even if we could gather all that information, we also lack the time and capacity to consider a near infinite number of options.370 Were we to try to make decisions based on completely full information, we would probably not be able to make any decisions at all. Even in a simple legal dispute, for example, the client and attorney might consider whether to negotiate before litigating; who should conduct any such negotiations (attorney or client); whether negotiations should be conducted by letter, e-mail, phone, or in person; and with whom negotiations should be attempted. The list of potential options is essentially infinite, particularly given that background facts will continue to change.

---

369 Some students, lawyers, or clients may assume that it is always best to sue first, in order to show one has some clout and lay claim to the preferred forum, and then negotiate later, from a position of strength. While this is sometimes the best approach, there are circumstances under which it will make sense to negotiate first. For example, initiating litigation actually worsens one's bargaining position where the opposing party would prefer to avoid the publicity that accompanies a lawsuit. Similarly, filing suit can potentially harm a plaintiff's reputation in certain circles or cause a defendant to feel compelled to clear its name in public.

370 Indeed, having more options to consider is not necessarily or always a good thing. See BARRY SCHWARTZ, THE PARADOX OF CHOICE: WHY MORE IS LESS (2004); Chris Guthrie, Panacea or Pandora's Box?: The Costs of Options in Negotiation, 88 IOWA L. REV. 601 (2003).
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2. Alternative Decisionmaking Strategies

Thus, while an approach to decisionmaking based on assumptions of perfect rationality and full information may seem ideal, it is for good reason that both lawyers and clients use a variety of decisionmaking strategies that depart from this model. For example, people may examine options one by one until they find an option that satisfies a predetermined set of minimum requirements—a process known as "satisficing." When decisionmakers satisfice, they do not compare all the options and attempt to find the "best" one, but settle for the first "good enough" or minimally acceptable option they encounter. Following a somewhat similar strategy—"elimination by aspects"—decisionmakers eliminate options that do not meet some threshold on a particular attribute. This process is continued with successive attributes until a single option remains. Other strategies include choosing the option that rates the highest on the attribute that is deemed to be the most important; choosing the option that is better on a majority of attributes;

371 HERBERT A. SIMON, MODELS OF MAN 204–06 (1957). See also Herbert A. Simon, A Behavioral Model of Rational Choice, 69 Q.J. ECON. 99, 118 (1955) (concluding that people seek results that are "good enough").

372 SIMON, supra note 371, at 204–05. Satisficing is an example of a non-compensatory approach to decisionmaking. In non-compensatory decisionmaking, tradeoffs are not made between the attributes of options. Thus, "excellent values on some attributes cannot compensate for poor values on other attributes." PAYNE ET AL., supra note 367, at 2; see also Ilan Yaniv & Yaacov Schul, Acceptance and Elimination Procedures in Choice: Noncomplementarity and the Role of Implied Status Quo, 82 ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAV. & HUM. DECISION PROCESSES 293, 295 (2000); BARON, supra note 367.


374 Id. Ultimately, one must find an attribute that distinguishes remaining options.

375 PAYNE ET AL., supra note 367, at 26 (describing the "lexicographic heuristic"); Gerd Gigerenzer & Daniel G. Goldstein, Betting on One Good Reason: The Take the Best Heuristic, in SIMPLE HEURISTICS THAT MAKE US SMART 75, 80–81 (Gerd Gigerenzer et al. eds., 1999) (describing the "Take the Best" heuristic). Ties can be broken by looking to the next most important attribute. PAYNE ET AL., supra note 367, at 26; see also Paul Slovic, Choice Between Equally Valued Alternatives, 1 J. EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOL.: HUM. PERCEPTION & PERFORMANCE 280 (1975).

376 PAYNE ET AL., supra note 367, at 27 (describing the "majority of confirming dimensions" approach which involves a series of pairwise comparisons between options, each time retaining the option that fares better on more dimensions). This is a partially compensatory strategy.
choosing the option chosen last time\textsuperscript{377} or based on the attribute used the last time;\textsuperscript{378} choosing the first option that comes to mind;\textsuperscript{379} or choosing an option based on what other people have chosen under similar circumstances.\textsuperscript{380} Each of these strategies may well fail to provide the "best" course of action, yet such strategies are used because of the costs and limitations of alternative choice processes and may sometimes be the "best" under such circumstances. Indeed, there is evidence that people who satisfice are more satisfied with their choices than are those who attempt to maximize.\textsuperscript{381}

Lawyers who are knowledgeable about decisionmaking strategies can help their clients in several ways. First, such lawyers will not necessarily attempt to make decisions based on full information or infinite options. Rather, they will recognize that information is costly and that there are tradeoffs between seeking more information and making a decision based on existing information. Second, knowing that decisions based on full information are virtually impossible, attorneys will help their client choose a good decisionmaking strategy that is consistent with the client's goals, budget,\textsuperscript{382} and need to make a prompt decision.\textsuperscript{383} Armed with this insight, Jack might say:

Mr. and Mrs. Kiddo, we are going to need to make a decision whether to

\textsuperscript{377} Id. at 29 (describing the "habitual heuristic").

\textsuperscript{378} Gigerenzer & Goldstein, supra note 375, at 80 (describing the "Take the Last" heuristic).


\textsuperscript{381} See, e.g., Barry Schwartz et al., Maximizing Versus Satisficing: Happiness is a Matter of Choice, 83 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 1178, 1179 (2002); see also Sheena S. Iyengar et al., Doing Better But Feeling Worse: Looking for the "Best" Job Undermines Satisfaction, 17 PSYCHOL. SCI. 143, 147 (2006).

\textsuperscript{382} Some clients may, based on their goals and budget, want to choose a more complete decisionmaking process than others. That is, a client who is doing estate planning for a $20 million estate needs and can afford a more complete review of options than a client like Agnes, with a $200,000 estate, may need or be able to afford.

\textsuperscript{383} Sometimes an attorney and client will believe that they need to make a process choice quickly based on factors such as the availability of a key decisionmaker, possible impending bankruptcy, the fear that the opponent will bring a lawsuit first and thus obtain their choice of forum, or the concern that certain information may become public.
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initiate litigation fairly soon against the company, or whether we should, instead, attempt to negotiate a resolution prior to commencing litigation. Ideally it would be great if we could have all possible information before making this decision, such as our likelihood of prevailing in court, how much we would recover if successful, and how the company is likely to respond to a settlement demand. Unfortunately, we will never have all the answers. So, we must decide how much research we want to do before deciding which course of action to follow. What are your thoughts at present?

Such a comment will invite the Kiddos to provide their initial thoughts on litigation versus settlement, while also providing an opportunity to let them know that all strategies carry risk.

3. Seeking Information

When people do attempt to generate more information to guide their decisionmaking, they may not always act optimally.\(^{384}\) Setting aside the costs of gathering information, it is generally useful to gather lots of information and generate lots of options. However, people sometimes seek information that is irrelevant in that it would not alter their decisions.\(^{385}\) For example, in one study people were asked to decide whether to book a vacation at an attractive price that was about to expire, to decline to book the vacation, or to pay a small fee to preserve the option to purchase at the sale price for two additional days.\(^{386}\) The majority of people who were told that they had just passed a major exam and the majority of people who were told that they had failed the exam chose to purchase the vacation.\(^{387}\) However, people who were told that they would learn the results of the exam the following day tended to choose to defer the decision about the vacation until they learned whether they passed or failed.\(^{388}\) Thus, when the information (pass v. fail)

\(^{384}\) See generally Anthony Bastardi & Eldar Shafir, Nonconsequential Reasoning and Its Consequences, 9 CURRENT DIRECTIONS PSYCHOL. SCI. 216 (2000).

\(^{385}\) Id. The "sure-thing principle" holds that "if one prefers \(x\) to \(y\) given any possible state of the world, then one should prefer \(x\) to \(y\) even when the exact state of the world is not known." Id. at 216.


\(^{387}\) Id. Of those who were told that they had passed the exam, 54% chose to purchase the vacation; of those who were told that they had failed, 57% chose to do so. Only 30% of the pass group and 31% of the fail group chose to preserve their option. Id.

\(^{388}\) Id. at 305–06. 61% chose to pay to preserve their option. Id. at 306.
was available at the outset, it did not influence decisions about the vacation. Nonetheless, people in the group that lacked the information preferred to wait for this information before making a decision, apparently failing to recognize that the information would not otherwise affect their decision.\textsuperscript{389} Other similar studies have found that people are particularly likely to seek out additional information when their decision is difficult to make.\textsuperscript{390}

Not only can the process of searching or waiting for this irrelevant information be costly, but it can also change the nature of the decision, turning information that initially had no value into information that can make a difference. Importantly, there is evidence that "[w]aiting for information that appears relevant to a decision can raise the extent to which it is brought before one's attention and thus increase its influence on choice."\textsuperscript{391} Because people do not want to conceive of themselves as choosing to seek out irrelevant information, "they see the pursuit as indicative of the fact that the information has potential impact, and proceed to treat it accordingly."\textsuperscript{392} In one study, the majority of students considering a protest over campus bookstore prices chose to settle with the university even when it was clear that the university would not take disciplinary action against them if they engaged in the protest.\textsuperscript{393} However, when it was unclear whether or not the university would take disciplinary action, most students chose to wait until this information was available and, once it was clear that no discipline would ensue, the majority chose to protest rather than to settle.\textsuperscript{394} Thus, waiting for the information imbued it with importance to the decision in a way that altered the decision that would have been made if the same information had been available at the outset.\textsuperscript{395}

An attorney who is aware of this tendency to seek out even irrelevant information can try to counter it in herself and in her clients. In the course of

\textsuperscript{389} Id. See also Eldar Shafir & Amos Tversky, Thinking Through Uncertainty: Nonconsequential Reasoning and Choice, 24 COGNITIVE PSYCHOL. 449, 452–59 (1992) (finding similar results in a "Prisoner's Dilemma" game).


\textsuperscript{392} Id. at 30.

\textsuperscript{393} Bastardi & Shafir, supra note 384, at 218.

\textsuperscript{394} Id.

\textsuperscript{395} Bastardi & Shafir, supra note 391, at 28 (finding similar results for scenarios involving college applicants, student course choices, mortgage applications, and consumer purchases); see also Donald A. Redelmeier et al., The Beguiling Pursuit of More Information, 21 MED. DECISION MAKING 374 (2001).
an initial interview, both an attorney and client may well feel that they want to gather more information before making a decision such as whether to initiate a lawsuit, whether to initiate settlement conversations, or whether to structure a transaction a certain way. Of course it is often good and appropriate to seek out information. Neither an attorney nor client should necessarily want to make snap judgments. Yet, as the attorney feels the need to seek out information she should at least ask herself: "Is this information really important? If I found out X, what would I do? And if I found out not-X, what would I do?" Perhaps the attorney, having had this internal conversation, will realize that the information she thinks she needs is not important after all. Similarly, knowing that many people have a preference to delay decisions while they gather information that may actually be irrelevant, Jack may want to ask delaying clients similar questions. If the client realizes that the information she thought she wanted would not actually make a difference, the delay may be avoided.

4. Framing

Jack might think that people (like computers) would be able to objectively analyze the pros and cons of an option and decide whether that option maximizes their interests. However, it turns out that peoples' evaluations of options are linked to the way the option is described or framed, particularly relative to other options. These predictable biases can be important in the context of lawyer-client interviews.

Peoples' willingness to take risks is affected by whether they believe they are facing a potential gain or loss. In a body of work known as "prospect theory," Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky have shown that people are

---


397 Kahneman & Tversky, Prospect Theory, supra note 396, at 267; Kahneman & Tversky, Choices, supra note 396, at 341; Tversky & Kahneman, supra note 396, at 453-54.

398 Kahneman & Tversky, Prospect Theory, supra note 396, at 263; Kahneman & Tversky, Choices, supra note 396, at 342; Tversky & Kahneman, supra note 396, at 454; see also Chris Guthrie, Framing Frivolous Litigation: A Psychological Theory, 67 U.
risk-averse towards moderate to high probability gains and low probability losses, and risk-seeking towards moderate to high probability losses and low probability gains. Moreover, people tend to be "loss averse," such that "losses loom larger than gains" and a loss of a given size tends to be more aversive than a gain of comparable size is attractive. While one might think that "a gain is a gain and a loss is a loss," it turns out that the characterization of options as gains or losses is highly manipulable. A number of implications flow from these insights.

First, people may demand more to give up something they already possess than they would be willing to pay to acquire that same item—a phenomenon referred to as the "endowment effect." For example, in one study half of a group of students were given coffee mugs. Students with mugs were asked to indicate the price at which they would sell the mug; students without mugs were asked to name the price at which they would buy a mug; trades would then be executed as determined by the responses. However, few trades were executed because mug owners consistently set

---


400 Id. Thus, people tend to be willing to pay a little bit up front to insure against large, but low probability negative risk.

401 Id. That is, people might prefer to take a 25% risk of having to pay a $1000 fine, than pay $250 to surely avoid that fine.

402 Id. We know, from the abundance of lotteries, that people are very willing to spend a few dollars to obtain a very, very low chance of winning a large lottery payout.

403 Id.


405 See generally Daniel Kahneman, *New Challenges to the Rationality Assumption*, 150 J. INSTITUTIONAL & THEORETICAL ECON. 18 (1994); Daniel Kahneman et al., *Anomalies: The Endowment Effect, Loss Aversion, and Status Quo Bias*, 5 J. ECON. PERSP. 193, 194 (1991); Edward J. McCaffery et al., *Framing the Jury: Cognitive Perspectives on Pain and Suffering Awards*, 81 VA. L. REV. 1341, 1359, 1368 (1995) (demonstrating that pain and suffering awards are higher when framed as the amount necessary to make one suffer the injury ex ante than when framed as the amount necessary to make the plaintiff whole ex post).


407 Id. at 1330–31.
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selling prices that were higher than the prices set by buyers.\textsuperscript{408}

Second, people tend to prefer the status quo over other options because
the potential downsides of a change loom larger than the potential gains—a
tendency known as the "status quo bias."\textsuperscript{409} Thus, people tend to prefer to
stick with their current entitlements and tend to gravitate toward default
rules.\textsuperscript{410} In one telling example, the states of New Jersey and Pennsylvania
both offered two different levels of automobile insurance coverage—one
level that had a higher premium and entailed the right to sue and a second
level with a lower premium and no right to sue.\textsuperscript{411} However, the states
differed in one important respect—in Pennsylvania the default policy
comprised the higher priced, more comprehensive policy with a discount
available for those who would waive the right to sue.\textsuperscript{412} In New Jersey, the
default policy was the lower priced policy, with an option to pay more to
obtain the right to sue.\textsuperscript{413} Although the policies in the two states were
similar, more drivers in Pennsylvania (75\%) opted to purchase the more
comprehensive policy than did drivers in New Jersey (20\%), with most
drivers in each state opting for the default policy.\textsuperscript{414}

A third implication is that people tend to find a particular option less
attractive when considered among other options than when it is considered in
isolation—a phenomenon known as option devaluation.\textsuperscript{415} When people
compare options, they tend to compare the pros and cons of the options.
Because losses loom larger than gains, the negative features will assert
prominence in this comparison and each option will look less attractive than
it would have on its own.\textsuperscript{416} Finally, a tendency to avoid losses can incline
people to overweight "sunk costs"—that is, costs that have already been

\textsuperscript{408} Id. at 1332.

\textsuperscript{409} See Kahneman et al., supra note 405, at 194; Russell Korobkin, The Status Quo

\textsuperscript{410} Korobkin, supra note 409, at 625; Brigitte C. Madrian & Dennis F. Shea, The
Power of Suggestion: Inertia in 401(k) Participation and Savings Behavior, 116 Q.J.
ECON. 1149, 1159 (2001) (finding increased participation in 401(k) plans when
enrollment was the default).

\textsuperscript{411} Eric J. Johnson et al., Framing, Probability Distortions, and Insurance

\textsuperscript{412} Id.

\textsuperscript{413} Id.

\textsuperscript{414} Id.

\textsuperscript{415} See Lyle Brenner et al., Comparison, Grouping, and Preference, 10 PSYCHOL.
SCI. 225, 227 (1999); Itamar Simonson & Amos Tversky, Choice in Context: Tradeoff

\textsuperscript{416} Brenner et al., supra note 415, at 225.

529
incurred.\textsuperscript{417} Because such costs are not recoverable, decisions about whether to invest additional resources (e.g., time, money) should not take them into account.\textsuperscript{418} Nevertheless, decisionmaking is often influenced by such previous investments.\textsuperscript{419} For example, one study found that theater season ticket holders who had paid more for their tickets were more likely to attend the performances than those who had paid less.\textsuperscript{420}

Jack, in discussing options with his clients, may wittingly or unwittingly greatly influence his clients' choices by the way in which he frames those choices. For example, when talking with the Kiddos, Jack might frame the decision to file a lawsuit as a loss—emphasizing the irrevocability of such a filing. This might incline the Kiddos to opt to try negotiations first, so as to avoid the loss of options. Alternatively, Jack could frame such a decision as a gain—emphasizing the possible gains in leverage and momentum. This might incline the Kiddos to file suit. Ideally, Jack can present the Kiddos with both the gain and loss frames and help them grapple with balancing the inevitable risks and benefits.

Jack will also inevitably frame options when he chats with Carl Cementa. As a potential defendant, Carl may be thinking about future losses:

If Henrietta sues me, I will lose the legal fees I will have to pay and I will also lose whatever I have to pay out after a hearing or trial. If I choose to try to settle now, pre-litigation, I will lose whatever amount I pay out and I will lose out in terms of reputation because word will get out that I had to pay off a former client.

On the other hand, Jack could potentially frame an early settlement as a gain, in which case it would likely look much more appealing to Carl. How can an attorney turn a loss into a gain? Just listen:

Carl, I know you feel like you are in a tough situation. One option is a quick, low cost settlement. It may be that if you reach out to Henrietta now, on your own, before any lawsuit is filed, you can resolve this informally. In my experience, some clients just want to hear an apology, and perhaps


\textsuperscript{418} Arkes & Blumer, supra note 417, at 124–25, 139 (explaining that sunk costs should not objectively influence decisions).

\textsuperscript{419} Id.

\textsuperscript{420} Id. at 127–29.
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receive some monetary recognition. Perhaps you can give her a call, listen to her explain the problems she has been suffering, and offer her your professional advice. You could explain that you have never had a problem before like she described, that you really think that her water damage problem is due to other factors, but that you would nonetheless be willing to refund her a substantial portion of her original payment. Though you may not feel like paying out $5,000, this is really peanuts compared to the legal costs and risks of liability you could be facing were she to actually proceed with a lawsuit. It is at least worth considering whether you want to try to save those expenses by making an early settlement.

Carl may still reject the idea of attempting an early settlement, but certainly Jack has increased the likelihood that Carl will choose to try to settle early by framing a potential settlement as a gain rather than a loss.

It should now be clear that attorneys have tremendous power to influence their clients' decisions by framing their clients' decisions in a particular way. Indeed, even if an attorney has a goal of letting clients make their own decisions, it will be virtually impossible for an attorney not to influence their clients' decisions by framing the choices in one way or another. So, what is such an attorney to do? The best we can suggest is that the attorney, now more conscious of her power, do her best to describe client options in an evenhanded manner. That is, if an option can be seen as either a loss or a gain, the attorney should use both descriptions.421

5. Affective Forecasting

Good decisionmaking depends, in part, on the ability to anticipate or predict how we will feel about our choices once we have made them. To what extent will a client, for instance, be more satisfied with settlement X or settlement Y? To what extent will one feel vindicated following a favorable jury verdict? Recent research in psychology has explored how well people perform in predicting their future emotional states.422 This research has

421 A choice may also depend on whether the decision task is approached as one in which an option is selected or one in which one or more options are rejected. When acting affirmatively to choose an option, attractive features of the options tend to be salient. In contrast, when deciding which option(s) to reject, the downsides of each option are the focus. Accordingly, an option that has both strong positives (i.e., reasons to choose that option) and strong negatives (i.e., reasons to reject it), may be both more likely to be chosen and more likely to be rejected. See generally Eldar Shafir, Choosing Versus Rejecting: Why Some Options Are Both Better and Worse Than Others, 21 MEMORY & COGNITION 546 (1993).

422 See generally Timothy D. Wilson & Daniel T. Gilbert, Affective Forecasting, 35
found that people are reasonably accurate in predicting: (1) the valence or
direction of their future emotions—that is, at predicting whether something
will make them feel good or bad, and (2) the specific emotion they will
feel—for example, whether they will feel sadness or anger. On the other
hand, however, this research has demonstrated that people are not very good
at predicting the intensity or the duration of these anticipated emotions:

In a score of studies, people have been shown to mispredict how they
will feel after relocating a household, breaking up with a romantic partner,
losing an election, receiving a gift, learning they have a serious illness,
failed to secure a promotion, scoring well on an examination, failing to
lose weight, reading tragic stories, winning a football game, receiving
personality feedback, being insulted, tasting food, and so on.

Other studies have demonstrated a similar inability to predict the
emotions that will follow the denial of tenure, the results of a pregnancy
test, or the death of a spouse.

There are many reasons why people err in making such predictions. Sometimes people lack experience with the event and do not know what to expect; fail to accurately remember their previous emotional reactions;

ADVANCES EXPERIMENTAL SOC. PSYCHOL. 345 (2003) (reviewing research on affective
forecasting); Philip Brickman et al., Lottery Winners and Accident Victims: Is Happiness
Relative?, 36 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 917 (1978). For an examination of some
implications of affective forecasting for legal contexts, see Jeremy A. Blumenthal, Law

Wilson & Gilbert, supra note 422, at 347–51.

Daniel T. Gilbert & Jane E.J. Ebert, Decisions and Revisions: The Affective
Forecasting of Changeable Outcomes, 82 J. PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. 503, 503

Daniel T. Gilbert et al., Immune Neglect: A Source of Durability Bias in Affective

Barbara A. Mellers & A. Peter McGraw, Anticipated Emotions as Guides to
Choice, 10 CURRENT DIRECTIONS PSYCHOL. SCI. 210, 212 (2001).

Michael S. Caserta & Dale A. Lund, Bereavement Stress and Coping Among
Older Adults: Expectations Versus the Actual Experience, 25 OMEGA: J. DEATH & DYING

See Wilson & Gilbert, supra note 422, at 354–55 (describing a "misconstrual
problem"); see also Julie A. Woodzicka & Marianne LaFrance, Real Versus Imagined
Gender Harassment, 57 J. SOC. ISSUES 15, 21, 23–24 (2001) (finding that people predict
they would feel angry in response to harassment, but that victims report feeling afraid).

Wilson & Gilbert, supra note 422, at 358–60; see also Daniel Kahneman et al.,
When More Pain is Preferred to Less: Adding a Better End, 4 PSYCHOL. SCI. 401, 404
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or rely on faulty theories about what affects their emotional well-being.\textsuperscript{430} In addition, people tend to fall prey to "focalism" or a "focusing illusion" in that they focus on a particular aspect of the event in making their prediction and do not take into account the countervailing emotional influences of other aspects of the event or of other life experiences.\textsuperscript{431} Moreover, people may be disposed to an "isolation effect" in which they "disregard components that the alternatives share, and focus on the components that distinguish them."\textsuperscript{432} Finally, people tend to suffer from "immune neglect" as they underappreciate the ways in which their "psychological immune system" will buffer them from the emotional fallout of future events.\textsuperscript{433}

Given these phenomena, lawyers may potentially be able to help clients figure out what they really want.\textsuperscript{434} Although the lawyer cannot literally get inside her client's head, the lawyer does at least have the benefit of having worked with other similarly situated clients in the past.\textsuperscript{435} Thus, when Edna tells Jack that what she wants more than anything is simply to force the company to defend its action in court, Jack can tell her that other clients thought the same thing but were actually disappointed when the trial ultimately came about. Or, Carl may be a non-confrontational person who

\begin{small}
(1993) (finding that recollections of pain were disproportionately influenced by the peak and the end of the experience).


\textsuperscript{432} Kahneman & Tversky, \textit{Prospect Theory}, supra note 396, at 271; see generally Elizabeth W. Dunn et al., \textit{Location, Location, Location: The Misprediction of Satisfaction in Housing Lotteries}, 29 PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. BULL. 1421 (2003).

\textsuperscript{433} See Wilson & Gilbert, supra note 422, at 381 (arguing that "people fail to appreciate the extent to which they will 'ordinize' positive events by making sense of them [and] fail to appreciate the extent to which they will 'defang' negative events by rationalizing, reconstruing, or minimizing them"); see generally Timothy D. Wilson et al., \textit{Making Sense: The Causes of Emotional Evanesence}, in \textit{ECONOMICS AND PSYCHOLOGY} 209 (Isabelle Brocas & Juan D. Carrillo eds., 2002).


\textsuperscript{435} \textit{Id.} at 280; DANIEL T. GILBERT, STUMBLING ON HAPPINESS 245–51 (2005).
\end{small}
fears that having a face-to-face conversation with an angry Henrietta would be extremely unpleasant. Again, Jack may offer the reassurance that other clients, who felt similarly, ultimately found such a conversation to be productive. While Carl may be correct that face-to-face conversation with Henrietta would not be enjoyable, it may well be less unpleasant than he fears. To the extent the Kiddos are focused on their concern that by settling they will let down their son, Jack can draw their attention to other considerations such as the possibility that continuing to fight a lawsuit and forcing Kyle to keep reliving his bad experience may also be very hard on him. If the Kiddos stop battling the manufacturer in court, they may still be able to work on the issue of magnetic balls through publicity or other efforts.

6. Decision Regret

One particular emotion that people anticipate and take into account when making decisions is regret. Regret is "the painful feeling a person experiences upon determining she could have obtained a better outcome if she had decided or behaved differently." When making decisions, people anticipate the prospect that they will experience regret following the decision—expecting to experience more regret for negative outcomes when they must choose one option and reject other possibilities; when they act than when they fail to act; when they miss their goal by a narrow margin or come close to succeeding but do not; when they receive feedback about


437 Guthrie, supra note 370, at 635–38.
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what the outcomes of non-chosen options would have been;\(^{440}\) or when they have made an atypical choice.\(^{441}\) Taking into account this anticipated regret, people make decisions that they think will avoid or minimize the amount of regret that they expect to feel.\(^{442}\) This inclination to avoid anticipated regret means that people will take into account not only the expected outcome of the decision itself, but also "the feelings associated with the outcomes of foregone options."\(^{443}\)

While taking into account the regret that one is likely to experience following a decision is often reasonable, it can sometimes contribute to less optimal decisionmaking. Certainly, "anticipated regret may 'facilitate[]' high-quality decisionmaking' by prompting a litigant to engage in 'vigilant appraisal of each course of action' and to construct 'inventive solutions that take account of calculated risks.'"\(^{444}\) On the other hand, overweighing or incorrectly assessing anticipated regret may be detrimental to

\(^{440}\) See Guthrie, supra note 436, at 70; Richard P. Larrick & Terry L. Boles, Avoiding Regret in Decisions with Feedback: A Negotiation Example, 63 ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAV. & HUM. DECISION PROCESSES 87, 87–88 (1995); see generally Steven J. Humphrey, Feedback-Conditional Regret Theory and Testing Regret-Aversion in Risky Choice, 25 J. ECON. PSYCHOL. 839 (2004); Marcel Zeelenberg et al., Consequences of Regret Aversion: Effects of Expected Feedback on Risky Decision Making, 65 ORGANIZATIONAL BEHAV. & HUM. DECISION PROCESSES 148 (1996). Thus, Guthrie argues that litigants settle, in part, because they "never learn what they would have recovered at trial, but litigants who reject settlement offers in favor of trial learn the outcomes of both options. Settlement, thus, offers litigants an opportunity to avoid, or at least minimize, regret, while trial increases the likelihood litigants will experience regret." Guthrie, supra note 436, at 72–73.


\(^{443}\) Guthrie, supra note 436, at 69.

\(^{444}\) Id. at 84–85 (quoting Irving I. Janis & Leon Mann, Decision Making: A PSYCHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF CONFLICT, CHOICE, AND COMMITMENT 219, 222, 224 (1977)).
decisionmaking, resulting, for example, in a greater willingness to settle below an initially determined reservation price or causing people to place too high of a premium on the ability to change their minds in instances in which such flexibility is not valuable. In addition, a person who fears the regret that may flow from taking an action may err too much on the side of doing nothing. Similarly, a person who fears the regret of a near miss may choose a more risky course of action that will either clearly work or clearly fail, but not miss by a little. These choices may not be optimal.

Unfortunately, moreover, people's predictions about their post-decision regret may not always be correct. In particular, as discussed above, people are not terribly accurate in predicting the intensity and duration of their future emotional reactions. Indeed, recent studies have shown that people may anticipate feeling more regret than they ultimately experience. One reason for this may be that decisionmakers tend to evaluate options differently once they have made a decision—changing their evaluations in ways that may help to minimize regret. Psychological research has found that the act of "making a decision can lead to selective memory for information supporting that decision." Studies have found that for a variety of

---

445 See Larrick & Boles, supra note 440, at 93–94 (demonstrating such effects in negotiation). Studies have shown that people lower their reservation price in order to achieve a settlement that will protect them from learning that an alternative course of action would have been better. In effect, they pay a premium to avoid predicted regret. Id.

446 See Gilbert & Ebert, supra note 424, at 511 (finding that "people prefer to have the opportunity to change their outcomes, and believe such opportunities will not influence their experience of those outcomes, but that, in fact, these opportunities inhibit the psychological processes that would otherwise have helped them manufacture satisfaction"). There is a tendency for people to be more satisfied with a decision when they make it and move on to adapting to it than if they retain the option to reverse the decision. Id. See also Kin F.E. Wong & Jessica Y.Y. Kwong, The Role of Anticipated Regret in Escalation of Commitment, 92 J. APPLIED PSYCHOL. 545, 550–51 (2007) (finding that anticipated regret associated with withdrawal or persistence influences escalation decisions).


448 See supra Part III.G.5.

449 See generally Gilbert et al., supra note 447, at 349.

decisions that people make (e.g., choosing job candidates, roommates, blind dates), people are more likely to attribute positive aspects of the choices to the option they have chosen.\footnote{Mather et al., Misremembrance, supra note 450, at 135–36.} In other words, not only are favorable aspects of the chosen option likely to be correctly associated with that option, but favorable aspects of the non-chosen option are likely to be incorrectly associated with the chosen option.\footnote{Id. at 136. Correspondingly, people also sometimes associate negative features of the options with the non-chosen option. Id.} Such post-decision effects on memory can serve to minimize post-decision regret.\footnote{See Armor & Taylor, supra note 116, at 343–45 (discussing optimistic reinterpretation); Jack W. Brehm, Post-Decision Changes in the Desirability of Alternatives, 52 J. ABNORMAL & SOC. PSYCHOL. 384 (1956); see also Thomas R. Shultz et al., Free Choice and Cognitive Dissonance Revisited: Choosing "Lesser Evils" Versus "Greater Goods," 25 PERSONALITY & SOC. PSYCHOL. BULL. 40 (1999).} To put it more simply, once we decide something we have a tendency to make ourselves happy with that decision, so that fears of subsequent regret are often overstated.

As with the phenomenon of affective forecasting,\footnote{See supra Part III.H.5.} the lawyer who is knowledgeable about regret aversion can try to counsel his clients that they should worry less about regrets and more about anticipated results. Jack might say to Agnes, regarding the drafting of her estate documents:

> I know you are worried about making a decision today, because you are afraid you may make a decision that you will later regret. However, at some point you really have no choice but to make a decision regarding how you want to divide your assets. Indeed, if you continue to postpone these decisions you will have effectively made a decision to die intestate, and that would surely be a regrettable decision.

If a client appears to be delaying an important decision, such as whether to settle or file a lawsuit, based on a fear of subsequent regret, Jack may want to point out that if the client does settle or file the lawsuit, they will never know how the alternative would have turned out. This observation may give the client some comfort, because anticipated regret is lessened when the outcome of alternatives will not become known.\footnote{Larrick & Boles, supra note 440, at 94.} For example, Jack might

---

say to Edna:

I have had clients in the past refuse to settle cases early because they were afraid they would later hear that a similarly situated person got a better deal. Yet, I have not once actually had a client come to me to report hearing of such a better settlement by a similarly situated person. The reality is that each dispute is typically so unique that the odds of learning of a similarly situated person's settlement are pretty remote.

Or,

It is natural to want your day in court. In the past, I have often taken clients' claims to court because the client told me they would always regret it if they did not see whether they could win in court. But, even when I won many of those clients' cases, an awful lot of those clients told me that going to court did not give them the satisfaction they were seeking. In the end, clients often find the trial to be disappointing, because even in court we cannot force people to tell the truth or to tell the whole story.

Of course, Jack does not want to push his clients too hard to make decisions before they are ready. If he does push them hard, and if they do make decisions that they later regret, they could even take out their regret on Jack by firing him, failing to send him referrals, or suing him for malpractice.

IV. RECAPPING THE RELEVANCE OF PSYCHOLOGICAL INSIGHTS TO SPECIFIC STAGES OF INTERVIEWING AND COUNSELING

It is clear that psychology provides many useful insights for attorneys' interviewing and counseling practice. This part will recap some of the highlights, following the order of a typical interviewing and counseling session.

A. Preparing for the Interview

1. Pre-Interview Information

Before they commence their initial interview with a client, some lawyers chat with the client by phone, ask the client to fill out a written survey or other document, or have a paralegal do a screening interview. From a psychological standpoint, brief preliminary phone conversations can
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potentially be helpful in beginning to establish a relationship and build rapport. Conversely, the use of surveys or screening interviews with paralegals may give the impression that the attorney does not care enough about the client to participate in a conversation, and may lead the attorney to pre-determine what kinds of issues are important. In-person interviews offer a better opportunity than phone conversations or certainly written surveys to impress the client, build rapport, learn from the client, minimize reliance on the attorney's prior conceptions, and assess the client's own credibility. If an attorney feels it is important to get a "heads up" on the subjects to be discussed, we suggest a brief and friendly phone call to probe the generalities of the problem.

2. Setting the Stage

Whereas Jack has expressed little or no interest in the setup of his interview room or his appearance, psychologists have found that these things can be important. Ensuring clients' comfort is not just a matter of good manners, but also important to help build rapport. The client who feels good rapport with her attorney will be more forthcoming, and also more likely to fully hear the counsel offered by the attorney. Thus, Jack should pick an interview setting likely to ensure privacy and to build both rapport and confidence. If an attorney keeps a neat and comfortable office with diplomas or other awards on the wall, the intimate office setting can be appropriate. Alternatively, attorneys who have messy or otherwise unimpressive offices may want to conduct interviews in a nice conference room. In either setting, the attorney may want to offer the client a choice of seating options, and ensure that physical distance is sufficient for the client to feel comfortable.

Similarly, although Jack wants to believe that his brain, not his appearance, is relevant to his clients, psychological research shows that peoples' appearance plays an important role in how they are perceived. Perhaps Jack's longtime clients will not care if he dresses down, but with new clients Jack must be careful to create a good first impression that builds

456 See supra Part III.E.4.
457 See id.
458 See id.
459 See supra Part III.A.
460 See supra Part III.E.6.
461 See supra Part III.E.4.
462 See note 74 and accompanying text.
confidence and generates comfort.\textsuperscript{463} To some degree his style of dress and grooming may depend on his clientele. Lawyers who work as sports agents or who represent entertainers may well want to dress more flamboyantly than lawyers who do estate planning for elderly widows in the Midwest. So, while we cannot prescribe a particular set of clothes or hairstyle that is best for all situations, we can say that appearances do matter.\textsuperscript{464}

B. Conducting the Interview

1. Importance of Open-Ended Questions

Once Jack commences the interview, it will be important for him to use open-ended questions. Such questions are useful, from a psychological standpoint, for a variety of reasons. First, they allow clients to tell the story in the order that makes sense to them.\textsuperscript{465} This will encourage clients to tell a more complete story,\textsuperscript{466} aid clients' recall,\textsuperscript{467} allow clients to provide a level of detail with which they are confident,\textsuperscript{468} allow clients to explain their non-legal concerns,\textsuperscript{469} and deter attorneys from putting their clients' stories into pre-existing schema.\textsuperscript{470} Allowing clients to speak fully in response to open-ended questions will also speak to clients' desire for procedural justice.\textsuperscript{471} Whereas Jack has previously prided himself on his short interviews,\textsuperscript{472} cutting off clients' answers is a false efficiency that will prevent Jack from

\textsuperscript{463} See generally Milford, supra note 300 (explaining that what an attorney wears makes a difference and giving suggestions on appropriate attire).

\textsuperscript{464} Positive affect or mood may also affect lawyers' effectiveness. For example, there is evidence that positive emotions broaden one's attentional focus and increase creative thinking. See generally Barbara L. Fredrickson, What Good Are Positive Emotions?, 2 REV. GEN. PSYCHOL. 300 (1998); Clark Freshman et al., The Lawyer-Negotiator as Mood Scientist: What We Know and Don't Know About How Mood Relates to Successful Negotiation, 2002 J. DISP. RESOL. 1, 31; Alice M. Isen, Positive Affect and Decision Making, in HANDBOOK OF EMOTION 417 (Michael Lewis & Jeannette Haviland-Jones eds., 2000).

\textsuperscript{465} See supra Part III.C.2.

\textsuperscript{466} See id.

\textsuperscript{467} See id.

\textsuperscript{468} See id.

\textsuperscript{469} See supra Part III.B.1.

\textsuperscript{470} See supra Part III.B.3.

\textsuperscript{471} See supra Part III.G.2.

\textsuperscript{472} See supra Part II.
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either gathering full information or establishing client rapport.473

Of course Jack will want to go back and ask his clients to fill in some
details, and he may well need to ask pointed questions to cover issues not
discussed by the clients in responding to open-ended questions. However,
Jack should guard against interrupting clients. Such interruptions may well
cause clients to provide more limited information or to forget key details474
and is unlikely to make them feel respected.475

2. Cultural Sensitivity and Avoidance of Stereotypes

As Jack questions his clients he must be careful to avoid stereotypes. The
mere fact that his client is dressed like a slob does not mean, for example,
that his client is poor. Rather than make assumptions based on clients'
appearance, race, ethnicity, gender, or other factors, Jack should use his
questions to allow his clients to tell their own stories.476 At the same time,
Jack should be attuned to the possibility that his clients' culture may lead
them to have different preferences than might be natural to Jack. Some
clients may, for example, be uncomfortable with the handshake, use of first
names, and straight gaze that Jack has said he uses with all clients.477

3. Listening Effectively and Responding Encouragingly

In addition to asking appropriate questions of his clients, Jack should
also draw on his new psychological knowledge to listen and respond
appropriately. Most people probably think they are fairly good listeners, but
in fact many of us do not listen as effectively as we might. Jack may well be
guilty of multi-tasking—letting his mind wander to other tasks while his
clients describe their problems. Or, Jack may allow himself to be guided
unduly by stereotypes and heuristics, placing his clients' problems into pre-
determined categories.478 To battle these likely flaws Jack needs to try to
focus more attentively on his clients, and such effective listening includes not
only hearing clients' words but also paying attention to their tone and body
language.479

473 See supra Part III.E.4.
474 See supra Part III.C.2.
475 See supra Part III.G.2.
476 See supra Part III.F.
477 See supra note 22 and accompanying text.
478 See supra Parts III.A.2, III.B.
479 Some suggest that the practice of mindfulness mediation is a good way for
Moreover, the old Jack viewed himself as a law professor, seeking to extract facts as quickly and efficiently as possible. Law professors are not seen as kind and gentle in their employment of the "Socratic method." But, psychological studies have shown that interviewers can both obtain more information and also create better rapport by engaging in an effective and sympathetic back and forth with their clients. Similarly, Jack must develop some comfort with interacting with his clients at an emotional level. If Jack attempts to completely avoid emotion, he will be unable to understand his clients' non-legal concerns, unable to build a solid rapport with his client, unable to encourage his client to provide all information pertinent to the legal issue, and unable to connect well to his client as a counselor.

4. Helping Clients Remember

Jack knows that his clients' memories are far from perfect. However, he now understands the ways in which human memories differ from computers. He also has at his disposal a number of helpful tools derived from the psychological literature that he can use to try to effectively trigger client memories. For example, he can use open-ended questions, ask his clients to retell their story in chronological or reverse chronological order; ask them to focus on details of the setting that may not be directly relevant to the legal problem; and try to get clients to focus more on facts. He also knows from the psychological literature that he will not have much success if he simply urges his clients to probe their memories better for all of the facts and understands that frequent interruptions can interfere with client memories.


481 See supra Parts III.C.2, III.E.4.

482 See supra Part III.E.3.

483 See supra notes 216–40 and accompanying text.

484 See supra Part III.C.2.

485 See id.

486 See Fisher, supra note 147, at 734.

487 See supra note 158 and accompanying text.
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5. Building Trust

The old Jack would never have focused much on the importance of building trust. He would have assumed that the client would trust him based on his obvious intellect. And, if the client did not trust him, that was the client's loss. But, the psychology literature shows that Jack ought to care about creating trust because he will more readily obtain full information from a trusting client.\(^{488}\) Similarly, Jack will be more effective as a counselor when his clients trust him.\(^{489}\) To gain his clients' trust, Jack should not only continue to show clients that he is a competent and intelligent attorney, but also show clients that he cares about them as people and that he is willing to set aside his own personal interests, when necessary. Studies have shown that open communication, perspective taking, and willingness to explain can all be important to building a trusting relationship.

6. Being Aware of Clients' and One's Own Likely Cognitive Biases

We have discussed a broad array of cognitive biases that affect typical clients and typical attorneys.\(^{490}\) Both lawyers and clients tend to perceive the world through their schema and stereotypes,\(^{491}\) emphasize personal characteristics when they attribute causation,\(^{492}\) assume that others see the world the same way they do,\(^{493}\) are affected by an array of positive illusions,\(^{494}\) and show a tendency to do Monday morning quarterbacking.\(^{495}\) As clients report the "facts" as they understand them to their attorneys,\(^{496}\) the attorneys need to consider that their clients' discussions of these "facts" are undoubtedly affected by the psychology of cognition. Attorneys need to ask follow up questions and seek alternative perspectives to try to get beyond these biases. Similarly, attorneys need to be aware of the use of such heuristics in their own thinking.

\(^{488}\) See supra Part III.E.4.
\(^{489}\) See id.
\(^{490}\) See supra Part III.
\(^{491}\) See supra Part III.A.2.
\(^{492}\) See supra Part III.A.3.
\(^{493}\) See supra Part III.A.4.
\(^{494}\) See supra Part III.B.3.
\(^{495}\) See supra Part III.B.4.
\(^{496}\) See supra Part III.A.1.
7. Verifying Veracity

Jack worries, probably rightly, that some of his clients may lie to him. However, whereas Jack used to have great confidence in his own abilities to sniff out the liars, he now understands that very few of us are in fact able to accurately detect lying. Thus, Jack will be better off keeping an open mind and withholding judgment about veracity while seeking confirmation from other witnesses or documents. Jack also needs to remember that witnesses often report the same incident quite differently based on their different perceptions, construal, and memories, even though neither witness is lying.

8. Remembering the Interview

As we have discussed, Jack's own memory is no more infallible than that of his clients. Jack must take steps to ensure that he adequately recalls and records his discussions with his own clients, as absent special measures, surely his memory will fail.

C. Analysis

Jack is very confident of his analytical abilities and indeed has the good LSAT scores and grades to support his confidence. Yet, it is likely that Jack, like the rest of us, commonly falls into some analytical traps. He should particularly try to guard against the following: being overly optimistic regarding his own skills and regarding clients' prospects for success; allowing the framing of a particular decision as either a gain or loss to affect his evaluation; overestimating the likelihood of a particular event based on the apparently high "availability" of that event; following a tendency to potentially throw good money after bad; or stereotyping his clients or

---

497 See supra Part III.D.
498 See id.
500 See supra Part III.C.4.
501 See supra Part III.B.3.
503 See supra Part III.B.1.
504 See supra Part III.H.4.
other persons his clients might discuss in the interview.505

D. Providing Advice

Providing advice to clients, or for that matter to anyone, can be tricky.506 No matter whether Jack seeks to be directive or client-centered in his approach, he will need to overcome a variety of psychological hurdles to be effective as a counselor. First, Jack needs to avoid the tendency to focus exclusively on purely legal and monetary matters.

As Jack helps his clients think through their options, he needs to remember that many clients will have a craving for justice—procedural, distributive, and retributive.507 To some degree, Jack can fulfill his clients' desire for procedural justice through the ways in which he interviews them.508 But, as Jack counsels clients about their options, he should recall that his clients may have a strong interest in having their voice heard or in presenting their claims to a neutral, and not just in receiving a particular substantive outcome.509 Of course Jack should also consider his clients' desire for distributive justice, while recognizing that this may mean something more than just getting the most one can for oneself.510 In addition, clients may have needs based on their sense of retributive justice—such as a need to punish or to receive an apology. So, as Jack asks his clients to consider whether it makes sense to try to litigate and to think about potential settlement strategies, he should describe those options in a way that allows clients to consider whether such outcomes would be "just."

Dealing with clients' cognitive biases is also challenging. Given clients' tendency to be overoptimistic,511 attorneys need to make a special effort to explain potential risks and downsides clearly, and to try to make sure that clients are not just hearing the positive and tuning out the negative.512 At the

505 See supra Part III.A.2.
506 As every parent and every child know, receivers of advice sometimes do the opposite of what was recommended. See generally JACK W. BREHM, A THEORY OF PSYCHOLOGICAL REACTANCE (1966).
507 See supra Part III.G.
508 See supra Part III.G.2.
509 See id.
510 See supra Part III.G.1.
511 See supra Part III.B.3.
512 While some law students or inexperienced attorneys may believe that it would be desirable to leave clients with their rosy glasses view of the world, failing to advise clients properly of the risks that they face will often lead to very unpleasant consequences.
same time, an attorney who beats her client over the head with negative risks may well have a difficult time establishing a good rapport.\textsuperscript{513} Clients look to their attorneys to be their advocates, and may feel that an attorney who spends too much time warning of downsides is not an effective advocate.\textsuperscript{514} Walking this fine line between optimism and pessimism is tricky, and no single strategy will work for all clients. The attorney needs to try to communicate risks while at the same time maintaining her role as a strong advocate for the client. For example:

I will do my best to win this claim for you, and I have had some good successes in the past. However, no attorney is always successful and this claim has some real risks. For example, [provide details pertinent to legal risks of possible lawsuit as well as financial, reputational, or other costs]. You need to carefully think through whether, for you, the possible benefits of bringing this claim outweigh the very real risks.

The attorney can also try to maintain her good relationship with the client, albeit while giving bad news, by making it clear that the legal risks the client faces do not necessarily reflect the moral value of the claim or the attorney's personal view of whether or not the client was wronged. In chatting with Edna Employee, Jack might explain:

Ms. Employee, I have heard your account of the way you were treated at work. As you explain things it certainly sounds like you were treated very unfairly. It seems that you have endured a very difficult set of events and I certainly understand why you are very hurt and angry. I understand why you would like to bring a lawsuit in order to prove that you were wronged and prevent others from being similarly mistreated. Unfortunately, I have to tell you that from a purely legal perspective, there are some real potential weaknesses to your claim. First, in our jurisdiction, employment is called "at-will." That means that, in general, an employer can fire an employee for a good reason, a bad reason, or no reason whatsoever, so long as that reason was not discrimination on the basis of something like race, gender, or age. Now I know that you believe that you were in fact discriminated against,
but our challenge will be how to prove that in a court of law. We will need to present your case using the witnesses and documents we can find. The reality is that some of those witnesses may not tell the truth, because they will be afraid of losing their own jobs. And, even if helpful documents exist, they are in the company's files and realistically may not be accessible to us. So, we have to assess the positives and negatives of bringing a claim in light of these factors. I am certainly not saying you should not bring a lawsuit. Indeed, I am more than ready to represent you, should you decide to make that choice. But, I want you to think through the likely benefits and risks carefully and make the decision you think will be best for you.

The psychologically attuned attorney will need to try to assess her client's individual needs, and will recognize that advice that might be too negative for one client will be appropriate for another. Also, the attorney must listen carefully to the client to discern whether she has or has not heard the downsides previously stated by the attorney. Once the client has processed the negative information, repeating it may not be necessary and may just harm the rapport between the attorney and client. But, if the client has apparently failed to hear the risks, the attorney may need to restate them in a way that the client can more fully understand.515

The attorney also needs to be careful in the way that she frames a client's options. We have seen that the attractiveness of a particular option varies substantially depending upon the way it is presented.516 Grouping one option with certain others may lessen or heighten its attractiveness.517 Characterizing an option as a gain rather than a loss relative to the status quo will make that option more attractive.518 Similarly, the attorney needs to be careful about providing early assessments or other information that may tend to "anchor" clients' perceptions or expectations.519 So, what is the conscientious, psychologically aware attorney to do? One temptation may be to take a vow of silence, in that astute attorneys will realize that they cannot help but influence their clients every time they open their mouths. Yet, silence is not an option because the attorney has been hired to provide good counsel. Thus, our best advice is that the attorney be conscious of the significance of the terms she uses, and try to vary her advice accordingly. To avoid undue anchoring, for example, the attorney can be somewhat cautious as she makes predictions, particularly early in the representation. Where

515 See supra Part III.E.5.
516 See supra Part III.H.4.
517 See id.
518 See id.
519 See supra Part III.B.2.
options can be presented as either gains or losses, the attorney could present
them in both lights.

As clients respond to the advice afforded by their attorneys, psychologically educated attorneys can be prepared to address common
phenomena that may affect the client's decisions: a tendency to gather
irrelevant information,\textsuperscript{520} difficulty predicting future emotional reactions
generally,\textsuperscript{521} and a tendency to make decisions based on regret aversion.\textsuperscript{522}
The psychologically attuned attorney can try to counter all of these
phenomena by addressing them head on.\textsuperscript{523}

Counseling clients involves, in part, acting as a teacher. Thus, the vast
psychological literature on learning theory is highly relevant to attorneys.
That literature shows that people learn in different ways, and that effective
teachers use multiple methods to convey their messages.\textsuperscript{524} Thus, whereas
the typical attorney may rely substantially on oral communication with the
client, the psychologically attuned attorney will know to use different
approaches as well. Good teachers also know that important lessons often
need to be repeated, so attorneys should not assume that their clients heard
and retained everything that was said at a prior session.

V. CONCLUSION

It has been said that "neither LAW nor HUMAN NATURE is an exact
science,\textsuperscript{525} and surely many lawyers would agree. Nonetheless, the science
of psychology has much to contribute to the art of legal practice and to the
craft of interviewing and counseling clients. While we cannot provide an
exact recipe for success, we hope that by reminding lawyers of the
importance of psychological science and by highlighting some critical
insights offered by that field, we have provided the tools to improve their
representation of clients.

\textsuperscript{520} See supra Part III.H.3.
\textsuperscript{521} See supra Part III.H.5.
\textsuperscript{522} See supra Part III.H.6.
\textsuperscript{523} See supra Part III.H.3.
\textsuperscript{524} See supra Part III.E.5.
\textsuperscript{525} HARRIS'S HINTS ON ADVOCACY 316 (George W. Keeton ed., 14th ed. 1911).
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