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Imagine that you are a District Attorney, prosecuting a defendant for molesting his six-year-old niece, Annie. The defendant initially confessed, but later recanted. To convict him, you need Annie’s testimony because she is the crime’s only witness and she is also the sole victim. At trial, Annie climbs the tall steps to the witness stand and promises to tell the truth. She looks very tiny in the witness chair. She keeps glancing nervously at the defense table, where her uncle sits beside his lawyer. You walk toward Annie, simultaneously positioning yourself to block her view of the defendant. While you question her, Annie appears scared and her voice quakes, but she answers unhesitatingly. You thank her, and then state, “No further questions, your Honor.”

Defense counsel, rather than approach the witness stand, strategically remains seated beside the defendant, and begins to gruffly cross-examine Annie, who grows increasingly upset at the sight of her uncle. She takes longer and longer to answer each question; her voice gets softer and softer. Annie suddenly begins to shake and cry, and then hides under the witness stand, sobbing.1

This Article discusses anxiety in the context of children who testify in legal proceedings. Anxiety2 harms children and impairs their testimony, which in turn subverts justice; therefore, any lawyer who interacts with a child witness should strive to reduce the child’s anxiety. Recognizing this issue’s importance, United States Supreme Court Justices William H. Rehnquist and Harry A. Blackmun cautioned:

[T]he fear and trauma associated with a child’s testimony in front of the defendant have two serious identifiable consequences: They may cause psychological injury to the child, and they may so overwhelm the child as to prevent the possibility of effective testimony, thereby undermining the truth-finding function of the trial itself.3

This Article establishes that lawyers have a particular obligation to lessen anxiety in child witnesses: doing so reduces harm to children, promotes more effective testimony, and supports justice. Most important, it offers reliable strategies to help lawyers accomplish this goal. Accordingly, Section I exam-

---

1 This is based on a true story. The defendant was convicted; Annie (not her real name) now lives with a foster family, and is reportedly doing well. Interview with Alexandra Varela, law student, William S. Boyd Sch. of Law at Univ. of Nev., in Las Vegas, Nev. (Oct. 10, 2012).
2 To avoid redundancy, the author uses the words “anxiety,” “stress,” and “distress” synonymously throughout this Article.
ines the evolution of children as witnesses; Section II explores precisely how anxiety breeds harm; Section III evaluates why lawyers, despite criticism, should strive to reduce anxiety in child witnesses; and Section IV focuses on specific pre-trial strategies that typically diminish anxiety in children.4

I. THE EVOLUTION OF CHILDREN AS WITNESSES

Countless lawyers interact with child witnesses, whose prevalence in the American justice system is both firmly entrenched and likely to continue. In 1779, English common law established that witnesses need not meet a minimum age requirement.5 Contrastingly, the United States nearly barred children from the courtroom6 until 1975, when a new evidence rule7 dramatically changed the role of child witnesses. Still good law, Federal Rule of Evidence 601 presumes all witnesses competent, regardless of age. Following Congress’s lead, several states initiated similar laws in the 1980s,8 paving the way for considerably more children to testify. In 1985, the United States Supreme Court upheld Rule 601, allowing a five-year-old boy to testify in a murder trial.9 Some jurisdictions now allow testimony from minors as young as three years old.10

Consequently, millions of child witnesses participate in the U.S. legal system each year.11 They contribute in a wide variety of legal settings, and frequently play an integral role in divorce, custody, child protection, and criminal proceedings.12 Children therefore appear regularly in family, juvenile, and criminal courts.13 Sometimes, like Annie, they testify as a crime’s sole witness or victim.14 In such cases, definitive physical evidence and adult witnesses rarely exist: justice only occurs with the child’s assistance.15

Several factors support the likelihood that countless children will continue to testify. For example, society generally seeks to punish guilty parties, which requires testimony from all relevant witnesses, including minors.16 Further, the Supreme Court decided in 2004 that children who testify in criminal trials must

4 This Article broadly covers pre-trial strategies while purposefully excluding specific interview and examination questions, topics on which plentiful literature exists. Practitioners should check current applicable laws before following suggestions.
7 FED. R. EVID. 601 (“Every person is competent to be a witness . . . .”)
8 Goodman et al., supra note 6, at 255.
10 E-mail from Rebecca Nathanson, Ph.D., James Rogers Professor of Educ. & Law, William S. Boyd Sch. of Law, Univ. of Nev., in Las Vegas, Nev. to author (Nov. 1, 2013 11:20 PST) (on file with author).
13 Id.
15 See Quas & Goodman, supra note 11, at 406.
16 See WRIGHTSMAN ET AL., supra note 14, at 189.
appear in court rather than via previously recorded video. In addition, national and judicial bar associations uniformly emphasize the importance of children’s testimony, especially in cases of alleged child abuse or neglect.

Moreover, many people believe that courts must allow children to testify for the sake of the children’s psychological health. For instance, the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child guarantees children the right to be heard in any proceeding that affects them. Psychologists and legal scholars also recognize that children deserve to verbalize their experiences. Perhaps this belief was best expressed by a child witness who proclaimed, “[a]ll I ever wanted was to be heard and not just dismissed.” Children who do not testify sometimes suffer adverse emotions, such as feeling less empowered. They may describe the legal system as less fair, leading them to have “long-term negative attitudes about the legal system’s responsiveness.” Conversely, some children find testifying therapeutic.

II. HOW ANXIETY BREEDS HARM

This section explains how anxiety harms children, how testifying induces anxiety, and how anxiety-impaired testimony impedes the administration of justice. While most evidence of anxiety’s effects on children originates from child sexual abuse trials, one can reasonably infer that anxiety similarly affects children in most types of legal proceedings.

17 Crawford v. Washington, 541 U.S. 36, 68–69 (2004) (holding that such measures are necessary to preserve a criminal defendant’s Sixth Amendment confrontation rights).
20 See Quas & Goodman, supra note 11, at 406.
21 Khoury, supra note 18, at 145 (quoting a “[y]outh in foster care”).
22 See Quas & Goodman, supra note 11, at 404.
23 Jodi A. Quas et al., Childhood Sexual Assault Victims: Long-Term Outcomes After Testifying in Criminal Court, 70 MONOGRAPHS SOC’Y FOR RES. IN CHILD DEV. 1, 111 (2005).
25 See Goodman et al., supra note 6, at 264 (noting that children testify most often in child sexual abuse and sexual assault cases); see also Judith Daylen et al., Trauma, Trials, and Transformation: Guiding Sexual Assault Victims Through the Legal System and Beyond 23 (2006).

Unfortunately, children and youth make up the majority of sexual assault victims. While this group makes up only 20 percent of the population, they are victims in 60 percent of all reported sexual assaults. Records indicate that 60 percent of female victims and 80 percent of male victims are under the age of eighteen.

Id.
A. Anxiety Hurts Children

Generally, anxiety adversely affects a child’s social, emotional, and academic functioning.26 Furthermore, it may lead to substance abuse and inferior career achievement.27 Younger children risk more complications: early onset of anxiety is “likely to lead to a range of associated complications, including poor social skills, reduced social interactions, isolation, and poor coping strategies.”28 Left untreated, it appears highly correlated with depression: researchers report comorbidity rates as high as 60 to 70 percent.29

B. Testifying Induces Anxiety in Children

Anxiety occurs when a child worries about future or current events.30 Thus, the mere act of waiting for a trial to begin can cause anxiety. At least eight published studies confirm this phenomenon, called “anticipatory stress,” in child witnesses who await testifying.31 Corroborating the studies’ results, children often report that long pre-trial delays make them anxious.32

Once the wait is over, the trial itself produces more stress. Trials can intimidate and traumatize children.34 Trial-based sources of their anxiety include the courtroom environment, potential contact with the opposing party, and the child’s lack of legal knowledge, coupled with the duty to answer questions.35 Further, trials can trigger a child’s perceived fear that he or she will be punished for failing to perform well.36 Likewise, a trial’s adversarial nature typically evokes anxiety.37 This is particularly true for older children, who risk heightened anxiety: lawyers tend to cross-examine them more aggressively than they question younger children.38 Last, children who must proceed to trial

27 See Lianne J. Woodward & David M. Fergusson, Life Course Outcomes of Young People with Anxiety Disorders in Adolescence, 40 J. AM. ACAD. CHILD & ADOLESCENT PSYCHIATRY 1086, 1086 (2001) (“[A]dolescents with anxiety disorders are at an increased risk of subsequent anxiety, depression, illicit drug dependence, and educational underachievement as young adults.”).
30 See id. at 83.
35 See Flin, supra note 33, at 283.
37 See Batterman-Faunce & Goodman, supra note 24, at 324.
38 See Jones, supra note 34, at 57.
usually feel high uncertainty about what will happen and they feel less control over their environment; these feelings are markedly stressful for them. 39

One noteworthy study demonstrated how the courtroom setting alone creates high anxiety in child witnesses. First, researchers staged an event for two groups of children.40 Next, they asked Group A to convey the event in a private room, and Group B to convey it in a mock courtroom that contained observers.41 Group B reported much greater anxiety than Group A.42 They worried most about crying in court, others not believing them, and answering questions while strangers watched and listened.43

Perhaps most telling, when the same researchers conducted a similar study and tracked participants’ heart rates, they discovered a remarkable physiological difference: Group B displayed much greater heart rate variability.44 At rest, all participants’ heart rates averaged from 60 to 90 beats per minute,45 but during the exercise, Group A’s heart rates averaged between 60 to 120 beats per minute, while Group B’s heart rates averaged from 60 to over 240 beats per minute.46 So overall, the hearts of the children who appeared in the courtroom beat significantly faster than those who appeared in the private room, a result that is highly indicative of anxiety.47 Notably, the children who participated in the study did not testify about a traumatic event that they personally witnessed or experienced. It is probable that testifying about a personally traumatic event would add exponentially more anxiety; therefore, one can reasonably surmise that child witnesses withstand even greater anxiety than the research study participants.

C. Anxiety Impairs Testimony

“If justice is to be served,” one expert theorized, “children . . . need to participate in the legal process,”48 but whether a child participates effectively depends partly on how much anxiety the child endures.49 When anxiety nega-

---

41 Id. at 616.
42 Id. at 618.
43 Id. at 619.
45 Interview with Rebecca Nathanson, Ph.D., James Rogers Professor of Educ. & Law at William S. Boyd Sch. of Law at Univ. of Nev., in Las Vegas, Nev. (Oct. 18, 2012).
46 Id.
47 See Barry E. Hurwitz et al., Differential Patterns of Dynamic Cardiovascular Regulation as a Function of Task, 36 BIOLOGICAL PSYCHOL. 75, 75 (1993) (finding that “behavioral stressors elicited increases in blood pressure and heart rate”).
48 Quas & Goodman, supra note 11, at 408.
49 See Batterman-Faunce & Goodman, supra note 24, at 324; see also John E.B. Myers et al., Psychological Research on Children as Witnesses: Practical Implications for Forensic Interviews and Courtroom Testimony, 28 PAC. L.J. 3, 41 (1996) (suggesting that “emotional reactions and psychiatric symptoms may affect a child’s presentation in a pretrial interview or during courtroom testimony”).
tively impacts a child, it impairs the child’s ability to testify. This section explores precisely how anxiety impedes the judicial process: it impairs children’s testimony by hampering their testimonial performance, credibility, and memory.

1. Anxiety Damages Performance and Credibility

Anxious children typically encounter three automatic response patterns: behavioral, cognitive, and physiological. These responses often elicit escape and avoidance behavior, negative self-appraisals, and a wide array of physiological reactions. The physiological reactions include increased heart rate, rapid breathing, muscle tension, sweating, dry mouth, nausea, jumpiness, and flinching. Unfortunately, these automatic responses focus a child’s attention on the perceived danger, which compels the child to ignore other important information. For instance, a distressed child witness retains less cognitive capacity for the task at hand—testifying.

Anxiety also negatively affects a child’s trial performance, because anxious children can become tearful, ill, or inarticulate. They tend to display poorer free recall, answer questions less accurately, and produce more “I don’t know” responses. Their abilities to understand questions, remember relevant information, and follow counsel’s instructions are hindered. Additionally, they provide descriptions that are less complete. They can become preoccupied by intrusive thoughts about testifying, which initiates more inaccurate answers. They also acquiesce to misleading questions more frequently. The problem worsens for younger children, who might freeze and become unable to respond to even simple questions.

50 See Wilmshurst, supra note 29, at 83.
51 Id.; cf. Hall & Sales, supra note 32, at 183–84 (noting that some physical responses may appear to be caused by anxiety but are actually caused by other medical conditions, and these physical responses should be ruled out as anxiety-induced).
52 Wilmshurst, supra note 29, at 83.
53 See Daylen et al., supra note 25, at 42.
54 Hall & Sales, supra note 32, at 255.
56 See Daylen et al., supra note 25, at 42.
58 See Hall & Sales, supra note 32, at 188.
59 See Myers et al., supra note 49, at 70.
61 Robbenholdt & Sternlight, supra note 39, at 343.
62 Saywitz & Nathanson, supra note 40, at 617.
63 See Davis & O’Donohue, supra note 57, at 957–58.
64 Saywitz & Nathanson, supra note 40, at 617.
65 Jones, supra note 34, at 57.
66 See Robbenholdt & Sternlight, supra note 39, at 343 (citing Robert K. Bothwell & Mehri Jalil, The Credibility of Nervous Witnesses, 7 J. Soc. Behav. & Personality 581
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Troublingly, a child witness who is a victim of the underlying crime encounters at least three additional challenges. First, child victims suffer considerable anticipatory stress: studies of 574 victimized children showed that virtually all suffered significant anxiety before trial. Second, child victims experience heightened anxiety at trial. This is likely because they endure unique factors such as crime flashbacks, which can also block them from testifying effectively. Third, child victims must often withstand questions about sensitive, traumatic, or embarrassing events, causing compounded anxiety. Like other child witnesses, when victimized children exhibit symptoms of anxiety, they might appear highly reluctant or uncooperative, traits that may bode poorly at trial.

2. Anxiety Inhibits Memory

Most experts agree that stress negatively affects memory. Although rare discrepancies exist in the research, nearly 66 percent of specialists in the field of eyewitness testimony believe that anxiety negatively impacts memory accuracy. It also interferes with the ability to recall information at all: anxious children sometimes subconsciously reduce their effort and motivation until they can no longer recall the occasion under investigation. They may suffer impaired memory, and become inclined to recall events inaccurately or to develop distorted memories.

67 See supra note 31 and accompanying text.
70 DAYLEN ET AL., supra note 25, at 43–45.
71 Id. at 104.
72 See Batterman-Faunce & Goodman, supra note 24, at 305.
73 See Myers et al., supra note 49, at 41.
74 See Karen J. Saywitz, Improving Children’s Testimony: The Question, the Answer, and the Environment, in MEMORY AND TESTIMONY IN THE CHILD WITNESS 113, 135 (Maria S. Zaragoza et al. eds., 1995) (noting two studies that failed to “demonstrate a link between legal knowledge and memory performance”); see also Nathanson & Saywitz, supra note 44, at 86 (finding no evidence that heart rate variability constricts free recall).
75 See Saul M. Kassin et al., On the “General Acceptance” of Eyewitness Testimony Research: A New Survey of the Experts, 56 AM. PSYCHOLOGIST 405, 413 (2001). The 66 percent figure is the average percentage of two studies conducted, one conducted in 1989 and the other in 2001. Id.
76 See ROBBENNOLT & STERNLIGHT, supra note 39, at 332 (noting that if a “deponent is more than mildly upset . . . her emotion may interfere with her ability to remember events well or to recount them clearly”).
77 See Saywitz, supra note 74, at 135; see also supra notes 55–57 and accompanying text (describing how automatic physical responses to anxiety compel children to ignore important information).
78 See Nathanson & Saywitz, supra note 44, at 89–92.
Anxiety has a particular way of obstructing a child’s memory. When questioned, a distressed child’s focus involuntarily narrows to the questions, or to internal efforts to regulate his or her emotions.\textsuperscript{80} Thus, anxiety interferes with a child’s ability to be attentive, an important part of memory retrieval.\textsuperscript{81}

Although some researchers report that anxiety can have beneficial effects on memory, the effects tend to be limited\textsuperscript{82} and largely outweighed by detrimental consequences.\textsuperscript{83}

The nexus between anxiety and memory was illustrated in the previously noted study that compared children who conveyed a staged event in a private room to those who conveyed it in a courtroom—the courtroom group recalled only half as much information.\textsuperscript{84} Even more disturbing, significantly more children in the courtroom group failed to recall any part of the staged event at all.\textsuperscript{85} When highly anxious children attempt to articulate their memories, they also function less efficiently and less accurately.\textsuperscript{86} Naturally, testifying requires a child to both recall and verbalize memories, so anxiety’s effects on memory may devastate a case’s outcome.

\textbf{D. Anxiety Subverts Justice}

As Justices Rehnquist and Blackmun acknowledged, a child witness’s anxiety can undermine the trial’s truth-finding function.\textsuperscript{87} The Justices almost certainly recognized that anxiety’s undesirable impact on performance, credibility, and memory may render a child incapable of testifying effectively, or unable to testify at all. Moreover, prosecutors who lack strong witnesses may be forced to file less serious charges, enter inequitable plea negotiations, or forgo filing any charges at all.\textsuperscript{88} Similarly, fact-finders who lack effective testimonial evidence might reach unfair verdicts or impose more lenient penalties. Conversely, defendants who lack exculpatory witnesses could become more disposed to accept unfair plea agreements or risk harsher charges and penalties. When a trial outcome rests solely on a child’s testimony, which occurs frequently, especially in child sexual abuse trials, the child’s anxiety is particularly consequential.\textsuperscript{89}

\begin{footnotes}
\textsuperscript{80} See Davis & O’Donohue, \textit{supra} note 57, at 957–58.
\textsuperscript{81} Id. at 958.
\textsuperscript{82} See Brian H. Bornstein & Timothy R. Robicheaux, \textit{Methodological Issues in the Study of Eyewitness Memory and Arousal}, 42 \textit{CREIGHTON L. REV.} 525, 534 (2009) (finding that anxious witnesses tend to remember main events but forget forensically significant peripheral details).
\textsuperscript{83} See \textit{supra} Part II.A (describing the adverse effects of anxiety on children).
\textsuperscript{84} Nathanson & Saywitz, \textit{supra} note 44, at 89; see also \textit{supra} Part II.B (discussing the private room versus courtroom study).
\textsuperscript{85} Nathanson & Saywitz, \textit{supra} note 44, at 89.
\textsuperscript{86} See Laura Visu-Petra et al., \textit{Effects of Anxiety on Memory Storage and Updating in Young Children}, 35 \textit{INT’L J. BEHAV. DEV.} 38, 38, 44 (2011).
\textsuperscript{87} See \textit{supra} note 3 and accompanying text.
\textsuperscript{88} See John E. B. Myers et al., \textit{Jurors’ Perceptions of Hearsay in Child Sexual Abuse Cases}, 5 \textit{PSYCHOL. PUB. POL’.Y & L.} 388, 411 (1999) (noting that “prosecutors are reluctant to take child sexual abuse cases to trial unless the victim is available to testify”).
\textsuperscript{89} See \textit{WRIGHTSMAN ET AL.}, \textit{supra} note 14, at 191.
\end{footnotes}
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III. WHY LAWYERS, DESPITE CRITICISM, SHOULD ATTEMPT TO REDUCE ANXIETY IN CHILD WITNESSES

Despite evidence that anxiety adversely affects children, children’s testimony, and the judicial process, critics typically argue against reducing anxiety in child witnesses for three primary reasons. First, critics complain that teaching anti-anxiety strategies causes delay. This criticism, however, should be weighed against the havoc that anxiety inflicts on case outcomes and on children. Anxiety’s consequences can undermine a child’s welfare for years, contravening the core principal of family law: that a child’s best interest—not time or money—is the single most important consideration in cases involving children. Accordingly, the Supreme Court recognizes that a child’s well-being sometimes outweighs a defendant’s Sixth Amendment rights. Critics should therefore excuse any minor delays when lawyers help alleviate anxiety’s debilitating effects on cases and on children.

Second, critics argue that not all anxiety is inherently bad: sometimes it evokes positive responses from fact-finders. For instance, a child who is noticeably upset while testifying may be more persuasive because some jurors deem children who cry or otherwise manifest emotion while testifying as more believable. This indicates that a certain level of anxiety can be more advantageous than detrimental.

A recent occurrence illustrates the notion that some anxiety enhances a child’s testimonial credibility: during a bench trial, when the defendant, a man accused of molesting his young son, entered the courtroom, the boy immediately began to sob. The son’s emotional reaction, in addition to his testimony, helped convince the judge to find the defendant guilty. Critics who prefer that child witnesses retain a slight bit of anxiety for credibility purposes should consider that most lawyers do not possess the resources to completely eliminate a child’s anxiety. Even if a lawyer could entirely erase a child’s anxiety, the lawyer ought to strive for a balanced witness instead: one who can competently testify while still exhibiting some emotion.

Third, critics contend that not all anxious child witnesses experience adverse effects. This may be true; some children probably survive severe stress without difficulty. Nonetheless, this argument fails to obviate the value of helping children who are harmed.

Because reduced anxiety diminishes harm to children, elicits better testimony, and facilitates justice, lawyers should seek opportunities to reduce anxiety in child witnesses when feasible.

90 See supra Part II.D.
91 See supra notes 26–29 and accompanying text.
93 See ROBBENNOLT & STERNLIGHT, supra note 39, at 345.
94 See id. at 63, 345.
95 Flin, supra note 33, at 287.
96 See Myers et al., supra note 88, at 407.
97 Interview with Alexandra Varela, supra note 1.
98 Id.
99 Batterman-Faunce & Goodman, supra note 24, at 313.
IV. STRATEGIES THAT REDUCE ANXIETY IN CHILD WITNESSES

Numerous strategies tend to minimize anxiety in child witnesses. The sheer number of available tactics can seem overwhelming, especially to lawyers, who generally lack both time and psychology training. However, lawyers ought to remember that children benefit from any efforts that can lessen the negative aspects of testifying. To help, lawyers should implement as many of the following strategies as possible: 1) provide legal system education; 2) conduct desensitization training; 3) teach coping methods; 4) foster child-focused communication; 5) schedule interactions at appropriate times; 6) recruit a support person; and 7) request particular trial procedures. These strategies, especially in the aggregate, effectively reduce anxiety in child witnesses. Where contradictory research exists, this Article examines it; however, abundant research confirms that these techniques combat anxiety and benefit children.

A. PROVIDE LEGAL SYSTEM EDUCATION

Child witnesses who learn about the judicial system before they testify encounter less anxiety, partly because they know what to expect. Stated slightly differently, education demystifies their experience. To illustrate, a study of 186 child witnesses found that those who better understood the legal system expressed the least anxiety about testifying. Understanding the legal system also helps children respond to questions. Specifically, children who acquire legal knowledge produce greater recall and fewer “I don’t know” responses. This is because legal knowledge decreases distraction and confusion, so it frees a child’s attentional resources to better serve memory demands.

Conversely, a lack of legal knowledge harms children: those who do not understand what is expected of them report more anxiety. They tend to retain unrealistic expectations and beliefs, which originate from their unfamiliarity with the courtroom. For example, when one study’s participants were asked

100 Sherrie Bourg Carter, Children in the Courtroom: Challenges for Lawyers and Judges 132 (2d ed. 2009).
101 Notably, lawyers should sometimes direct parties to seek outside support. Credible websites such as the American Psychological Association’s www.apahelpcenter.org is an excellent source. See Daylen et al., supra note 25, at 215.
102 See Gail S. Goodman et al., Face-to-Face Confrontation: Effects of Closed-Circuit Technology on Children’s Eyewitness Testimony and Jurors’ Decisions, 22 LAW & HUM. BEHAV. 165, 188 (1998) (“[C]hildren with a better understanding of the legal system expressed the least anxiety about taking the stand.”); but see Nathanson & Saywitz, supra note 44, at 87 (finding “no evidence that legal knowledge and past court experience . . . decreased anxiety”).
103 See Carter, supra note 100, at 132.
104 See Nathanson & Saywitz, supra note 44, at 93.
105 Goodman et al., supra note 102, at 173, 188.
106 Nathanson & Saywitz, supra note 44, at 86–87.
107 Id. at 93.
108 Id. at 85.
109 Karen J. Saywitz & Lynn Snyder, Improving Children’s Testimony with Preparation, in Child Victims, Child Witnesses, supra note 24, at 117, 121.
what they thought might happen in court, some children believed they would go to jail if they answered a question incorrectly, or that an opposing party might be allowed to yell at them.\(^{110}\) One child assumed that “[c]ourt is a room you pass through on your way to jail.”\(^{111}\) Judges’ robes remind some children of priests, witches, or vampires.\(^{112}\) These misperceptions interfere with effective testimony.\(^{113}\)

A lawyer can help by personally educating a child witness or, where available, sending the child to a program that educates children about court. Court-education programs target anticipatory stress and provide beneficial support,\(^{114}\) improving outcomes for child witnesses.\(^{115}\) Because they understand the legal system better, child witnesses who attend the programs gain confidence\(^ {116}\) and enhanced trial performance.

Distinguished child-witness expert Rebecca Nathanson, Ph.D., created one such program, called “Kids’ Court School” (KCS), which she has directed for over ten years at the William S. Boyd School of Law at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas.\(^ {117}\) At KCS, law-student volunteers educate child witnesses about the legal system, teach several anxiety-reduction techniques, and conduct mock trials to allow children to practice testifying about facts that are unrelated to their actual cases.\(^ {118}\) Consequently, KCS, which acts as a neutral third party, decreases the system-induced anxiety often associated with participation in the judicial process.\(^ {119}\)

Dr. Nathanson maintains that if anxiety vitiates memory, one can reasonably surmise that KCS strengthens a child’s testimonial completeness and accuracy, as well.\(^ {120}\) Anecdotally, she regularly receives reports from legal professionals who verify that children who attend the program fare much better in court than those who do not.\(^ {121}\) The program is so impactful, some Nevada judges require child witnesses to attend KCS before they testify.\(^ {122}\) In further

---


111 Id.


113 See Myers et al., supra note 49, at 69.

114 HALL & SALES, supra note 32, at 67.


118 See REBECCA NATHANSON & KAREN SAYWITZ, ELEMENTARY CURRICULUM: KIDS’ COURT SCHOOL 37 (2012).


120 Id.

121 Id.

122 Based on the author’s personal experience as Co-Coordinator of the Kids’ Court School at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas William S. Boyd School of Law during 2012 and
support, Harvard University recently recognized KCS as one of the most exemplary programs in the nation. 123

Similar court education programs for children continue to emerge across the country. 124 Several county governments consider them so beneficial that they fund them. 125 Whether a lawyer utilizes a program like KCS or personally conducts training, a child’s expectations and anxiety can be better managed if the child generally understands what happens in court and by whom. For instance, children should know how the courtroom will look, who will attend the trial, where each person will sit, and what each person’s role entails. 126 To best explain, a lawyer might show the child a photo or display of a typical courtroom. KCS uses a dollhouse-like miniature courtroom, replete with small wooden figures that represent the primary court actors. 127

Lawyers should also define or clarify basic legal terms that children often hear in court, such as “witness,” “accuse,” “investigation,” “defendant,” “oath,” and “verdict.” 128 Moreover, lawyers should explain that judges and juries, who do not favor either party, are there to listen, and only find defendants guilty if the evidence proves guilt. 129

Additionally, because children benefit when they know what to expect, a lawyer should warn the child that family members might not be allowed in the courtroom while the child testifies. 130 Likewise, lawyers should advise children that legal proceedings routinely get delayed, 131 and that it sometimes takes years to resolve a legal matter. Last, they should caution children that numerous appearances are likely; for example, child witnesses may need to appear at a number of interviews and depositions, not just the trial itself.

Some critics claim that instead of helping, increased knowledge underscores the seriousness of court, leading to increased anxiety. 132 Although this concern has some merit, the benefits of legal system education outweigh the risks. Beside the previously mentioned benefits, another is that children who obtain legal education often acquire enhanced memory performance. 133 Other

2013, under the supervision of Rebecca Nathanson, Ph.D., a recognized expert and author on the topic of educating child witnesses about court.

---

123 Boyd’s Kids’ Court School Wins Bright Idea Award, supra note 117.
124 Ellis, supra note 116, at 10.
126 Daylen et al., supra note 25, at 279; see also Schetky & Benedek, supra note 69, at 258 (noting that the lawyer or trial prosecutor should orient the child to the courtroom layout, the participants, and procedures the child will observe).
127 See supra note 122.
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critics allege that no anxiety can be just as troublesome as too much\textsuperscript{134}: over-prepared witnesses may become overconfident,\textsuperscript{135} which makes them appear insincere.\textsuperscript{136} Yet, jurors might discount the testimony of under-confident child witnesses, which is equally problematic.\textsuperscript{137} Given the importance of protecting children against harm, promoting better testimony, and furthering fair adjudication, most people would likely agree that lawyers should provide child witnesses with some pre-trial education about the legal system.

B. Conduct Desensitization Training

Another strategy, desensitization, helps children overcome irrational fears.\textsuperscript{138} Desensitization is a cognitive behavioral therapy that may mitigate or eliminate undesirable psychological, emotional, and behavioral reactions to a stimulus.\textsuperscript{139} If a person’s fears stem from an unavoidable task, the strategy can be exceptionally effective.\textsuperscript{140} Because child witnesses usually cannot avoid testifying, it follows that this technique would assist them.

Although similar to education, desensitization is more hands-on. It works by first gradually exposing a child to a feared event, like testifying.\textsuperscript{141} Then it eases the association between the event and the child’s negative emotions, such as anxiety and apprehension, by replacing them with positive ones, such as reassurance and relaxation.\textsuperscript{142} Desensitization succeeds because people gain knowledge more easily through concrete, rather than abstract, learning.\textsuperscript{143}

To illustrate, children who face unfamiliar, frightening medical procedures suffer less anxiety when they are first desensitized and then taught coping methods based on increased knowledge of the upcoming procedure.\textsuperscript{144} One can reasonably infer that a child’s fear of medical procedures is similar to a child’s fear of legal proceedings: desensitization, coupled with coping strategies, should similarly alleviate a child witness’s distress.\textsuperscript{145}

\textsuperscript{134} Some anxiety can boost credibility and otherwise help persuade fact-finders. See supra notes 93–98 and accompanying text.
\textsuperscript{135} See ROBBENNOLT & STERNLIGHT, supra note 39, at 344.
\textsuperscript{136} See id. at 338.
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\textsuperscript{139} See id.; THOMAS J. HUBERTY, ANXIETY AND DEPRESSION IN CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS: ASSESSMENT, INTERVENTION, AND PREVENTION 255 (2012) (“The primary premise [of exposing a child to anxiety-producing stimuli] is that systematic, graded exposure to the anxiety-producing event improves the ability to develop coping strategies to reduce anxiety.”); see also Brendan Gail Rule & Tamara J. Ferguson, The Effects of Media Violence on Attitudes, Emotions, and Cognitions, 42 J. Soc. Issues 29, 42 (1986) (finding that “repeated exposure [to media violence] leads to declines in physiological arousal and decreases in the intensity of self-reported emotions”).
\textsuperscript{140} See HUBERTY, supra note 139, at 255.
\textsuperscript{141} WILMSHURST, supra note 29, at 88.
\textsuperscript{142} Id.
\textsuperscript{143} See ROBBENNOLT & STERNLIGHT, supra note 39, at 167.
\textsuperscript{144} Saywitz, supra note 112, at 132. For a discussion on coping methods, see infra Part IV.C.
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motes higher-quality children’s testimony because children who appear in recognizable settings display less anxiety. Moreover, when children see familiar people in recognizable surroundings, they relax and exhibit improved information-processing and communication skills. Accordingly, this section explores two targeted desensitization tactics that help child witnesses: perform a mock trial and encourage court visits.

1. Perform a Mock Trial

Because child witnesses learn better in environments similar to the ones in which they will testify, mock trials are particularly useful to reduce their anxiety. Lawyers who perform mock trials effectuate less fear, more knowledge, and better testimony from child witnesses. Likewise, lawyers who simulate other proceedings, such as depositions, can reasonably expect their efforts to benefit children and their testimony.

For maximum effectiveness, the mock trial should occur in a real courtroom or similarly situated room, and the lawyer should tailor explanations to the child’s comprehension level. Accomplished by simulating interactions, role-playing supplants mere conversation because children who become familiar with courtroom procedures can confront and conquer their court-related fears. Role-playing has various advantages: it desensitizes a child to different court actors and their functions, it familiarizes them with testifying, and it acclimates them to the notion that numerous people may attend the trial. Further, role-playing allows children to practice under pressure, which has been proven in other contexts to enhance performance. It also mitigates the negative effects of lengthy trials.

Mock trials should involve multiple parties. The more participants, the better the simulation, as many people might attend the actual trial. Participants can play lawyers, the opposing party, the judge, and any jurors. Ideally, participants should include a costumed judge and marshal, to help desensitize children
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who fear uniformed authority figures.\textsuperscript{155} If enough people participate, the lawyer can demonstrate direct and cross-examinations, objections, rulings, and the verdict.\textsuperscript{156}

To prevent possible sanctions or prejudicial error, a lawyer who conducts a mock trial must avoid improperly coaching the child to give specific answers in court. One way to preclude inadvertent coaching is to use unrelated, hypothetical facts during the mock trial, such as facts from a familiar fairy tale.\textsuperscript{157} Lawyers should also explain that mock trials are just for practice, and verify that children understand they must tell the truth in actual court, not testify about made-up facts or fairy tales like they may in mock trials.\textsuperscript{158} Similarly, lawyers should allow children to \textit{hear} the oath during mock trials,\textsuperscript{159} but should not ask children to \textit{take} the oath because that could confuse child witnesses into thinking it is acceptable to pretend in court.\textsuperscript{160} Another benefit of mock trials: lawyers can use them to assess children’s anxiety and encourage child witnesses to practice and use coping methods.\textsuperscript{161}

While critics may object to any delays caused by mock trials, judicial efficiency will almost certainly offset the small time investment, because less anxious children usually require fewer breaks and adjournments.\textsuperscript{162} Additionally, critics could allege that a mock trial tends to prejudice a child against the opposing party, but a 1991 study confirmed that no such prejudicial effect typically occurs.\textsuperscript{163} To further allay any apprehension about alleged bias, the lawyer can ask a third-party neutral, such as a court-education program,\textsuperscript{164} to administer the mock trial using a generic curriculum approved by both parties. Last, to dispel any concerns about expense, the lawyer may conduct the mock trial on his own, or seek organizations that offer free programs for child witnesses.\textsuperscript{165}

2. \textit{Encourage Court Visits}

The courtroom layout alone heightens anxiety,\textsuperscript{166} so a child witness should visit a courtroom before testifying. Ideal visits include both a tour and observation of an actual proceeding. Compellingly, child psychiatrists recommend routine courtroom tours for all children who must testify.\textsuperscript{167} It helps if a child visits a vacant courtroom, where the child can get oriented to the space.\textsuperscript{168}

\textsuperscript{155} See supra notes 112–13 and accompanying text (discussing how some children, when they see judges’ robes, are reminded of priests, witches, or vampires, and how such misperceptions interfere with effective testimony).
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and freely explore the room. 169 In a national study of 153 district attorneys’ offices, children who toured courtrooms before their court appearances were more comfortable and less anxious when they eventually testified. 170

Similarly, children who observe court proceedings prior to their own ultimately encounter less anxiety. 171 Whether a child views a trial related or unrelated to his or her case does not matter; either is ordinarily beneficial. 172 To maximize the benefits, the child should observe a proceeding in the courtroom where he or she will testify. 173 A lawyer must also consider timing: the visit should be near the trial’s start date to reduce anticipatory stress, 174 but not too near, because the child needs time to assimilate the new information before testifying. 175 If a time constraint or lack of opportunity prevents observation of a live proceeding, the lawyer can instruct the child to view a trial on television or video instead, but only if the lawyer has previewed and approved the material beforehand.

C. Teach Coping Methods

Even knowledgeable adults and expert witnesses occasionally battle anxiety when they interact with lawyers. Unsurprisingly, children who experience anxiety and who usually lack strategies for coping with emotions, typically perform poorly in legal settings. 176 Like legal-system education, coping methods ease stress, diminish fears, and improve testimony 177 because they tend to alleviate uncertainty and enhance feelings of control in children. 178 Although numerous methods exist, at a minimum, lawyers should implement one or more of the following fast, simple techniques: 1) practice deep breathing; 2) instill positivity; and 3) explain how to answer troublesome questions. Though these tactics must be taught before trial, lawyers should continuously encourage children to practice and employ them before and during all proceedings.

1. Practice Deep Breathing

Most people breathe faster and shallower when anxious. 179 This generates more anxiety and even shallower breathing, which creates a vicious cycle. 180 To break the cycle, lawyers should teach children to breathe deeply, which

---

169 Id.
170 Goodman et al., supra note 6, at 255, 267.
171 SCHETKY & BENEDEK, supra note 69, at 258.
172 But see DAYLEN ET AL., supra note 25, at 236 (suggesting that sexual assault victims watch unrelated trials to prepare for trial).
173 SCHETKY & BENEDEK, supra note 69, at 258.
174 Id.; see also supra notes 30–33 and accompanying text (discussing anticipatory stress in children awaiting trial).
175 SCHETKY & BENEDEK, supra note 69, at 258.
176 Saywitz & Snyder, supra note 109, at 122.
177 See Flin, supra note 33, at 286–87.
178 DAYLEN ET AL., supra note 25, at 138.
179 Id. at 145.
180 Id.
produces a calming effect. Because deep breathing induces relaxation, it reduces anxiety and helps prevent panic. 

Lawyers should instruct children to breathe deeply whenever they want to calm themselves, especially during any interviews, depositions, and in-court examinations. A lawyer might effectively teach this to a child by first demonstrating how to slowly and audibly breathe in through the nose and out through the mouth. The lawyer should then ask the child to try it, while explaining that deep breathing looks just like “smelling roses” and “blowing out candles.”

2. **Instill Positivity Through Positive Self-Talk, Visual Imagery, and Comfort Objects**

Thoughts greatly influence emotions, and negative emotions substantially correlate with anxiety. A lawyer can therefore lessen a child’s anxiety if the lawyer helps the child replace negative emotions with positive ones. Introducing positive self-talk, visual imagery, and comfort objects facilitates positivity in children who must testify.

Lawyers should encourage child witnesses to think positively because children who engage in excessive undesirable thoughts, also known as negative “self-talk,” perpetuate harmful cycles of anxiety and negative expectations. Of course, negative feelings are not conducive to successful testimony. Because anxious children often feel worthless, sad, helpless, and hopeless, it follows that child witnesses who replace negative self-talk with positive self-talk perform better because they feel less anxious. So lawyers should instruct children to pay attention to their negative thoughts, and teach children how to change them. To illustrate, the lawyer can explain that when the child catches himself or herself thinking a negative thought, the child should first think of a transition word, such as “Oops!” Then the child ought to replace the negative thought with an affirmative one. For example, when a child thinks, “I’m scared; I can’t answer questions,” the child should first think, “Oops!” Then the child should purposefully think a positive thought, such as “I am okay; I can do this.”
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Lawyers could also invite children to try visual imagery, a form of relaxed, focused concentration prompted by asking children to imagine a favorite place or activity.\textsuperscript{191} By distracting children, visual imagery enhances relaxation. It helps quell children’s fears and allows them to feel safe.\textsuperscript{192} In support, researchers who studied children with recurrent abdominal pain found that those who practiced visual imagery experienced less discomfort.\textsuperscript{193} One can reasonably expect visualization to produce similar positive results in a legal context.

To teach visual imagery to children, lawyers can use this expert-endorsed script: “Relax for a few minutes and imagine a happy, comfortable place. This place may be a place you have been before or a place you would like to go.”\textsuperscript{194} Some experts suggest that children imagine an enjoyable holiday gathering.\textsuperscript{195} For instance, when a child witness feels anxious, the lawyer might ask the child to visualize a favorite vacation or birthday party.

Further, lawyers can instruct child witnesses to bring and hold comfort objects when they testify: such items can help calm frightened or nervous children.\textsuperscript{196} To augment emotional security, the child should bring something to court that he or she associates with happiness and safety.\textsuperscript{197} Typical comfort objects include a favorite toy, stuffed animal, or small blanket.\textsuperscript{198} Depending on the child, it could be an item blessed by a spiritual leader\textsuperscript{199} or a loved one’s photo. Comfort objects benefit children in unfamiliar or intimidating situations like court because children generally feel stronger or more supported when holding them.\textsuperscript{200} More than mere toys, comfort items replicate a caregiver’s soothing abilities,\textsuperscript{201} helping to calm anxious child witnesses.\textsuperscript{202}

3. Explain How to Answer Troublesome Questions

Witnesses who are told they may exercise different responses when they testify exhibit less anxiety and more accuracy.\textsuperscript{204} Because guessing can provide
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new but incorrect information, lawyers should explain that children must not guess when they testify. They should clarify that it is acceptable to answer “I don’t know” or “I don’t remember” while under oath, as long the answer is truthful.

Similarly, children who truthfully reply, “I don’t understand,” “I don’t get it,” or “I don’t know what you mean,” demonstrate better testimonial performance. Thus, a lawyer should instruct a child to tell an interviewer if a question is unclear, which will help generate more accurate answers. Lawyers should employ this strategy sparingly, however. Some children cope with overwhelming emotions by shutting down while testifying, either falling into silence or into a series of answers such as “I don’t know.” Although children may simply fall silent because they feel overwhelmingly anxious, fact-finders might misinterpret these responses as denials or recantations.

D. Foster Child-Focused Communication

The reliability of a child witness’s testimony relies heavily on the quality of contact between the child and lawyer. To improve testimonial reliability, a lawyer ought to strive to improve communication through the following techniques: 1) build rapport and trust; 2) practice perspective-taking; 3) attend to nonverbal cues; 4) use props; and 5) enforce limits.

1. Build Rapport and Trust

Although children rarely interact with lawyers as the result of a pleasant event, they feel more relaxed when they sense rapport and trust. Rapport between lawyers and child witnesses improves testimonial accuracy, and trust helps establish good communication. Trusting relationships also help relieve children’s anxiety. A lawyer who wants to help build rapport and trust with a child witness can implement these strategies: create a child-friendly environment; aim for appropriate tone, questions, and physical space; and practice positive reinforcement.
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Child-friendly environments include cheerfully painted walls, plentiful lighting, and comfortable furniture.\footnote{Lynn W. England & Charles L. Thompson, \textit{Counseling Child Sexual Abuse Victims: Myths and Realities}, 66 J. COUNSELING & DEV. 370, 372 (1988).} Props such as age-appropriate toys, dolls, puppets, and art supplies similarly assist.\footnote{Id.} During conversations, when the child must remain attentive,\footnote{Id.} the lawyer should only introduce simple items. Video games, for instance, would probably hinder more than help.

Along with the setting, the lawyer’s tone affects anxiety and responsiveness.\footnote{Helene M. Snyder & Susan A. McDaniels, \textit{Effectively Representing Children}, CHI. BAR. ASS’N REC. 34, 35 (2000).} Warm, friendly interactions foster rapport and trust, reducing anxiety by facilitating better communication.\footnote{See James M. Wood et al., \textit{Suggestions for Improving Interviews in Child Protection Agencies}, 1 CHILD MALTREATMENT 223, 228 (1996); see also Kee MacFarlane & Sandy Krebs, \textit{Techniques for Interviewing and Evidence Gathering}, in \textit{Sexual Abuse of Young Children: Evaluation and Treatment} 67, 86 (Kee MacFarlane et al eds., 1986) (“Sexually abused children should be able to leave a diagnostic interview feeling good about what occurred and feeling understood, validated, and safe in a newly established protective relationship.”).} If the child possesses enough maturity to understand, the lawyer should first explain his or her own role and the interview’s purpose.\footnote{Snyder & McDaniels, supra note 220, at 35.} Then, the child should be encouraged to ask questions, which further alleviates anxiety.\footnote{Id.}

To gather more information of better quality, lawyers should begin with questions that help children feel more comfortable before asking about sad, upsetting, or embarrassing topics.\footnote{See CARTER, supra note 100, at 61.} Rapport-building questions that put children at ease include queries about family, friends, or favorite activities.\footnote{Yee-San Teoh & Michael E. Lamb, \textit{Preparing Children for Investigative Interviews: Rapport-Building, Instruction, and Evaluation}, 14 APPLIED DEVELOPMENTAL SCI. 154, 155 (2010).} Silence is just as important, especially with initially reluctant children: lawyers must pause often and avoid interrupting, allowing children ample time to think and respond.\footnote{Roberts et al., supra note 214, at 189–90.} Lawyers should not pressure uncommunicative child witnesses, which can derail rapport and produce more anxiety instead.\footnote{Wood et al., supra note 221, at 228.} Lawyers should also avoid introducing multiple interviewers,\footnote{London, supra note 211, at 134.} asking closed-ended questions,\footnote{Wood et al., supra note 221, at 227.} and using too many words.\footnote{ROBBENOLT & STERNLIGHT, supra note 39, at 164.} Correspondingly, the ratio of each person’s words should favor the child.\footnote{See CARTER, supra note 100, at 66.}

Appropriate physical space fosters rapport and trust, too. Certain children, including those from specific cultures as well as some sex crime victims, prefer generous personal space. Child sex crime victims, in particular, might remain understandably wary and distrustful of physical contact due to previous
betrayal and unwanted touch.\textsuperscript{232} Even if these children appear to seek physical contact, lawyers must allow plenty of room and proceed with caution.\textsuperscript{233} Conversely, excessive personal space could be problematic. Lawyers should thus observe and accept children’s preferences.\textsuperscript{234}

Last, lawyers can facilitate rapport and trust by employing positive reinforcement, which also shapes good interview behaviors.\textsuperscript{235} For example, a lawyer could use positive reinforcement to encourage narrative replies to open-ended questions, and to encourage verbal, rather than nonverbal, responses.\textsuperscript{236} When applying positive reinforcement, a lawyer might proclaim, “Thank you for explaining that so well!” or “You did a great job when you used your words instead of nodding your head!” Lawyers must be very cautious with this technique, however. If used selectively to orchestrate answers, it might significantly damage the accuracy of a child’s testimony.\textsuperscript{237} It can also be interpreted as improper coaching.

2. \textit{Practice Perspective-Taking}\textsuperscript{238}

People customarily impute their own knowledge and perspective to others.\textsuperscript{238} When this happens, we expect others to understand our views, and we believe we understand theirs. This can be particularly true with children, whom adults might stereotype based solely on age.

A lawyer who assumes shared understanding risks myriad miscommunications.\textsuperscript{239} To prevent mishaps, lawyers should persistently practice “perspective-taking,” the act of empathically recognizing others’ viewpoints.\textsuperscript{240} When working with a child witness, a lawyer should ascertain what knowledge the child already possesses, and how the child comprehends the situation at hand.\textsuperscript{241}

Optimally, a lawyer should regard a child’s perspective literally \textit{and} figuratively, because environments that appear familiar to adults can frighten children. For instance, from a small child’s vantage point, a courtroom can appear exceedingly tall and imposing. To help, a lawyer should interact with a child witness at the child’s eye level, maintaining constant eye contact. This alleviates discomfort in children who hesitate to disclose information.\textsuperscript{242} For very small children, booster seats may be beneficial.
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It is not unreasonable to assume . . . that the elimination of visual contact between two speakers would produce discomfort and disrupt the communication process. . . . [W]hen the visibility of one of the partners in a conversation was reduced by means of dark glasses or a mask, it significantly decreased the other partner’s reported comfort level.
Perspective-taking also helps lawyers remember that age may impede effective exchanges. Age largely determines a child’s abilities to communicate. Because children often fear that they will not understand what is asked or said, lawyers should use age-appropriate language, keeping in mind that seemingly simple words sometimes sound foreign to children. In one case, a scared little girl attended a hearing where the judge decided who would become the girl’s guardian. When the judge announced, “[t]he minor will live with her grandmother,” the devastated girl cried out, “I heard him say the minor was gonna live with Grandma, but where am I gonna live?”

To battle terminology barriers, lawyers should imagine listening to their own words through children’s ears, keeping language clear, simple, and free of legalese. A lawyer who uses developmentally appropriate questions will likely improve the child’s communication abilities and reduce anxiety, confusion, and frustration.

Further, a lawyer ought to regard how culture, race, ethnicity, and socio-economic class might influence a child’s comfort and willingness to communicate. For example, in some cultures, it is impolite for children to contradict statements or questions posed by adults. Children from these cultures might require more practice to learn how to truthfully respond while testifying, especially in response to leading questions.

Last, a lawyer who asks a child to discuss a traumatic event should employ perspective-taking to address the child’s potential sensitivity to the topic. Just as many lawyers would appreciate benevolence under similar circumstances, they should expect that children might equally crave compassion. For instance, if a child forgets a stressful event and withdraws or becomes agitated, aggressive, or hyperactive, the lawyer should sympathetically acknowledge the child’s feelings, then subtly change the subject. After the child can participate calmly and fully again, the lawyer may return to the bothersome subject; if the child remains upset, the lawyer might need to revisit the topic on another day.

3. Attend to Nonverbal Cues

Attention to nonverbal cues cultivates better communication, and can lessen anxiety. Researchers tested the importance of nonverbal cues by questioning seventy-two children who experienced a medical procedure. When
the researchers posed questions while acting friendly rather than neutral, children erred half as many times when they answered. Consequently, lawyers who want to achieve optimal outcomes must attend to their own nonverbal cues.

Likewise, lawyers should consider how mood, an important nonverbal signal, can be contagious through a process known as “mood congruency effect.” A child affected by mood congruency will detect and adopt another person’s demeanor. As a result, a lawyer who interacts with a child witness ought to act relaxed and calm in the child’s presence. For example, lawyers should lean forward, smile, and uncross their arms to appear more approachable. Additionally, lawyers must remember that cross-cultural differences affect body language: foreign or offensive nonverbal communication could exacerbate children’s anxiety. When interacting with a child whose culture or nonverbal cues are unfamiliar, a quick Internet search or discussion with the child’s caregiver will likely produce enough information to provide guidance.

Of course, a lawyer must also closely observe a child’s nonverbal responses, especially when the lawyer asks questions. Once a very young child reacts with extreme emotion, a lawyer might struggle to recover the child’s cooperation and feeling of safety. To prevent such a mishap, a lawyer should watch for signs that an interaction has become confusing or traumatic to the child. If the child reacts emotionally to a question, the lawyer could temporarily change tactics or take a break. The lawyer can broach key issues after the child’s behavior suggests a mood improvement.

Many nonverbal cues are important: not all witnesses cry when they feel fearful, angry, or upset. Generally, frowns, wrinkled brows, or thinning lips can signal negative emotions, too. So can restless pacing, hand-wringing, foot-tapping, nail-biting, trembling, clinging to a loved one, and stuttering. Children who exhibit these cues may consider an experience painful or may be approaching some limit.
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Last, lawyers should remain wary of children’s smiles and laughter, which sometimes indicate comfort and happiness, but might also indicate anxiety. Some studies show that smiles positively correlate with nervousness. Anecdotally, student lawyers once conducted a mock trial to help ready a teenage girl for trial; while on the stand, the girl began to giggle. Most participants, including the girl’s mother, assumed that the girl was not taking the trial seriously, but one law student noticed the girl’s eyes welling up with tears. When the student asked the girl if she was laughing out of nervousness, the girl vehemently nodded. After the student reminded her to take a few deep breaths, the girl regained her composure and the mock trial resumed.

4. Use Props

As previously addressed, props promote child-friendly environments. They also advance child-focused communication. Props help some children verbalize experiences; certain children find interacting with props less stressful than interacting without them. Various items, like stuffed animals or dolls, might also help children overcome embarrassment. Others function as icebreakers that ease withdrawn children into interacting. For these reasons, lawyers should use props when they question especially scared or nonresponsive children. For example, lawyers can introduce puppets as “helpers” that assist children who must discuss difficult topics. If a child enjoys playing with a specific doll, the lawyer may encourage the child to audibly tell the doll the answers to the lawyer’s questions, rather than answer the lawyer directly.
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5. **Enforce Limits**

Child witnesses often undergo multiple, duplicative interviews by numerous professionals. Each successive encounter requires a child to recount embarrassing, frightening, or angst-provoking experiences and feelings. Children often regard the mere act of repeating negative information as emotionally painful. Similarly, repeating details too often typically creates anxiety. Thus, some child witnesses find repeated interviews particularly distressing.

In response, select jurisdictions now limit the quantity of interviews and interviewers who interact with children. By eliminating unnecessary or repetitive practices, laws in these jurisdictions shield children from the psychological damage caused by repeated questioning. In states that lack such laws, a lawyer should limit the number of interactions that a child witness must withstand. Where available, the lawyer can consider a child advocacy center (CAC), where a trained interviewer talks to the child while others observe through a one-way mirror. Endorsed by the American Bar Association, CACs reduce the number of interviews and interviewers, lessening children’s anxiety.

Additionally, lawyers should limit the length of time spent at each interview and initiate small breaks when possible. Breaks can alleviate a child’s negative emotions and are critical when a child looks tired, anxious, or inattentive. Some children who become stressed or frustrated with ongoing questioning make inquiries such as, “How many more questions?” “Can I leave?” or “When will this end?” A lawyer should regard these types of

---

278 Kee MacFarlane & Sandy Krebs, Videotaping of Interviews and Court Testimony, in Sexual Abuse of Young Children: Evaluation and Treatment, supra note 221, at 164, 165.
279 Id.
280 See id.
281 See MacFarlane & Krebs, supra note 278, at 165 (“Each successive interview, whether it be for medical, clinical, or legal purposes, necessitates the retelling of experiences and feelings that are usually embarrassing, frightening, guilt-invoking, and anxiety-producing”); Quas & Goodman, supra note 11, at 401 (“C]hildren routinely cite repeated interviewing as a particularly negative aspect of their experiences, for instance, by claiming that they had to recount details of their abuse too often and to too many people.”).
283 Hall & Sales, supra note 32, at 29 (citing N.D. Cent. Code § 12.1-35-04 (2007)).
284 See id.
285 Id. at 21 (“In situations in which a state or federal law does not address the use of a particular modification, a court has the authority to fashion and apply a modification if it is in the best interests of the child and does not violate the defendant’s constitutional rights.”).
286 See Carter, supra note 100, at 86–87.
288 See Carter, supra note 100, at 86–87.
289 See Robbennolt & Sternlight, supra note 39, at 197.
290 See Myers, supra note 201, at 63 (noting that a child needs rest to suitably testify).
291 See Carter, supra note 100, at 79.
questions as reminders that the child likely needs a break. Predictably, several short interviews ordinarily produce better results than one long one.\footnote{Id.}

For children who struggle to sustain uninterrupted focus, lawyers can keep noiseless toys on hand, such as puzzles or coloring books.\footnote{See id. at 78–79.} A child might enjoy the toys either during breaks or during the interview if the child can simultaneously play and attend to the conversation. Sometimes a child’s anxiety or inattentiveness signals that the child needs to use a restroom, but is too shy to ask.\footnote{See id. at 80.} Consequently, lawyers ought to occasionally ask children if they need a bathroom break.\footnote{See id.}

**E. Schedule Interactions at Appropriate Times**

Because children generally behave best when well-rested, lawyers should plan appointments strategically.\footnote{HALL & SALES, supra note 32, at 28.} Morning appointments usually trump afternoon interactions, especially with very young children, for whom morning testimony can make the difference between great testimony and no testimony at all.\footnote{Id.} Mornings also typically lessen a child’s anxiety because the child will not worry about the upcoming appointment all day.\footnote{See CARTER, supra note 100, at 128; see also HALL & SALES, supra note 32, at 66 (‘‘At least eight published studies and technical reports have demonstrated that the anticipation of testifying in criminal court is related to increased anxiety and distress in some children.’’) (emphasis in original); supra notes 30–33 and accompanying text.} Additionally, interrupting a child’s regular schedule creates even more anxiety;\footnote{Id.} when feasible, a lawyer should schedule interactions to accommodate a child’s standard schedule. For instance, a very young child’s normal nap and meal times should remain intact.\footnote{See MYERS, supra note 201, at 63.}

**F. Recruit a Support Person**

Witnesses who receive support normally experience less anxiety\footnote{Quas & Goodman, supra note 11, at 407 (finding that witness support buffers children from distress); Nathanson & Saywitz, supra note 44, at 87 (‘‘[T]he greater a child’s . . . perceived social support, the less anticipatory anxiety the child reported.’’).} and trauma.\footnote{See id.} Without support, anxiety can overwhelm a child’s coping resources.\footnote{See CARTER, supra note 100, at 80, 128.} A lawyer should thus recruit a support person to assist the child, especially before and during the trial.

First, the lawyer must determine the most appropriate candidate for the support role. Conceivable contenders include caregivers,\footnote{See Myers, supra note 201, at 63.} relatives, friends, counselors, or other trusted adults.\footnote{Quas & Goodman, supra note 11, at 400.} Not all caregivers are suitable, how-
ever—those who will likely become upset or angry during the child’s testimony should not be designated due to the risk of negative mood congruency effect on the child. Additionally, lawyers should not select from people who could be called to testify in the same trial; judges may order other witnesses excluded from the courtroom while the child testifies.

After selecting the best candidate, the lawyer should assign the support person four primary goals: practice coping methods with the child, meet the child’s basic healthcare needs, help the child maintain a positive affective state, and accompany the child to court.

Some educators believe that children learn best by imitating others before they practice a new skill, and then obtain feedback to correct any errors. Therefore, to help the child learn the previously discussed coping methods, the lawyer can instruct the support person to demonstrate one of the methods, then ask the child to imitate it. Next, the support person and the child should practice the coping method together before the support person offers feedback to help the child improve and retain the new skill.

Nutritious food, physical activity, medical checkups, and rest provide even more physical and psychological benefits. The lawyer should ask the support person to furnish the child with basic healthcare, especially immediately before any legal proceedings. Adequate sleep, for example, is important because it is known to combat negative moods, including anxiety. By ensuring that the child adheres to a regular sleep schedule, avoids caffeine near bedtime, and practices relaxing bedtime routines, a support person can foster favorable sleep habits.

Further, the support person should help the child maintain a positive affective state, which represents a spectrum of positive feelings and attitudes, such as upbeat moods. Positive affective states produce better outcomes in various stressful settings, including interactions with lawyers. Children who feel optimistic about going to court retain a sense of control that contributes to successful coping and fewer adverse outcomes. Moreover, positive moods allow children to perceive events more hopefully and leniently, and to display
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more confidence.\textsuperscript{317} Positive affective states also tend to expand thinking, which allows children to integrate new information.\textsuperscript{318}

Naturally, to help the child achieve and maintain a good mood before interacting with the lawyer, the support person should employ methods that are effective with that particular child. If unfamiliar with the child’s preferences, the support person can consider the child’s age and interests to evaluate which techniques may work best.

Common ways to induce positive affective states in children include singing, playing games, or rhyming.\textsuperscript{319} Exercising, watching movies, bathing, or dancing can help, too.\textsuperscript{320} In a study unrelated to testimony, researchers found that listening to music calmed and relaxed children.\textsuperscript{321} Another study showed that reading quietly helped highly anxious preadolescents.\textsuperscript{322} One may reasonably generalize these findings to child witnesses.

Finally, the lawyer should encourage the support person to accompany the child to court and remain in the courtroom when the child testifies, a practice typically allowed by judges.\textsuperscript{323} Research promotes the courtroom presence of support people, who often enhance testimony by reducing any irritable moods of child witnesses.\textsuperscript{324} Compellingly, the support person’s attendance can also increase the child’s capacity to answer more questions during direct examination,\textsuperscript{325} and to provide more consistent testimony about peripheral details during cross-examination.\textsuperscript{326} Further, when asked precise questions, a child with a support person is more apt to respond correctly on a more frequent basis,\textsuperscript{327} and less apt to recant any testimony during cross-examination.\textsuperscript{328} These improvements generally contribute to the credibility of child witnesses.\textsuperscript{329}

The law entitles some child witnesses to in-court support: a federal statute expressly grants children the right to a support person, called an “adult attend-
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ant.” at trial.\textsuperscript{330} At the judge’s discretion, the adult may remain close to the child, hold the child’s hand, or place the child on his or her lap, as long as the adult does not improperly coach the child during testimony.\textsuperscript{331} It is therefore important that lawyers caution support people against prompting children’s testimony or indicating approval or disapproval when children testify.\textsuperscript{332}

G. Request Particular Trial Procedures

This Article focuses on pre-trial tactics, but several strategies that occur during trial must be considered and requested prior to trial; they are addressed next. Principally, lawyers should pursue expeditious case dispositions, limit courtroom observers, and seek courtroom modifications. As with the other strategies discussed, the following tactics are likely to be more effective if employed in conjunction with others.

1. Pursue Expeditious Case Dispositions

Delays adversely affect children.\textsuperscript{333} They induce anxiety and significantly weaken a young child’s memory.\textsuperscript{334} Lengthy delays can stretch a child’s recall and resistance to suggestibility “past the breaking point.”\textsuperscript{335} Therefore, delayed proceedings can harm children’s testimony, and case outcomes. Although multiple delays may seem inevitable, there are a few ways lawyers can try to reduce them.

For instance, federal law recognizes the importance of prompt case dispositions and helps lawyers accelerate litigation involving children: judges may designate cases with child witnesses as matters of “special public importance.”\textsuperscript{337} Once a case is so designated, the judge must expedite it, ensuring that it takes precedence over other cases.\textsuperscript{338} Additionally, some states require judges to consider the potential negative effects on child witnesses when they rule on certain motions, such as continuances.\textsuperscript{339}

In states that lack these rules, or in cases that judges refuse to designate as special matters, lawyers should reduce delay as much as possible to lessen children’s anxiety.\textsuperscript{340} For example, lawyers can try to avoid rescheduling any interviews or depositions that involve child witnesses.\textsuperscript{341} Further, they can try to move litigation along quickly by eliminating as many continuances as feasible.\textsuperscript{342}
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2. **Limit Courtroom Observers**

The number of people present in the courtroom while a child testifies may impact a case’s outcome because numerous courtroom observers can intimidate child witnesses. When too many people observe a child’s testimony, the child is more apt to display withdrawal, avoidance, or fear. Lawyers should therefore attempt to create environments that are less threatening by asking judges to restrict the number of courtroom observers when children testify. For example, a lawyer could request that the courtroom could be closed off to everyone except the judge, marshal, defendant, court reporter, clerk, support person, counsel, and any jurors.

3. **Seek Courtroom Modifications**

In a criminal trial, a child witness who must face a defendant in court often finds the event traumatic, particularly if the defendant previously threatened or harmed the child, or if the child feels loyalty toward the defendant. Children often cite fear of the accused as their biggest court-related stressor: in one study, 90 percent of child witnesses acknowledged that, of their entire legal experience, they were most frightened when required to testify in a defendant’s presence. Other research suggests that children who fear defendants answer fewer questions. It is reasonable to infer that a child witness for the defense could be similarly affected by a plaintiff. To considerably reduce children’s anxiety and enhance their testimony, lawyers can seek several courtroom modifications.

Although most people would probably agree that parties should not induce additional anxiety by requiring child witnesses to face opposing parties in court, the law makes this difficult. Previously, a lawyer could position a screen between a defendant and a child witness during the child’s testimony, or play a videotaped interview of the child rather than place him or her in the same room with the accused. Then, in 1988, the Supreme Court ruled that all witnesses, including children, must testify unobstructed in court to avoid violating the defendant’s Sixth Amendment right to confront any accusers.
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The screen at issue was specifically designed to enable the complaining witnesses to avoid viewing appellant as they gave their testimony, and the record indicates that it was successful in this objective. It is difficult to imagine a more obvious or damaging violation of the defendant’s right to a face-to-face encounter.
Sixth Amendment concerns notwithstanding, current federal law supports the limited use of closed-circuit television (CCTV). For example, a child can testify via CCTV if a federal judge finds that the defendant’s presence renders the child unable to testify. CCTV enables a child to testify live, outside the courtroom, while the defendant and others simultaneously view the testimony on a courtroom monitor. However, even where allowed, lawyers should regard CCTV cautiously: jurors typically put more faith in face-to-face testimony.

Despite evolving law, lawyers can typically make some minor modifications that reduce children’s anxiety. For instance, a lawyer might try to stand in a position that blocks the child’s view of the opposing party during much of the child’s testimony, like the lawyer in the introductory story who shielded Annie from the constant view of her uncle. A lawyer may also attempt to turn the witness chair slightly away from the opposing party. Minimally, a lawyer should instruct a child who fears an opposing party to avoid eye contact with the person, especially while the child testifies.

**CONCLUSION**

Millions of children testify each year, and anxiety harms them and their testimony, which subverts justice. Lawyers therefore have an obligation to reduce anxiety in child witnesses. This Article presented seven strategies that a lawyer can employ to reduce a child witness’s anxiety: 1) provide legal system education; 2) conduct desensitization training; 3) teach coping methods; 4) foster child-focused communication; 5) schedule interactions at appropriate times; 6) recruit a support person; and 7) request particular trial procedures. Lawyers should implement as many of the proposed strategies as possible, because doing so protects children’s best interests, promotes more effective testimony, and promises to further fairness. Lawyers who ignore anxiety in child witnesses are more likely to encounter children like Annie, who hide, sobbing, under the witness stand.
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